

#### Use of otolith microstructure analyses to study the relation between larval hatching time, growth and survival in Norwegian spring spawning herring

Aril Slotte<sup>1\*</sup>, Åse Husebø<sup>1</sup>, Erling Kåre Stenevik<sup>1</sup>, Frode Vikebø<sup>1</sup>, Arild Folkvord<sup>1,2</sup>, Petter Fossum<sup>1</sup>, Henrik Mosegaard<sup>3</sup> and Richard Nash<sup>1</sup>

1Institute of Marine Research (IMR), P.O. Box 1870 Nordnes, N-5817 Bergen, Norway. 2Department of Biology, University of Bergen and Hjort Centre for Marine Ecosystem Dynamics, PO Box 7800, N-5020 Bergen, Norway. 3DTU Aqua, Charlottenlund Castle, DK-2920 Charlottenlund, Denmark. \*Corresponding author. Aril Slotte, Institute of Marine Research, P.O. Box 1870 Nordnes, N-5817 Bergen, Norway





• Johan Hjort was the first scientist to demonstrate the large year class fluctuations in NSS herring, based on age readings of scales

• Ever since, scientists have struggled to understand underlying mechanisms

It starts already with energy allocation and behavioral decisions in the adult population











# **3. After party in spring and summer**

Eat and drink and get in good shape for next season ©

But what happens with the eggs and larvae?

### 4. Early life history of NSS herring – The struggle to survive

ROAD TO NOWHERE

HUMBUG CLUPE

NORWAY

•Eggs on hard substrata along most of the Norwegian coast.

•Larval drift into fjord nurseries along the coast and into the main nursery in the Barents Sea

•Temporal and spatial variations in environmental conditions and predation risks

•The recruitment of NSS herring is highly variable and mainly attributable to variable mortality of the larval and post larval stages

## High mortality from post-larval stage to 0-group

There is a good relation between abundance at 0group stage and recruitment at age 3 (Sætre et al 2002)

Mortality between postlarva and 0-group is the focus of present study





A likely reason for post-larval mortality is predation.

Even though they are many, the biomass at the post-larval stage is low, i.e. they may be wiped out given high overlap with predators

How do the survivors avoid predation through metamorphosis until 0group in the autumn?

- 1. Growth effects
- 2. Spatial effects
- **3. Temporal effects**



Growth rate hypothesis (Ware 1975) The range of potential predators and the subsequent mortality rate decreases as the larvae and juvenile fish grow, which may favour survival of individuals with high growth rates

#### 2. Spatial effects

# Important for NSS herring with a large distance between hatching locations and nursery areas



Drift out of predator areas instead of growing out of predator windows?

#### 3. Temporal effects

Timing of spawning and hatching may play an important role for survival. Good NSS herring recruitment in years with early hatching (Husebø et al 2009)

Not advantageous to be left behind to hatch late during spring warming – Increased predator abundance and activity?



Early hatched larvae may be larger with potentially lower range of predators than late hatched larvae they are overlapping with in time and space, despite a slower post-hatch growth rate.

## **Main Objectives**

Test for growth-, spatial- and temporal effects on survival of NSS herring postlarvae

### Method

Compare daily otolith growth at selected distances from the core between post-larvae and the surviving 0-group of the same year classes.





#### Area in otolith analysed for daily increment widths



The distance from core (37.5-137.5 µm) analysed in ground otolith corresponds with otolith size range of post-larvae analysed.

Mean increment width values for 25  $\mu m$  intervals around 50, 75, 100 and 125  $\mu m$  were used in the statistical analyses

#### **Overview of samples analysed for otolith microstructure**

Larvae from Harstad trawl hauls in May, N=10-20 per station, total N=798, L=18-32 mm (metamorphosed at 40mm). Size in trawl=size in MIC hauls

0-group from Harstad trawl hauls in the autumn, in the Barents Sea, coast north, coast south, N=10-20 per station, total N=313, L=60-130 mm



# Stations with larval otolith samples (•) related to distribution and density of larvae and T (C°) 20 m

**20** m



70°

# Comparing daily otolith growth post-larvae vs surviving 0-group

Growth rate post-larvae > 0-group





Daily otolith growth related to larval age at sampling

Same trend for 1991, 1992 and 1996 year classes

No spatial effect within 0group

Spatial and temporal effect in larvae

Oldest larvae north ≈ 0-group at 50µm, but not at larger distances

WHY?

0 1.4 to 1.7
0 1.7 to 1.8
0 1.8 to 1.9
0 1.9 to 2
0 2 to 2.1
0 2.1 to 2.2
0 2.2 to 2.3
0 2.3 to 2.4
0 2.4 to 3

#### Drift model results (Husebø et al. 2009)



Post-larval surveys in May may not cover the whole population

A significant proportion of post-larvae may have been transported north of 70°N

Larvae north of 70°N are assumed to be survivors from early hatching and a rapid northward drift.

# Modelled ambient temperature during 60 days of larval drift (*Vikebø et al. 2010*)

Effects of hatching time and northward driftspeed?



Indicate that survivors until 0-group originate from early hatching with rapid drift, low ambient temperature and low growth rate

## Conclusions

- The daily otolith growth at selected distances from the core was significantly lower in the surviving 0-group compared with larvae
- The results indicate spatial and temporal effects with a selection for early hatching in NSS herring, which is tightly linked to drift patterns and location of nursery areas
- The survivors are slow growers, drifting in cold waters early in the season and arriving early in the nursery areas prior to the increased predator levels developing northwards during spring warming
- Still, size (not growth rate) may be important, as early hatching may also result in earlier metamorphosis, despite the slower growth.