

北米西海岸設置のウェブカメラによる漂着物挙動解析 Webcam monitoring of landed marine debris

磯辺篤彦 Atsuhiko Isobe (Research Institute for Applied Mechanics, Kyushu Univ)

共同研究者(collaborators) : 岩崎慎介(RIAM), 油布圭(RIAM), 加古真一郎(鹿児島大), 片岡智哉(東京理科大), Charlie Plybon (Surfrider Foundation OR), and Thomas A. Murphy (Oregon State Univ)



私たちの戦略

Our strategy




「震災漂着物が運ぶ外来生物は、北米ハワイの海洋・海岸生物に脅威となるか？」

そもそもinvasive species は震災漂着物でなくても運ばれるもの。震災漂着物由来に限定した環境影響評価ができるのか？



→ 震災漂着物の漂着場所や時期を特定することが重要

震災漂着物の漂流経路再現は、**大気や海洋の同化プロダクト+粒子追跡モデル**で、そこそこいけるはず(下は震災直後に環境省が発表したもの[JAMSTEC,気象研,京都大])。ただ海岸漂着までは解像できず(波浪や海浜流)、あくまで沖合漂流量の推定である。また再漂流も表現できず、漂着と再漂流を繰り返す**海岸漂着量の評価は難しい。**



Combination of simulation and webcam monitoring to evaluate the abundance of JTMD

大気や海洋の同化プロダクト+粒子追跡モデル




空撮画像(NOAA)
によるモデルの妥当性評価




ウェブカメラで監視したゴミ漂着量と海況・風況データを比較し**サブモデル**を構築、これを組み合わせる。

Webcam monitoring

location



specifications



interval :

9:00~18:00 once at each hour

resolution :

1920 × 1080 pixels

period :

2015/Apr~on-going




オレゴンの四季 **Four seasons in Oregon** (2015/04/04 – 2016/04/25)
2015年4月4日から2016年4月25日の画像をつなげました。

見所は、12月中旬の右下隅の崩落と、その頃から増え出す流木の数




Summary of webcam monitoring


Kako et al., (submitted)



(Rule.1) Seasonal increase from summer to winter owing to the coastal downwelling
冬の南風＝沿岸沈降＝漂着物増加



$$N(t+1) = N(t) + \alpha R(t)$$




A simplified model based on the two rules well reproduces the debris abundance on the Newport beach

(Rule.2) Rapid decrease owing to the wind setup (at spring tides) during the westerly (onshore-ward) winds
大潮での向岸風＝潮位の増加＝再漂流

Model setup by a combination of a particle-tracking model and sub-model


Particle-tracking model using an ocean re-analysis data and satellite wind to reproduce the debris motion in the ocean




Objective of the present study

- >> To estimate the abundance of tsunami debris washed ashore on the western coasts of US and Canada?
- >> To find the beaches on which the massive amount of tsunami debris has been washed ashore (→ "hazard map" of invasive species)


Sub-model based on the two rules associated with satellite winds at the nearest grid cell to reproduce the debris washing ashore and re-drifting (nearshore processes).




Rule 1: Are particles washed ashore?



$$v < 0$$




Upwelling-favoring winds



$$v > 0$$

Particles washed ashore



Downwelling-favoring winds

Iwasaki et al. (in prep)

サブモデル


沿岸沈降を起こす南風の際に、岸に近づく仮想粒子を漂着させる

サブモデル


岸向きの風が強い大潮時に、岸に漂着した仮想粒子を海に戻す

When intense **onshore-ward winds** (> average + SDV) occurred at **spring tides** (i.e., the occurrence of the **wind setup**), all debris “littered” on land cells returns to the oceanic cells.


Rule. 2: re-drifting ?



Iwasaki et al. (in prep)



モデル領域 (0° - 60° N, 120° E- 60° W)




Iwasaki et al. (in prep)

計算期間: 2011/03/11-2016/12/31

実験のアニメーション (2013/01/01-2016/12/31)

10日毎





Iwasaki et al. (in prep)

Model validation with aerial photography using a Cessna plane


Kataoka et al. (submitted)

北米西海岸のセスナ機による海岸空撮写真を射影変換処理してデカルト座標に落とし込み、漂流物の被覆面積と海岸面積の比を求めた。Using the aerial photographs, we computed the ratio between areas of beach litter and beaches




観測データとの比較

2014/10/7, 2014/12/3




累積漂着数 (2011/03/11-2016/12/31)



Conclusions

- A webcam-based sub-model was combined with a particle tracking model to estimate the abundance of 3.11 tsunami debris washed ashore on the US and Canadian beaches.
- In total, **30,000 tons** (2%) of debris potentially exist on the beaches at the present time.
- The model result states that the invasive species carried by tsunami debris were unlikely to wash ashore widely on the entire US and Canadian beaches. They have been washed ashore on the relatively **narrow area (<1000 km) around the south of BC and the north of WA**, which might act as a “gate” of the invasive species carried by the tsunami debris.



震災漂流物のうち2%程度が、米国とカナダの国境1000km程度の海岸に集中して漂着したことが示唆された。この場所で日本原産の「外来種」が多く確認されるならば、それは震災漂流物が運んだ可能性が高い。

Iwasaki et al. (in prep)