

**NORTH PACIFIC MARINE SCIENCE ORGANIZATION  
(PICES)**

ANNUAL REPORT

EIGHTH MEETING

VLADIVOSTOK, RUSSIA

OCTOBER 8 - 17, 1999

January 2000  
Secretariat / Publisher  
North Pacific Marine Science Organization (PICES)  
c/o Institute of Ocean Sciences  
P.O. Box 6000,  
Sidney, British Columbia,  
Canada. V8L 4B2  
e-mail: [pices@ios.bc.ca](mailto:pices@ios.bc.ca)  
web: <http://pices.ios.bc.ca>

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Meeting</b>	
Agenda	3
Report of Opening Session	5
Report of Governing Council Meetings	25
<b>Reports of Science Board and Committees</b>	
Science Board	45
Biological Oceanography Committee	57
Fishery Science Committee	63
Working Group 12: Crabs and Shrimps	67
Marine Environmental Quality Committee	73
Working Group 8: Practical Assessment Methodology	76
Physical Oceanography and Climate Committee	93
Working Group 13: CO <sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific	97
Implementation Panel on the CCCC Program	105
Technological Committee on Data Exchange	117
Publication Committee	123
<b>Finance and Administration</b>	
Report of Finance and Administration Committee	127
Assets on 31st of December, 1998	132
Income and Expenditures for 1998	133
Budget for 2000	136
<b>Composition of the Organization</b>	
Officers, Delegates, Finance and Administration Committee, Science Board, Secretariat, Scientific and Technical Committees	139
<b>List of Participants</b>	149
<b>List of Acronyms</b>	171

## REPORT OF OPENING SESSION



The Opening Session was called to order at 8:30 am on October 11<sup>th</sup>. The Chairman, Dr. Hyung-Tack Huh, who welcomed delegates, observers and researchers to the Eighth Annual Meeting. Dr. Huh called upon Vice-Governor Vladimir A. Stegny to welcome participants on behalf of the Government of the Primorye Region.

Dear Mr. Chairman, Executive Secretary, distinguished delegates, members of the Secretariat and Local Organizing Committee, participants, observers, ladies and gentlemen:

It is the first time that Russia is hosting an annual meeting of the North Pacific Marine Science Organization. The City of Vladivostok, the Primorski Krai capital, is the venue of the Eighth Annual Meeting. On behalf of the Russian Far East, let me welcome you to the Pacific Russia.

It is a great honor for Vladivostok and the entire Primorski Krai to host the Eighth Annual Meeting attended by such a large number of participants from the scientific communities of the Pacific Rim countries.

Fisheries and marine transport are by far the most important sectors of the Russian Far East economy. Work at sea creates jobs, thus providing for considerable employment for the population. The Far East fisheries account for more than 70% of Russian catches. The maximum catch was observed in 1988, which could be explained by a very high abundance of two particular species - pollock and sardine. In 1993-94 the catch was at its minimum. That was due to the sardine disappearance in the Russian waters and to the pollock population drop. These two species alone - pollock and sardine - in the years of their maximal abundance, accounted for 66% and 17% of the catch in the Russian Far East respectively. Only two species predominance in the catches makes Far East fishery very vulnerable, and as a result the responsibility of

scientists increase. Also of paramount importance is research of both ecosystems and the prediction of environmental long term changes.

The changes occurring in the resource structure of the fishery cannot but strongly influence the conditions of fisheries management. First and foremost, these changes depend on the environment, and joint scientific efforts in the area of marine studies are difficult to overestimate. In this respect PICES is a unique international organization of scientists with unprecedented capabilities to accumulate scientific knowledge in the largest range of events observed in the Pacific, and the results of anthropogenic pressure on the marine environment.

I would like to emphasize the following: PICES is represented by both the governments and scientific organizations of the Pacific Rim countries with very different levels of ethnic, cultural and economic backgrounds. This last factor is very valuable in the light of different approaches towards ocean investigation and development, since the number of aspects to be considered while planning the ocean management in the interests of mankind is increased. And the intermixing of various viewpoints mutually enriches the parties through cooperation.

No doubt, the World Ocean is a unifying area for making our scientific effort worthwhile. Methods and techniques of scientific investigations, those instruments for carrying out marine research, are gradually being standardized. This is also important because the results of scientific studies will be equally shared by all PICES member countries. Moreover, of no less importance are the national identities and traditions of each and every State involved, of scientific schools and particular results of research obtained. Recognizing this fact will surely make the mutual understanding easier, and this is one of the main objectives of any international organization. PICES should not be an exception.

Why have we picked Vladivostok of all the cities as the venue of PICES Eighth Annual Meeting? It is because this city in the Pacific Russia is most representative in the areas of research with concentrated science and technology potential in the Far East. It meets the demands of national economy of the Russian Far Eastern regions. At the same time Vladivostok is one of the youngest Russian cities in the Pacific. By founding the port Vladivostok, father-founders put an end to a remarkable historic epoch of great geographic discoveries and development, when the Russian pioneers discovered new territories along the coastline of the Pacific in the 18th and 19th centuries, including the Okhotsk Sea coast, Kamchatka, the Kuril Ridge, Sakhalin, and Alaska.

Glorious traditions of Russian researchers of the Pacific and World Oceans were upheld in the 20th century. The contribution of Russian fisheries science to the World Ocean and its bioresources research has been especially great: the last century has witnessed years when scientific investigations had covered 70% of the marine basins in the open areas of the World Ocean.

Despite the economic difficulties, marine studies are underway today in the Far East. One should emphasize the farsighted policy of the TINRO-Center administrators who, during the years of reforms in Russia, managed both to retain the research fleet, to continue the growth of its numbers, and improve its technical and scientific equipment. Fifteen research vessels of different profiles enable the Center to constantly monitor marine bioresources and to study the environment. The scientists record global changes of the environment parameters, which considerably influence the number and distribution of marine inhabitants and change the conditions of economic management in the Ocean.

In this respect of great importance to us is the participation of Russian scientists in such International Programs on ecosystems research as "GLOBEC", in scientific symposia and working meetings on "Nature and the Impact of Climatic and Oceanological Epochs Changes on the North

Pacific", "Modelling and Forecasting Physical Processes in Subarctic Region of the North Pacific", "Ecological Consequences of Oil Spills and Oil Deposits Development", etc. The last subject is very relevant for the Sakhalin region with its unprecedented potential for Russian offshore oil extraction. No doubt, it will be very difficult here to strike a balance of interests among ecological movements, fishermen and oil developers. In this respect, we should follow a strictly scientific and independent analysis and evaluate comparative advantages versus negative consequences of this gigantic project. Profit of the Sakhalin Oblast and foreign investors of the oil development project are put on the scale against the impact of inevitable pollution of the sea on marine ecosystems resulting thus in losses for both the Russian fishermen and those of the neighboring countries in the regions.

On the threshold of the new millennium with an extremely high level of human activity, it has become even more difficult to preserve harmony of relations between man and nature. Nature can very easily be destroyed as a result of rash actions undertaken by man for his selfish, and as a rule short-term, purposes aimed at making nature look "more culture-oriented". One cannot stop all the economic activities including those in the World Ocean. We should go on with these activities but they should be preceded by painstaking research to evaluate possible consequences as well as possible economic benefits.

I am sure that in this respect PICES will open a lot of new opportunities for both the scientists and those responsible for political and economic decision-making based on rigorous scientific knowledge. PICES also means unlimited opportunities for mutually beneficial scientific cooperation, exchange of latest information and scientific knowledge dissemination.

Hopefully, the present Meeting will make a considerable contribution to solving the problems facing the organization. I would like to wish successful work to all the participants of the Annual Meeting, and have no doubts that your scientific findings will find practical

implementation. Thank you for the attention.

Dr. Huh thanked the Vice-Governor and asked Mr. Alexander Chistyakov (Deputy Chairman, State Committee of Fisheries, Russian Federation) to welcome participants on behalf of the Russian Government.

Dear Mr. Chairman, dear Executive Secretary, distinguished delegates, dear members of the Secretariat and Local Organizing Committee, ladies and gentlemen:

It is with great pleasure that I welcome the delegates and participants of the Eighth Annual PICES Meeting in Vladivostok on behalf of the State Committee of Fisheries of the Russian Federation.

The Far East is the main fishing region in Russia, and it is the Far Eastern fishermen who are mostly interested in practical scientific results both in the area of fundamental research and applied studies of the World Ocean. An opportunity of long-term forecasting of the ecosystems' changes in the Northern Pacific is a sine qua non condition to formulate tactical and strategic fishing policy in the Russian Far East. Activities of such a highly prestigious international organization as PICES are a very important contribution to a rational development of marine bioresources.

TINRO-Center is the largest research and fisheries management organization in Russia. The Center is actively involved in international scientific and technical cooperation with many Pacific Rim countries, and it has a vast experience in this area. This experience proved very beneficial when Russia joined PICES. The TINRO-Center Director represents Russia in PICES, and I would also like to highlight the efforts of TINRO-Center in organizing and holding this Eighth Annual Meeting.

Efficient and rational utilization of all the World Ocean resources first and foremost depends on the depth of scientific knowledge about global processes occurring in the hydrosphere. Such kind of work is above the effort of any one

scientific institution or even country. It is by joint research of all the countries interested in intensive studies of the Ocean that we can reach the necessary level to represent the trends of marine ecosystems' changes.

I am absolutely sure that the present Annual Meeting will help us to move one step further on the way to achieving the objectives set forth by PICES. I would like to wish successful and fruitful work to all the participants of this meeting. Thank you.

Dr. Huh then called upon Dr. William G. Doubleday to make a statement on behalf of the Canadian Government.

Mr. Chairman, honourable Vice-Governor, honoured guests, distinguished Delegates, and colleagues!

On behalf of Canada and the Canadian delegation, I wish to thank Russia for inviting PICES here to Vladivostok for the Eighth Annual Meeting. With this meeting, the scientists of PICES have been able to meet their colleagues at home in all member states and all member states have had an opportunity to meet PICES. We from overseas can now appreciate the close links between the Far Eastern Region of Russia and the sea, with its living and non-living resources.

Today, I will emphasize two themes: observing the influence of extreme climate events on marine ecosystems and improving the observation of the oceans.

PICES member countries and PICES scientists were very active during the big 1997-98 El Niño, observing the changes in the ocean and its ecosystems. We will see the results of this work at the Beyond El Niño symposium next spring. I expect this symposium, with the participation from several co-sponsoring fisheries commissions, will give new insights into the influence of climate extremes on living marine resources. We can expect more extreme climate variations in the future. PICES should seize these opportunities as they arise in order to gain understanding of how climate change will affect ocean ecosystems in the

coming century.

Observation of the oceans has always been limited. The lack of widespread and reliable data has held back description and understanding of the oceans. We are on the threshold of obtaining much better observations of the ocean. The ARGO system of profiling drifting buoys will have a similar effect on advancing ocean modelling and forecasting as weather balloons have had on meteorology. ARGO and other elements of GOOS, the Global Ocean Observing System, will provide a stronger base for the coupled ocean-atmosphere models, which forecast future climate. If we can forecast ocean conditions six months ahead, we will be able to provide useful weather forecasts six months ahead because the ocean is the dominant factor influencing weather on this time scale. Ocean observation of the North Pacific will require a major effort by PICES member countries. PICES should play an active role in coordinating both ARGO and GOOS in the North Pacific to ensure that the best possible results are achieved.

Mr. Chairman, Canada has been a strong supporter of PICES from the beginning. We continue to support the growth of PICES as the main forum for advancing and coordinating international marine science in the North Pacific. Thank you.

Dr. Huh called upon Dr. Makoto Kashiwai to speak on behalf of the Japanese Government.

Honorable Vice-Governor of Prymorye, Deputy Chairman of the Russian State Committee of Fisheries, distinguished delegates, and PICES scientists:

It is a great pleasure for me to be here as a part of the Japanese delegation to attend the Eighth Annual Meeting of PICES. On behalf of the Japanese Government and the Japanese marine science community, I would like to express our sincere thanks to the host, the Russian Government, and the Local Organizing Committee. As the result of their devoted efforts, we are here to accomplish PICES activities.

I would like to take this opportunity to make remarks on my expectation from, proposal to, and request for PICES.

First, my expectation from PICES. PICES is addressing the scientific questions on Climate Change and Carrying Capacity. This problem has a larger scientific scope that can be covered by any single discipline of marine science and has larger geographical scale than can be covered by any single country. Therefore, we need intergovernmental and interdisciplinary cooperation. I believe PICES was established to realize such cooperation and has been developing to strengthen such a function. Thus, I would like to expect PICES to continue to advance as a problem-solving organization rather than a big organization intending to cover larger number of discrete disciplines in marine science.

Second, my proposal to PICES. It is my pleasure to inform you that the Japanese Government proposes to hold the next Annual Meeting in the city of Hakodate. Hakodate is the sister city of Vladivostok, with a beautiful bay area, sightseeing spots and hot springs. You will never be disappointed by visiting Hakodate.

The scientist who was wishing most to invite the next Annual Meeting to Hakodate was Prof. Kiyotaka Ohtani. He had been a member of the FIS Committee since the first Annual Meeting. I feel very sad to inform you that we lost Prof. Ohtani in the middle of September. But I am sure that his hope is almost coming true. A "potential" local organizing committee for the next Annual Meeting has already been established in Hakodate, and two officers from Hakodate staff are observers at this Annual Meeting to understand its structure and arrangement. Therefore, I would like to propose all of the participants here to promise me to come to Hakodate and attend the Ninth Annual Meeting of PICES.

Third, my request to PICES scientists, and especially young scientists. I would like to request that young participants, especially from non-English speaking countries, do not think of

PICES Annual Meetings only as a place to present their papers. It is a place to commit and contribute to the scientific activities of PICES. The scientific sector of PICES is designed to receive your input. Therefore, I would like to request that you make positive participation in the meetings of scientific committees, working groups, CCCC-IP, and to encourage you to input your comments and ideas. Thank you for your attention.

Dr. Huh called upon Mr. Hai-Qing Li, to make a statement on behalf of the Chinese Government.

Mr. Chairman, the honorable Vice-Governor of Prymorye, Deputy Chairman of the Russian State Committee of Fisheries, distinguished Delegates, experts, ladies and gentlemen:

First of all, the Chinese delegation would like to join all previous speakers in congratulating the opening of the Eighth Annual Meeting of PICES in the beautiful city of Vladivostok. PICES, under the able chairmanship of Dr. Hyung-Tack Huh, has made remarkable progress over the past year in advancing the good of this Organization, and particularly in the promotion of cooperation among its member countries and with other organizations in various aspects of marine scientific research in the North Pacific Region. I am sure that with your leadership, this meeting will be a full success.

We would also like to congratulate Dr. Alexander Bychkov on becoming the new Executive Secretary of PICES. Your competence and experience will certainly benefit PICES and its member countries in pursuing our common goal. You can always count on our support in discharging your responsibilities as the Executive Secretary of PICES.

Since it is my first time to attend a PICES meeting, I would like to tell you how happy I am to join you and hopefully contribute to the work of PICES. I look forward to cooperating with all of you on various matters during the meeting.

Mr. Chairman, the Chinese Government attaches

great importance to marine affairs and marine science in particular. China is playing an active role in such international marine scientific organizations as IOC and SCOR. China highly values the importance of PICES in fostering the marine scientific research in the North Pacific region and will continue to contribute to the work of PICES to the extent possible.

Taking advantage of this opportunity, I would like to thank the PICES Secretariat in providing various support and service to the member countries of PICES, including in particular the Chinese scientists.

We would also like to thank the Government of Russia, the Governor of Prymorye, as well as our local organizer, the Pacific Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography (PINRO-Center), for their hospitality and excellent arrangements for the meeting. Finally, I wish the meeting a full success. Thank you.

Dr. Huh called upon Dr. Jin Yeong Kim to make a statement on behalf of the Republic of Korea.

Mr. Chairman, distinguished Delegates, Local Organizing committee members, ladies and gentlemen:

It is a great pleasure for me to have the opportunity to be here as part of the Korean delegation. On behalf of my Government, Korean delegation and scientists, I would like to thank Russia and PICES for inviting us to participate in the Eighth Annual Meeting. We appreciate, particularly, the very good work of the Secretariat, Executive Secretary, the Local Organizing Committee, and Dr. Hyung-Tack Huh, the Chairman.

PICES has made important progress since 1992. It was indeed encouraging to see that PICES has progressed from activities focused on reviewing scientific issues to its current efforts to develop cooperative scientific programs addressing vital marine science issues. Thus, we are proud of the progress that has been made through PICES symposia, workshops, and conferences to ensure the sustainable use of the renewable resources of

the North Pacific Ocean.

Korean scientists have studied long-term variation in the marine ecosystem and conservation strategies for fisheries resources through oceanographic observation and living marine resources research since 1915. Recently the GLOBEC study program has been adopted in Korea as a model for our study of climate change and carrying capacity.

With regard to the program for this Annual Meeting, we are pleased to see that PICES is addressing GLOBEC topics including fishery management, climatic change, carrying capacity, scientific visualization to marine ecosystem analysis, modelling and prediction of physical processes. All these topics are important for effective conservation of the North Pacific Ocean.

Korean scientists will be highly supportive of cooperative studies promoting and coordinating marine science in the North Pacific Ocean. These activities promise to be important in the development of PICES for sustaining marine living resources in the 21st century.

The Korean delegation wishes all participants at the Eighth Annual Meeting of PICES success in their scientific undertakings.

Dr. Huh called upon Dr. Vera Alexander to speak on behalf of the U.S. Government.

Mr. Chairman, honorable Vice-Governor, honored guests, distinguished Delegates, and colleagues:

It is a pleasure to have this opportunity, on behalf of the United States delegation, to express sincere gratitude to our Russian hosts for welcoming us here and providing such an outstanding venue to the Eighth Annual Meeting of PICES. We have now completed the circle – PICES has met in each and every member nation, and in doing so, has advanced an agenda of cooperative planning for North Pacific marine research hitherto unprecedented. PICES' tenth anniversary approaches. Are we now a mature organization?

I believe that we can say yes, as we have developed traditions, modus operandi, ways of relating to each other and getting things done. PICES has made tremendous progress during its short existence. PICES has learned from ICES and other international marine entities, but yet is unique. PICES has its own spirit, which will move the organization forward.

We cannot deny that there are scientific problems in the North Pacific which can only be solved by international cooperative research on a number of scales, in time, space and manpower. I see the PICES planning process as successfully approaching the scientific questions, which we must answer. The problems engendered by widespread changes in the ocean/atmosphere environment will inevitably affect all people in all places on earth. The North Pacific Ocean environment is inadequately studied and is so vast, that in light of global considerations, ocean research in the PICES area is critical to all nations. But it is especially important to the PICES nations.

We are looking forward to this meeting and to the progress which will be made. Once again, thank you to our hosts on behalf of the United States delegation.

Dr. Huh called upon Dr. Lev N. Bocharov to provide a few words on behalf of the Russian Federation.

Dear Mr. Chairman, Honorable Vice-Governor, Organizing Committee and distinguished Delegates, ladies and gentlemen:

It is a great honor for me as the Russian delegate to PICES to represent my country at the PICES Annual Meeting. This is the Eighth Annual Meeting of PICES, but the first one on Russian territory.

First of all, I would like to use this opportunity, and on behalf of the Russian Government and all Russian scientific quarters interested in profound studies of the World Ocean, to welcome you to Vladivostok, which is the biggest scientific center



in the Russian Far East.

I would also like to note especially the PICES Secretariat's efforts on the meeting arrangements and to thank its members for their huge and fruitful work. I wish to express a hope for further cooperation between the Secretariat and Local Organizing Committee in order to finish the Meeting's marathon with good results. On behalf of all Eighth Annual Meeting participants, let me convey the deepest thanks to the Governments of Prymorye Region and Vladivostok for the great assistance they rendered to TINRO-centre, as the main local organizer of this meeting.

Our country has always paid great attention to ocean studies. That is why the creation and increasing activity of PICES is very appreciated in Russia. Unfortunately, not all Russian participants could take part in the PICES meetings and conferences because of economical difficulties. In this respect the PICES support of such scientists who do not have enough financial ability to participate in PICES creative activity, is invaluable. In this context the Vladivostok Meeting is of great importance because it provides equal chances to all Russian scientific organizations.

For the people of the Russian Far East, it is even more important. There is no one Russian region where the economic prosperity and social stability depend so much on ocean resources and sea transportation.

It is also necessary to note that only the integrated results of the international scientific research activities in the field of the marine ecosystem and environmental capacity make more and more realistic the long-term forecasting in the condition of marine biological resources.

PICES is the international scientific organization which provides to the scientists constantly increasing opportunities. We also welcome the cooperation of PICES with other international marine scientific organizations.

The main results of PICES activities will become obvious in the 21st century. But even now the

many positive sides of such activities have made themselves notable. One of them is the opportunity of close and unconstrained contacts. I hope the Annual Meeting in Vladivostok will not be an exception and the results of this meeting will be useful to all participants. The scientists of six countries can revive and develop mutual contacts, strengthen friendship and continue scientific dialogue on a wide scope of science disciplines.

Autumn is the best season in Prymorye. The Annual Meeting participants should not only work, but also spend some free time to know our city better.

In conclusion let me once again wish success to the PICES Annual Meeting in Vladivostok. Thank you.

Dr. Huh thanked Vice-Governor Vladimir Stegny, representative of the Russian State Committee of Fisheries, Mr. Alexander Chistyakov, and all the delegates for their remarks and spoke on behalf of PICES.

Honorable Vice-Governor of Prymorye, Deputy Chairman of the State Committee of Fisheries for the Russian Federation, distinguished Delegates, ladies and gentlemen:

I would like to begin my remarks by thanking our hosts, the Russian Federation, and the State Committee of Fisheries for their hospitality in hosting this meeting, and the Pacific Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography (TINRO-Center) for their hard work in organizing the Eighth Annual Meeting of PICES.

I am very much delighted that the Eighth PICES Annual Meeting is held for the first time in the Russian Federation, in this beautiful city of Vladivostok, the capital of Prymorye and the center of all ocean-related scientific as well as commercial activities in the Far-Eastern Russia. We have gathered, from all directions of the Northern Pacific, here in Prymorye, "a land attached to the sea where the winds of all oceans meet".

As one of those who have been privileged to attend most of the previous PICES meetings, I am especially delighted to take part in this year's meeting, eye-witnessing the advancement of the PICES activities. Since the first annual meeting in 1992, PICES has steadily grown and expanded its activities through the workshops, symposia and publications. PICES has been a faithful apparatus in fulfilling its mission of promoting and coordinating marine science research and disseminating relevant information and data on the Northern Pacific Ocean among the marine scientists in the region.

PICES has been effective and successful in fostering enhanced communication among scientists of different countries and various disciplines, in identifying research priorities and in building up the research network, and in facilitating collaborative marine research in the North Pacific Ocean. It has been able to produce a rich array of scientific papers and publications, and to increase understanding that could be applied to problems such as the conservation and allocation of resources, protection of the marine environment, and prediction of the impacts of climate change, etc.

However, the process of transferring the scientific findings to users has not been well elaborated yet. There is no adequate international system to assess and monitor the state of the marine environment. As yet it is not possible for anyone to state unequivocally what the status of many parts of the Pacific is, how serious the threats are, if any, and what specifically should be done about them. I believe that an international organization such as PICES can make a major contribution toward the advancement of scientific knowledge that could serve for a better understanding and management of our oceans. Therefore, I sincerely hope that PICES will continue to establish effective links for collaborative efforts by countries of this region.

PICES is a young organization, but it has been recognized for its achievement not only by member countries, but also by other international

organizations such as IOC, ICES, SCOR, NPAFC, etc. We should strive to strengthen its ties with other international scientific organizations and programs and continue to serve as a focal point for integrating research programs in the North Pacific Ocean.

This year we have an exciting program of scientific sessions and workshops with many interesting topics such as climate regime shifts, physical processes in the subarctic North Pacific, coastal eutrophication and harmful algal blooms, fishery management, population dynamics of planktons, GOOS, GLOBEC and many more. I hope that everybody will take full benefit by actively participating in the sessions of this meeting.

Before closing my remarks, I would like to mention on the parting of Prof. Kiyotaka Ohtani of Hokkaido University, Japan. Prof. Ohtani had been deeply involved in PICES activities as a member of Working Group 5 on the Bering Sea, and served as one of co-editors for the new book "Dynamics of the Bering Sea". I hope you would join me in offering our sincere condolence to his passing.

In closing, I am confident that the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting will be another fruitful meeting providing us with new visions, ideas, and challenges toward the new ocean era. I hope you will find everything to your satisfaction, and that your meetings be enriched and successful. I wish you an enjoyable and memorable stay in Vladivostok.

Dr. Huh then introduced Ms. Patricia Livingston, the Science Board Chairman, to review PICES' scientific accomplishments.

The work of PICES is most visible in the annual science meetings that it organizes. However, the PICES Annual Meeting is just one way that PICES accomplishes its scientific purposes. The main scientific purposes of PICES are to:

Promote and coordinate marine scientific research in the northern North Pacific and adjacent seas

especially northward of 30 degrees North;  
Advance scientific knowledge about the ocean environment, global weather and climate change, living resources and their ecosystems, and the impacts of human activities;  
Promote the collection and rapid exchange of scientific information on these issues.

PICES as an organization has set up a structure to accomplish these goals. We have four Scientific Committees organized around the four broad disciplinary areas of:

Biological Oceanography (BIO)

Fishery Science (FIS)

Marine Environmental Quality (MEQ)

Physical Oceanography and Climate (POC).

In addition, PICES has a Technical Committee for Data Exchange (TCODE), and a Scientific Program for Climate Change and Carrying Capacity (CCCC), which has its own science and implementation plans. These committees and programs hold business meetings at the Annual Meeting that are open to the broad scientific community for input into the scientific sessions that will be held the next year or beyond, and to proposals that would benefit the scientific community, such as reviewing progress in their field or accelerating advances. Working Groups, workshops, and cooperative work with other programs are some of the ways these advances or reviews can be made. We have developed several ways of communicating the results of our scientific efforts.

One of the primary ways that PICES scientists exchange scientific information is at our Annual Meetings. These meetings are designed to promote the presentation of interdisciplinary research results and innovative trends in research within the disciplines. Working Groups and scientific programs may also hold workshops just prior to these Annual Meetings. Unlike many other scientific meetings that focus on a particular discipline, PICES is relatively unique in the Pacific with its focus on integrating knowledge across the marine science disciplines.

PICES scientists are increasing their collaborations with scientists in other programs. The Beyond El Niño meeting that is planned for the spring of 2000 is the first large co-sponsored meeting in which PICES has taken the lead. PICES and most of the major international fishery organizations of the North Pacific, are working together to examine the effects of the very strong El Niño of 1997-1998 and the interannual, decadal, and interdecadal scales of variability in the Pacific and the possible implications for fishery production and management.

PICES scientists have made significant advancements in the ways they communicate their research results to the scientific community. The results of the 1998 Science Board Symposium on the ecosystem dynamics of the eastern and western gyres of the subarctic Pacific are now published in the peer-reviewed literature in a special issue of *Progress in Oceanography*. Similar special volumes are planned for the results of this year's Science Board Symposium and for next year's Beyond El Niño Conference. The efforts of the Bering Sea Working Group of PICES has led to the publication of a new book on the Bering Sea (*Dynamics of the Bering Sea*). This book represents a true international collaboration to update and present our knowledge of this shared sea. Three PICES Working Groups (WG 8 - Practical assessment methodology, WG 11 - Consumption of marine resources by marine mammals and birds, and WG 12 - Crabs and shrimps) have finished their work and will publish the results in the PICES Scientific Report Series this coming year. PICES continues to promote the rapid exchange of information through its Scientific Report Series, PICES Press and the data inventories and other information located on the PICES web site (<http://pices.ios.bc.ca>)

Some truly collaborative fieldwork is now being conducted and planned by the PICES scientific community. This year, a Practical Workshop was held in Vancouver Harbor by scientists of MEQ Working Group 8 on Practical Assessment Methodology. Scientists from each of the PICES member countries were able to attend. This

Practical Workshop has set the stage for these scientists to do future collaborative work in the area of marine environmental quality. Similarly, POC Working Group 13 (CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific) also held a multi-national Technical Workshop this year. Their intercalibration exercise for laboratory measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> was recognized by the IOC/JGOFS Advisory Panel on Ocean Carbon Dioxide as contributing to the high quality of North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> measurements in the future, which will allow multinational synthesis and lead to improved understanding of carbon cycle processes. The PICES-GLOBEC Climate Change and Carrying Capacity Program (CCCC) was successful in obtaining funding from the North Pacific Marine Research Program to perform a two-year study to initiate continuous plankton recorder (CPR) monitoring in the North Pacific. The next challenge will be to find a way to maintain this monitoring as a long-term effort.

PICES has several directions for its future scientific efforts. Proposals are being examined on ways to improve our Annual Meeting structure so that it is more focused on integrating across the scientific disciplines and in encouraging the participation of young scientists. We need to find ways to promote more interaction with regional and international programs of the most interest to PICES scientists. In particular, there are many regional programs in the North Pacific that involve several PICES nations. PICES has an opportunity to bring its ecosystem perspective to these regional programs and provide assistance in coordinating research in these areas. There are many large international programs in the marine science area and PICES will be focusing its efforts on cooperation with those that are in the best interest of the PICES scientific community and of greatest benefit to PICES member nations. One of our biggest challenges still lies in promoting and coordinating international research efforts in the open North Pacific. The initiation and continuation of collaborative research efforts in this area will benefit all PICES nations that border this important area. Finally, providing scientific results that are useful to marine policy makers of the North Pacific is our ultimate goal.

Ms. Livingston then called upon Dr. Richard Addison, former Chairman of the Marine Environmental Quality Committee (MEQ), to brief the 1999 MEQ Practical Workshop.

The MEQ Practical Workshop (planned and developed by Working Group 8) was held in the laboratories at West Vancouver (Department Fisheries and Oceans), BC, Canada between May 22 and June 8, 1999. The objectives of the Workshop were to bring together scientists from all member countries to work together on a common issue of marine pollution and, in doing so, to compare different approaches used in member countries. The Workshop was modelled on previous workshops organized by ICES and IOC, and it was recognized that in addition to addressing the general issue of harmonizing approaches to the assessment of marine pollution, there would be a cultural benefit of having scientists from member countries working together and sharing sampling equipment, samples and analytical data.

The Workshop took the form of a two-week practical study of various aspects of pollution in Vancouver Harbour and the surrounding areas. As a general introduction, participants spent a day outlining their national approaches to addressing marine pollution problems. There followed an intensive field program in Vancouver Harbour, which focused on sampling benthos and sediment (involving scientists from all member countries); benthic flatfish sampling (involving scientists from Canada, USA, Japan and Russia); and sampling inter-tidal algae and animals (involving scientists from Russia, China, Japan, Korea and Canada). In all, 22 scientists participated in all or part of the Workshop. The sampling approaches and subsequent analyses were selected to cover both chemical and biological measurements, since one of the underlying aims of the Workshop was to relate these two types of measurements. In some cases, analyses of samples were carried out at West Vancouver and in other cases, samples were shipped to the participants' home laboratories for analysis.

It is too early to summarize much of the data

emerging from the Workshop as analyses are still being carried out, but we can already anticipate some of the outcomes. For example, measurements of the frequency of "imposex" in inter-tidal molluscs made during the Workshop by Dr. Horiguchi (Japan) and Mr. Li (China) will extend a time-series of such measurements made previously in Canada, and which will contribute to a better description of the spatial and temporal trends in this effect of TBT-based anti-fouling paints. Comparison of molecular and pathological responses of benthic flatfish to various organic pollutants (made by Canadian and US scientists) will provide further support for the application of these techniques in the assessment of marine pollution. However, perhaps the greatest benefit of the Workshop was the improved understanding and communication among participants, and the opportunities that were identified for future collaboration among member countries.

Dr. Addison took the opportunity to thank the Workshop organizers, particularly Dr. Colin D. Levings, the local host at West Vancouver, and Dr. John E. Stein and Ms. Carla Stehr from the NMFS Laboratory, in Seattle, all of whose hard work and dedication had made the Workshop a success.

Ms. Livingston introduced Dr. Vyacheslav P. Shuntov, of the Laboratory of Applied Biocenology, Pacific Research Institute of Fisheries and Oceanography (TINRO-Centre), to give the keynote lecture. The following is the full text of the lecture.

Keynote lecture: "Review of Research into Macroecosystems of the Far-Eastern Seas: Results, Objectives, Doubts"

The history of Russian research in the Far Eastern Seas is about one hundred years long. In the beginning there were geographical, hydrographical, zoological and bio-geographical investigations followed by two periods of intensive research development – at the end of the 1920s and during the 1950s. During both periods, biological (including ecological) studies were

significantly intensified, mainly due to the requirements of the expanding Soviet Union fishing industry. Despite obvious progress in ecological research, (e.g. the famous research vessel "Vityaz" cruises and long-term TINRO-VNIRO Bering Sea expeditions that started in 1958), these cannot be called ecosystem research programs in the strictest sense. Even a well-known book of P.A. Moiseev "Biological Resources of the World Ocean" (1969) contained no calculations of total biological or fish production in the Far Eastern seas. Yet such calculations had been done for most of the World Ocean regions, based on a general knowledge of carbon and energy transformation across the trophic web. Generally, there were insufficient data on many ecosystem components in Far Eastern seas, especially for the lowest trophic levels. The situation changed significantly during the 1980s. The logic of step-by-step scientific research and necessity of knowledge of biological function resulted in the successful development of complex research in Japan Sea bays conducted by the USSR Academy of Science and TINRO. The aquaculture boom during those years gave additional incentives to initiate such research.

By the 1980s, the need to strengthen traditional biological research with an ecosystem approach became evident, especially in view of multiple failures in fisheries forecasts, and the shift of Russian commercial fishing operations into its Exclusive Economic Zone in 1977. Managing bioresources by fisheries regulation alone demanded a more detailed knowledge on biological structure, productivity, and regular trends in ecosystem functioning including interactions among species and among groups of aquatic organisms. As a result, a new long-term line of research into macroecosystems of the Far Eastern seas was formed in TINRO in the beginning of the 1980s. The lack of researchers in the field of biological production, and in the lowest trophic level components, as well as the lack of sufficient knowledge of the principles of biocenosis structuring, limited the scope and constrained objectives during research planning. It's a pity to speak about this, because from the beginning of the 1980s we managed to arrange

tens of expeditions with only a limited list of objectives. The research potential was partly increased by some hydrochemists and hydrobiologists from VNIRO and the Academy of Science, who participated in TINRO expeditions. Some information on lower trophic levels was collected by academician's expeditions in limited areas. For some reason it is rather difficult or even impossible to extrapolate those data to larger regions. I mentioned such prosaic items in order to show that there are still many "bottlenecks" in working out ecosystem research subjects.

Nevertheless, regular ecosystem (bioceno-logical) research in the Russian Far Eastern seas started nearly 20 years ago, and as a result our knowledge of the nature of the Far Eastern seas has changed and lead to the following set of observations and questions:

1. I suppose, the most important finding is that biological and fish productivity in the Russian Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) is significantly higher than it had been assumed before. For years, the Atlantic Ocean was assumed to be more productive. Moreover, it was well known that many commercial stocks decreased as a result of comparatively moderate fishing pressure during the 1950s–1960s (by 1960, the total catch within the Russian EEZ amounted to only 1.1 million metric tons).

As a result of numerous bottom and pelagic trawl surveys, which covered the entire Russian EEZ area down to 1000 meters depth, the total biomass of fish and large invertebrates from the 1980s to the beginning of the 1990s was roughly estimated to be 90–100 million metric tons. Rather unexpectedly, small mesopelagic fishes comprised almost half of the total assessed biomass. At the same time, special research data analyses of various animal groups, and some retrospective estimates made it possible to quantify the highest trophic levels. The present abundance of whales in the Russian EEZ (including residents and migrants) is about 100–120 thousand individuals (the initial estimate was approximately two times higher), plus about 250 thousand small dolphins.

The number of resident marine birds is approximately 26 million individuals.

Even the first rough estimates of food consumption required at the highest trophic levels showed an obvious discrepancy between the assessments and lower trophic level biomass and primary production. At peak levels during the 1980s in the Bering and Okhotsk seas, walleye pollock alone consumed about 350 million tons of zooplankton, 11 million tons of squid, and 30 million tons of small fishes. It is hard to imagine such an impressive rate of trophic interrelations. All these facts challenged our methods of data collection and processing of phytoplankton, zooplankton, bacteria and, partially, benthos. Of course, the main difficulty here was (and is) the low catch efficiency of small plankton (straining through the net), and macroplankton avoidance. This problem has a certain history, and has been given no rest to aquatic biologists for a long time. It is worth noting that estimates of primary production of the World Ocean have increased in the last 30 years, as methods were improved. According to the joint TINRO-VNIRO expeditions (papers published by Dr. V.V. Sapozhnikov and co-authors), the yearly production of phytoplankton in various areas of the Bering and Okhotsk Seas lies in the range of 260–350 g/m<sup>3</sup>. This data is close to my earlier estimates of 430–450 g/m<sup>3</sup> for these seas, though in this work total production of phytoplankton, macro seaweed and phytobenthos-periphyton was assumed. These are 2–3 times higher than most of the known estimates.

Not long ago Dr. Yu. I. Sorokin and his colleagues from the Institute of Oceanography of the Russian Academy of Sciences showed that the biomass and production of bacteria and protozoa in the Far Eastern seas are among the most productive in the World Ocean. These organisms play a major role in ecosystem functioning. On the one hand, species of the so called microbial loop (or detritus chain) make the trophic pathways longer, but on the other hand, they provide a more stable and significant source of food for larger plankton and for the early life-history stages of nekton and nektobenthos.

In order to adjust for the sampling bias in estimating macroplankton biomass, we began to use correction factors for catch efficiency and time of sampling. Such a simple practice resulted in substantial changes in our view of the structure and biomass of plankton communities. The revised estimates of zooplankton biomass appeared to be 2-3 times higher (400-460 g/m<sup>3</sup> for the Okhotsk Sea and Pacific side of Kuril Islands, and 230-260 g/m<sup>3</sup> for the Bering Sea, Japan Sea and Pacific side of Kamchatka). The predominant group was macrozooplankton (70-80%), not micro- or meso-plankton. It is doubtful, whether the situation is different in other areas of the World Ocean. Hence, speaking about biota alone, the scale of biological production in marine ecosystems is much larger than it seemed before. I think there is no need for additional comments to explain importance of this general conclusion, though one problem is worth noting.

As mentioned above, by the beginning of the 1960s, the total catch within the present Russian EEZ reached only 1.1 million tons but we are confident that the stock abundance of the dominant species had decreased by that time due to fishing pressure. During the 1980s the total catch was 4-5 million tons, which is in good agreement with the higher estimates of abundance of nekton and benthos. The intriguing point is that when the catch was 1 million tons, stock abundance was decreasing, but when the catch was 4-5 million tons stock abundance was growing. Of course, in the 1970s–1980s the main harvested species were walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*) and sardine (*Sardinops melanostictus*), but this fact does not explain the matter. This suggests that the susceptibility of species and communities to anthropogenic factors, including fishing, changes with time. Within this context the vulnerability of organisms was higher during the 1940s–1960s, lower during the 1970s–mid- 1990s and probably lower during the 1920–1930s.

2. Such comparisons bring us to the problem of cycles in natural processes with a period of about

40-60 years. With particular attention to these cycles, I don't deny smaller cycles, e.g., those connected with El Niño or the North Pacific oscillation. Nevertheless, I do not think that any significant change in biota is the result of ocean climate change or cosmophysical factors. I believe in the idea of systematic processes in nature, but I can also accept that these changes are the result of synchronous impact of random events.

The 40–60 year cycles are observed in climate-oceanological dynamics, and as a consequence in population, species and biocenosis dynamics. This is favored by rather long duration of these cycles, which could probably be regarded as small epochs, or ages. Everything connected with 40-60 year cycles is an interesting and disputable issue, requiring assessment of current situation with reference to the past and future events. Here we cannot proceed without even passing comments on global warming. It is bound to the “greenhouse effect”, especially as there are unfeasible statements that the biomass of walleye pollock in the Eastern Arctic can reach 15–20 million tons providing yearly catch of 5 million tons due to anthropogenic global warming in the foreseeable future (Patin, 1997, “Fisheries”, No. 3). These figures sound optimistic, though it should be kept in mind that most of predicted consequences of global warming are rather disturbing. I do not think that the “greenhouse effect” has an absolute effect on global climate. I also do not support the idea of oceanic depletion as a result of ozone shield exhaustion. I cannot identify these ideas as a twentieth century hoax, but rather consider them as extreme interpretations, that are not supported by sufficient arguments.

I would like to recall that different types of cycles are characteristic of the Earth's climate. During the post-glacial period there was a long period of climatic optimum, then the less significant warm Viking age. The so-called lesser glacial period ended almost 150 years ago. In view of this fact, I think that the 20th century is the beginning of next warm period similar to the Viking age. It is intrinsic that events within such period are multidirectional with shorter cycles. I pay special

attention to the above mentioned 40-60 years cycles, which I considered at first as simple alternation of warm (1920-1930s, 1970-mid-1990s), and cold periods (1940-1960s, end of 1990s). Changes in biota, especially in pelagic communities, seemed to correspond to these periods, judging by dynamics in abundance ratio for walleye pollock and herring (*Clupea pallasii*) in the northern boreal areas, and sardines and Japanese anchovy (*Engraulis japonicus*) in the southern boreal areas.

Curiously, the temperature background during 1920 - 1930s was generally lower than during the next two decades. During this period, a northward expansion of not only distinctly southern species but also the entire biota communities toward high latitudes was observed. The southern boundaries of cold-loving species and biotic communities moved in the same direction. No similar expansion was observed during the recent and more significant warming, though southern species appeared frequently in the northern areas. It is worth noting that the Japanese anchovy was found in its northernmost and coldest area, Ayano-Ionsky region, for the first time during the Okhotsk Sea research in the summer of 1998. Apparently, this event and the catch of a young swordfish (*Xiphias gladius*) in the southern Okhotsk Sea in the summer of 1985 have a common background. It is characteristic that strong changes in nekton communities of the Far Eastern seas occurred in the beginning of the 1990s at high temperature background. At that time, multiple migrations of sardines into Russian waters stopped, walleye pollock abundance decreased considerably, while herring, Japanese anchovy, and common squid (*Todarodes pacificus*) abundance increased. These facts suggest: firstly, that the trend (decreasing or increasing) and duration of water temperature changes played a leading role in these species changes, and not simply the absolute temperature (within a certain limit). Secondly, that a temperature change is evidence of more important processes, particularly changes in dynamics of other, apparently more important complex characters, such as of water exchange rate between

Table 1. Interannual abundance (x106 t) of some pelagic community indicators in the northern Okhotsk Sea.

the Far Eastern seas and the Pacific Ocean, as well as water dynamics in general, and atmospheric transfer (zonal or meridional).

During the period from 1940 to 1960, no progressive global temperature rise was observed. Sometimes the temperature even decreased. Presently, I think that the temperature rise has already stopped in the modern 40-60 year cycle. Significant positive anomalies persisted up to 1998, but winter, spring and summer periods of 1999 appear abnormally cold on this warm background.

Until recently, it was evident that the biological and fish productivity of the Far Eastern seas increased during warm periods. Such periods have more stable climatic and oceanological conditions. In the beginning of the 1990s, the nekton biomass in Russian waters decreased by nearly 15 million tons. By the middle of the present decade it decreased by 25-30 million tons (walleye pollock, pilchard, northern smoothtongue – *Leuroglossus schmidti*). By this time the number of cold-loving “alternative” nekton species increased by about 5 million tons (Pacific herring, Atka mackerels – *Pleurogrammus* spp., Pacific saury – *Cololabis saira*, common squid). Thus, there was an obvious trend towards decreasing production in Russian waters, and there is no reason to expect that such trend will change in the next few years. Changes were also observed in the plankton communities. In the beginning of the 1990s the percentage of predatory plankton abruptly increased up to 50-60% of total meso- and macrozooplankton biomass. By 1995, the percentage of predatory plankton retained its “normal” level, 20-25% of total biomass.

Unfortunately, thereafter large-scale observations on planktonic communities were conducted only in the Okhotsk Sea where zooplankton abundance decreased abruptly in 1997 and 1998. Relationships between zooplankton abundance and fish biomass, as well as total amount of food consumed by nektonic animals indicated that there was low food availability (Table 1).



Index	1986 – 1988 (Summer)	1997 – 1998 (Summer)	1999 (Spring)
Nekton biomass	9 – 12	7 - 8	6.5
Zooplankton biomass	140 – 180	73 - 90	182
Nekton daily diet	0,5 – 0,6	0,32 – 0,47	0,29
Zooplankton / nekton 2 month diet	7 – 9	3.2 – 3.8	11.7

It seemed that these recent observations fully corresponded to the conclusion that a less productive period has begun. But in the spring of 1999, the plankton quantity sharply increased to a level more typical of the 1980s, mainly due to an increased abundance of euphausiids and copepods. When compared to 1998, the fish feeding rate significantly increased: 3-7 times for walleye pollock, and 1.5-2 times for herring. It is interesting that similar changes had occurred in the Navarin-Anadyr area of the Bering Sea during the previous year. The zooplankton quantity increased thereby almost 3 fold, to 6x10<sup>6</sup> t in summer, 5x10<sup>6</sup> t in autumn during 1980s, and 22x10<sup>6</sup> t in summer, 15x10<sup>6</sup> t in autumn in 1998. On the other hand, walleye pollock quantity decreased from 3.5x10<sup>6</sup> t down to 1.2x10<sup>6</sup> t during that period. The annual food consumption remained approximately the same: 15.5 and 14x10<sup>6</sup> t. The feeding rate of pollock in the Bering and Okhotsk Seas increased significantly. These data suggest that there could be striking differences in taking advantage of one trophic level potential over another in relation to general production rate. We can also suggest that there is a sufficient resilience in a trophic structure of oceanic communities.

I am not sure if these observations were episodic, i.e. simple interannual dynamics, or whether they are part of a large-scale trend. But this draws attention to some rather disturbing conclusions that there is a critical ecological situation in the Bering Sea (PICES Press, Vol. 7, No. 1, 2). There have been some negative consequences of abnormal warming – coccolithophorid blooms, increased mortality of birds and mammals, decreasing abundance of salmon and other fishes. I can understand such worries, though to my mind there is too much unnecessary drama, and no

crisis at all. I assume that similar events have occurred before, and will take place in the future. Moreover, as I already mentioned, plankton abundance in the Bering Sea sharply increased in 1998. But what is more important to note is that natural communities are not rigidly integrated systems. That is why some species can easily get in and out of them without any serious consequences in their long-term dynamics. Such soft bonds in communities and ecosystems are evidenced by a variety of cycles in dynamics of populations and species abundance. Therefore, an anomaly (high or low) does not necessarily result in the “falling domino principle”. In my opinion, the chess analogy is more suitable here, where there are many variants under restricted rules. The main point is not to confuse these multiple situations with a global trend, as is frequently done.

Increasing zooplankton abundance, first in the Bering Sea, and then in the Okhotsk Sea suggests that there is an order to the events in the North Pacific. If events also move from east to west as a rule, then other comparisons arise. Recent declines in the reproductive rate and abundance of walleye pollock shifted from the Gulf of Alaska and eastern Bering Sea in the late 1980s to the western Bering Sea, and then to the Okhotsk Sea in the mid 1990s. The same situation was observed in reproduction rate of some marine birds. An increased mortality of birds, particularly kittiwake (*Rissa tridactyla*) in American waters was observed in the 1980s, but not until the 1990s in Asian waters. The wave of high abundance of predatory plankton moved from east to west in the 1990s and stopped in the Okhotsk Sea.

The disturbing negative trend in North American salmon abundance has not been observed in Asian

stocks yet. At least in 1999 fishing for salmon was as fruitful as during the previous decade, but I believe that negative trends will appear in the near future. There are probably more examples, but all of them point to the significance of the North Pacific Subarctic Gyre system as a background. The eastern Bering Sea is closely connected with this gyre system through water exchange. The circulation continues through the western Bering Sea down to east Kamtchatka and the northern Kuril regions, and then into the Okhotsk Sea. This is a probable route of expansion for some anomalies.

As I already mentioned discussing the ecological crisis in the Bering Sea, the biota in adjacent areas may not necessarily react in the same way and with the same profile. A common forcing may result in different biological responses in these regions. I consider this as evidence of an underestimated “provinciality law”. The biocenosis environment, i.e. the composition and

structure (mainly trophic) of communities is of great importance as well. It can either intensify, or on the contrary, quench many processes, providing the so called “chess situations”. This is demonstrated in Table 2, where the main areas of Russian waters (data for the entire Bering and Japan seas are presented) are ranged according to abundance of nutrients and main groups of marine animals.

Table 2 shows that hydrochemical prerequisites during generation of first food are used in various regions differently. There are also certain differences in utilizing of energy by various trophic levels. It makes those areas rather specific, with certain peculiarities in ecological capacities. Such a comprehensive ecosystem parameter determines differences in cyclic events in various areas. Since ecological capacity is the main integral ecosystem character it deserves additional consideration.

Table 2. Areas ranked according to abundance of nutrients, plankton and marine animals groups.

No.	Nutrients	Phyto-, bacteria, protozoa	Zooplankton	Benthos	Fishes and commercial invertebrates	Mammals, birds
1	Kur.*, E.Kam.	Okh. S.	Okh. S.	Okh. S.	Ber. S.	Ber. S.
2	Kur., E.Kam.	Ber. S.	Kur.	Ber. S.	Okh. S.	Okh. S.
3	Ber. S.	E.Kam.	Ber. S.	E.Kam	Kur	Kur
4	Okh. S.	Kur.	E.Kam.	Jap. S.	Jap. S.	E.Kam.
5	Jap. S.	Jap. S.	Jap. S.	Kur.	E.Kam.	Jap. S.

\* Kur. – Pacific side of the Kuril Islands; E.Kam. – Kamchatka-Kommandor, the Pacific Ocean; Ber. S. – Bering Sea; Okh. S. – Okhotsk Sea; Jap. S. – Japan Sea.

3. Ecological capacity of the habitat is the ability of an environmental complex to provide conditions for reproduction and normal vital activities for a certain number of organisms. Ecological capacity is characterized by the amount of biomass which can make density factor working. Generally speaking, it is worth noting that the term “ecological capacity” has many interpretations – from general system bioproductivity values, down to species and

populations abundance levels. It appears as though we deal here with a situation that is similar to a great variety of interpretations of the term “ecology”. In any case, it is impossible, and there is no need to narrow the use of this term in the “legal” sense.

Some indirect observations can indicate ecological capacity limits. For example, for a long time I paid much attention to the observation that there

is less plankton in the Bering Sea than in the Okhotsk Sea per unit area, though the fish abundance is higher in the former. Consequently, competitive relationships are stronger in the Bering Sea. Therefore, the dynamics of walleye pollock and herring abundance here are strongly counter-tied. The upper limit of walleye pollock abundance in the Bering Sea was attained during the 1980s. In my opinion, it reached 25 million tons at that time and despite the fact that the warm 1980s were more favorable for pollock reproduction and more than enough spawners took part in reproduction, the reproduction efficiency and total abundance decreased approximately 3-fold due to density.

Feeding mainly on plankton and small nekton, walleye pollock has a pronounced effect on other community components, especially during peaks of abundance. It was clearly observed in the Okhotsk Sea where, during the peak of abundance in the 1980s, pollock consumed 4.5 million tons of squid and 12 million tons of small fishes annually. By the mid 1990s the biomass of large pollock decreased 3 fold, while the biomass of small nekton (Pacific stout sandlance – *Ammodytes hexapterus*, northern smoothtongue, capelin – *Mallotus villosus*) increased 4-5 fold, at least in the epipelagic layers in the northern Okhotsk Sea.

It is easy to provide more specific examples of factors limiting ecological. They have a direct bearing on the widely discussed problem of ecosystem regulation by “bottom-up control” and “top-down control”. Not long ago these two mechanisms were opposed to each other in regard to priority. It became evident, that the combination of predator pressure and the availability of resources are widely distributed, as well as their unidirectional influence. Returning to walleye pollock, I would like to review some of my previously published calculations for the Okhotsk and Bering seas. In the 1980s the total catch of walleye pollock in the entire North Pacific was 6-7x10<sup>6</sup> t, including 3.8x10<sup>6</sup> t in the Okhotsk and Bering seas. Predators consumed 3.2x10<sup>6</sup> t in the

Okhotsk Sea and 6.9 x10<sup>6</sup> t in the Bering Sea annually. This is “top-down control”. From the other side, the most important argument for the “bottom-up control” is the alternation of long-standing periods of increased and decreased biological production that shows up at various trophic levels. But initially such alternations are determined by dynamics of atmospheric and oceanographic processes with the same regularity.

I would like to note that the concept of ecological capacity and dynamics has an applied importance. It is closely related to rational use of nature, including aquaculture in a wide sense. In my opinion, the introduction of a new species into natural communities means falling into a sticky cobweb of resilient trophic interrelations. Trophic connections depend very much on relation between species ecological potential and the resistance of environment.

Recognizing both the reality and the scale of “top-down control” stimulates the necessity to address the issue of harvesting marine mammals. I pay special attention to it because of wide-ranging “green” opinions on this subject. It is well known that commercial use of lower trophic levels (up to zooplankton) and benthos is quite difficult technically. Protectionist attitudes prevail in utilizing upper trophic levels nowadays. This is “emotional ecology” which considers “lawful” only catching of middle trophic level representatives such as fishes, squids, crabs, etc. I doubt whether such selectivity is an example of rational use of nature. Hunting marine mammals is thus not only possible but perhaps even necessary, with due consideration, or course, to recent negative lessons from global poaching.

Returning to limiting factors and regulation of abundance and biomass in ecosystems, I would like to especially note that this complicated problem is not limited to the problem of top-down and bottom-up control. I think there is sufficient evidence of control by various physical factors, e.g., water temperature. This is quite clear from data presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Density (g/m<sup>2</sup>) of plankton, benthos, nekton, and nektobenthos concentrations in the northern Okhotsk Sea in 1997.

Groups of animals	North-western Okhotsk Sea	North-eastern Okhotsk Sea
Zooplankton	129	124
Zoobenthos (averaged over years)	300	430
Nekton	5.2	17.4
Bottom fishes	1.8	6.6
Commercial invertebrates (crabs, shrimps, buccinidae, etc.)	0.7	1.6

It is well known that the eastern Okhotsk Sea is much warmer than the western side. Moreover, the northwestern shelf looks like an arctic basin in its climate and biological conditions. The northwestern and northeastern parts of the Okhotsk Sea are not contrasted with each other any more in terms of hydro-chemical conditions of biological productivity. Indexes of primary production, as well as zooplankton and benthos biomass are similar in these two regions. Low temperature in general does not limit their development. As for upper trophic levels, the biomass of pelagic and bottom animals (except marine mammals) is several times higher in the northwestern Okhotsk Sea, which has milder temperature conditions (Table 3). The main limiting factor is the low water temperature, especially the lenses of water with negative temperatures that exist during the whole summer period. It is well known that a large number of fish species and commercial invertebrates are really cold-loving and are attracted by cold waters regarding in their distribution. But their ecological potential is much lower than that of temperate (boreal) species, which serve as a source for fishery in the temperate North Pacific. Henceforward, it is not surprising that those parts of the Okhotsk Sea which are under oceanic influence, as well as Bering and Chuckchi seas, are the most favorable for fish.

In conclusion, I would like to express some general considerations. Applied problems, such as those in fisheries and aquaculture, give a strong impetus to develop our knowledge and research activities in the ocean and its seas, though many people are simply interested in creating new

knowledge.

One of the “ultimate applied goals” of long-term research in the Russian Far Eastern seas is to develop large-scale managed fisheries, including fishing and aquaculture. This concept includes conservation, particularly the idea “for us, for children and for grandchildren”. Taking into account the current situation it seems Utopian, although there is an evident need to establish desirable, but perhaps unreachable, objectives.

Russians are not deprived of at least one thing. We have no atolls with palms growing, and the Southern Cross does not appear above our cold seas. At the same time, bioproductive potential of the Russian Far Eastern Seas is very large, and there are many commercial species of high value.

Today we know much more about our seas than 10-15 years ago. The ecosystem approach of our research tells a lot, though our knowledge about structure and functioning of ecosystems is still insufficient. We cannot evaluate the scale of events we are dealing with. Evaluation and interpretation of those events are often hypothetical, as I have mentioned above. The three basic scientific items of my report: production hydrobiology, biocenology and trophology, - present various possibilities for improvement of views and concepts in these fields of research. We always should remember that "Only one who keeps going will reach his destination".

It is well known that data collecting alone does not always lead to new steps in knowledge, and

sometimes it looks like a roundabout. New ideas and concepts, which can aim research in a certain direction, are necessary. As for the Russian Far Eastern seas, reliable estimates of abundance of marine organisms have appeared only recently. As for the lower trophic levels, there is almost a complete lack of available data, especially on production and trophic relations. That is why even sheer data collecting is worth doing for the immediate future in this field.

Today our applied fishery science deals with

macroecosystems of the Russian Far Eastern seas, including total assessments of biota structure and functional relationships among members of communities. In this connection, I think there are certain problems in other areas of the North Pacific. It is hard to imagine serious progress in ecosystem research without fundamental academic research and certainly without coordinated or synchronous international programs which cover large oceanic areas. Those marine areas present an enormous scene for tremendous and sometimes very intriguing dramatic performances.

# REPORT OF GOVERNING COUNCIL MEETINGS

3

8

The Governing Council met on October 11, 16 and 17, under the Chairmanship of Dr. Hyung-Tack Huh. Drs. Alexander S. Bychkov and Stewart (Skip) M. McKinnell served as rapporteurs.

All Contracting Parties were represented at the three sessions (*GC Endnote 1*). The Chairman of the Science Board, Ms. Patricia Livingston, and the Chairman of the Finance and Administration Committee, Dr. Richard J. Marasco, were in attendance during part or all of each session.

## **Agenda Item 1. Opening remarks**

At the first session, the Chairman welcomed the delegates and noted that for this Annual Meeting Dr. Richard J. Beamish represented Dr. Laura Richards (Canada); Mr. Hai-Qing Li represented Mr. Jing-Guang Li (China); Mr. Qian-Fei Liu represented Mr. Zheng-Ping Tang (China); Mr. Koji Harunari represented Mr. Yukiya Amano (Japan); Dr. Jin-Yeong Kim represented Mr. Sung-Ho Joo (Korea); Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko represented Dr. Sergey E. Dyagilev (Russia); and Dr. John E. Stein represented Dr. James W. Balsiger (U.S.A.).

The Chairman asked Parties to confirm their members who would attend the Finance and Administration Committee meeting (*F&A Endnote 1*).

## **Agenda Item 2. Adoption of agenda**

The Chairman reviewed the agenda and suggested the order in which to take up the various items. Dr. William G. Doubleday proposed the adoption of the agenda without changes, seconded by Dr. Vera Alexander. This report summarizes the treatment of each agenda item during the

course of the three sessions.

## **Agenda Item 3. Appointment of Executive Secretary and Assistant Executive Secretary**

The Chairman briefed Council on the recent changes in the PICES Secretariat. Dr. Alexander S. Bychkov was appointed as the Executive Secretary, effective June 1, 1999, and subsequently, Dr. Stewart M. McKinnell was appointed as the Assistant Executive Secretary, effective September 7, 1999. Dr. Huh stated with confidence that they will advance the work of the Secretariat as a team. Drs. Bychkov and McKinnell expressed their thanks for the support given by Council.

Dr. Bychkov reviewed the process of selecting the new Assistant Executive Secretary. He noted that the response to the advertisement was overwhelming. The Secretariat received 13 applications from highly competent individuals, representing all the PICES member countries, all with unique talents and assets. The fact that so many distinguished candidates applied for the position to become part of our team suggests that in a mere eight years, PICES has become an influential and inspiring spawning ground for marine scientific research and international cooperation, and has a potential to grow to highly reputable authority.

## **Agenda Item 4. Preliminary Report on Administration**

The Executive Secretary summarized the activities of the Secretariat since the PICES Seventh Annual Meeting (*GC Endnote 2*).

## **Agenda Item 5. Relations with other international organizations and observers from such organizations**

Letters of invitation to attend PICES VIII were sent to inter-governmental and non-governmental organizations on the agreed Standing List of Organizations and Programs, and the following sent observers:

Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS)  
Dr. Ned Cyr  
International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC) Dr. Steven R. Hare  
Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (IOC)  
North-East Asian Regional GOOS (NEAR-GOOS) Prof. Jihui Yan  
North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission (NPAFC) Dr. Yukimasa Ishida  
Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research (SCOR) Dr. Suam Kim

Council approved the additions to the Standing List of International Organizations and Programs, and a selected subset of organizations and programs that are considered to have the highest priority for PICES with respect to scientific cooperation and facilitation in the coming year (See Agenda Item 10, Decision 99/S/6 and Appendix C for details).

#### **Agenda Item 6. Membership and observers from other countries**

Non-member countries were not officially represented as observers at this year's Annual Meeting. The Secretariat did not receive proposals from non-member countries to accede to the PICES Convention in 1999.

The Executive Secretary informed Council that in June 1999, letters were sent to Dr. Marco Polo Bernal Yarahuan (Director, Unidad de Educacion en CyT del Mar) and Dr. Mario Martinez Garcia (Director General, Centro de Investigaciones Biologicas del Noroeste). They were invited as observers from Mexico to attend the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting. Their

response suggests that they are interested in PICES activities and attending our Annual Meeting, but other commitments prevent their participation this time. Mexican scientists are already involved in PICES activities. It was reported that two scientists from Mexico have received partial travel support to attend the Annual Meeting in Vladivostok, and Dr. Daniel Lluch-Belda (CICIMAR) is serving as one of the convenors for the Beyond El Niño Conference (March 2000).

Dr. Ned Cyr

Accordingly Council adopted the following resolution:

*The Governing Council of PICES is pleased by the possibility of having Mexico accede to the PICES Convention. The Chairman should pursue this matter vigorously by sending a letter to appropriate authorities to encourage the Mexican Government to move ahead, and by making high-level personal contacts. (Decision 99/A/5).*

#### **Agenda Item 7. Tenth Anniversary of PICES**

The Convention for a North Pacific Marine Science Organization entered into force on March 24, 1992, and the First Annual Meeting of the Organization was held in October 1992, in Victoria, Canada. Council discussed various activities and events that are being proposed to commemorate the tenth anniversary of PICES, and agreed to form a PICES Anniversary Steering Committee consisting of the PICES Chairman, Executive Secretary, Science Board Chairman, F&A Chairman, Dr. Warren Wooster and a Canadian representative from the Institute of Ocean Sciences, Sidney (subsequently identified as Mr. J. Roderick Forbes). A plan for celebratory events is to be developed by January 1, 2000, and circulated to all Contracting Parties (Decision 99/A/6).

It was generally accepted that all

celebrations commissioned by Council require additional funding. The recommendation was approved that Council send a request to the Contracting Parties for voluntary contributions to support the Tenth Annual Meeting and special events related to the PICES' tenth anniversary (Decision 99/A/6).



## **Agenda Item 8. PICES Intern Program**

Council reviewed the proposal to establish a PICES Intern Program. This document was prepared by the Secretariat and revised by the Finance and Administration Committee. Council agreed that the Intern Program would improve the way the Organization functions. Council made editorial changes and approved the PICES Intern Program as amended (*GC Endnote 3*) (Decision 99/A/7).

The Program will start in 2000, and Contracting Parties should submit their nominations to the Executive Secretary by March 1, 2000. The U.S.A. proposed to contribute CDN \$7,000 in addition to their annual assessment to support the Program. Council agreed to invite voluntary contributions from all Contracting Parties, following the lead of the U.S.A.

## **Agenda Item 9. Report of Finance and Administration Committee**

The Finance and Administration Committee met under the chairmanship of Dr. Richard J. Marasco, who presented the report to the Governing Council (see F&A Report for text). The report was approved by Council.

### **9.1 Audited accounts for fiscal year 1998**

At the recommendation of the Finance and Administration Committee, Council accepted the audited accounts for 1998. Council agreed to retain the existing auditor *Flader & Greene* for another year (Decision 99/A/1).

### **9.2 Budget**

#### **9.2a Estimated accounts for fiscal year 1999**

The estimated accounts from September 1 to December 31, 1999 were reviewed by the Finance and Administration Committee and

approved by Council (Decision 99/A/2).

### **9.2b Budget for fiscal year 2000**

Council approved the total budget of CDN \$590,000 and a transfer of CDN \$58,400 from the 1999 Working Capital Fund surplus to the General Fund to limit the 2000 fees for each Contracting Party to CDN \$88,600 (Decision 99/A/2(i)).

### **9.2c Forecast budget for fiscal year 2001**

Council received the forecast budget for 2001 as an information item for Parties (Decision 99/A/2(ii)).

The following guideline was generally accepted to assist Contracting Parties to prepare their funding requests to cover annual contributions, and the Executive Secretary to develop future budgets:

*For planning purposes it would be reasonable for Parties to expect that the projected budget and annual contributions will increase at the rate of inflation in Canada, currently about 3% per year. Justification and finalization of the budget will occur at the Annual Meeting prior to the beginning of the new PICES fiscal year.*

The Japanese Government considers that, as many member countries are under severe financial condition, in order to continue financial contribution to international organizations, the budget of international organizations should be the minimum necessary. Therefore, the Japanese Government is requesting to many international organizations to keep basically nominal zero increase of budget, and PICES cannot be an exception.

Council agreed to establish a Fund-Raising Committee to seek outside funding

consistent with the goals of the Organization (Decision 99/A/8).

### **9.2d Working Capital Fund**

The Working Capital Fund is forecast to be CDN \$175,594 at the end of 1999. Thus, the Fund will have a surplus of CDN \$75,594. Council approved that CDN \$58,400 be transferred to the General Fund to reduce the fees for each Party and that the residual surplus of CND \$17,194 be transferred to the Trust Fund (Decision 99/A/2(iii)).

### **9.2e Home Leave Relocation Fund**

The status of the Home Leave Relocation Fund was reviewed. It was noted that all expenses will be recovered from the income tax levies from foreign staff to adjust the Fund to a maximum level of CDN \$110,000 at the end of the fiscal year. No action was taken by Council on this matter.

### **9.2f Trust Fund**

The Trust Fund is forecast to be CDN \$71,336 at the end of 1999. With the recommended transfer of CDN \$17,194 from the Working Capital Fund (Decision 99/A/2(iii)), net funds available in the Trust Fund for the year 2000 is estimated to increase to approximately CDN \$88,530.

Council confirmed that the practice of transferring surpluses from the Working Capital Fund to the Trust Fund should continue in future years (Decision 98/A/2(iii)). It was noted and generally accepted that surpluses of the magnitude of CDN \$10,000 or more will unlikely exist in the future. So, PICES should explore other options for the Trust Fund replenishment, or activities that are currently supported by the Trust Fund will need to be reduced accordingly.

## **9.3 Annual contributions**

Council discussed historical statistics on the payment schedule of annual fees to the Organization, and approved the recommendation that the Chairman send a letter to appropriate authorities from each Contracting Party (designated by national delegates). The letter will request prompt payment of annual contributions by the first day of the PICES fiscal year (January 1), as stated by Regulation 5(ii) of Financial Regulations (Decision 99/A/3).

## **9.4 Time and place for the Ninth and Tenth Annual Meetings of the Organization**

Council approved the proposal by Japan that PICES IX be held from October 23-28 in Hakodate, Japan. Meetings of Working Groups, Task Teams and any other groups will be held during the week before the meeting. Council also accepted the recommendation to have the Secretariat hold PICES X in Victoria, Canada (Decision 99/A/4).

## **Agenda Item 10. Report of Science Board**

The Science Board met under the chairmanship of Ms. Patricia Livingston, who presented the report to the Governing Council (see Science Board Report for text). Council approved the Science Board Report. Details are given in the Appendices A-D.

- a. Planned and proposed meetings in 2000  
Council approved new inter-sessional meetings and proposed Workshops (Decision 99/S/1) to be held at PICES IX. Council also confirmed dates for the previously approved inter-sessional meetings (Decision 99/S/1).
- b. PICES supported travel

Council modified and approved the recommended prioritised list for travel support (Decision 99/S/2), and directed the Executive Secretary to provide as much support as funds permit.

c. Proposed PICES publications in 2000-2001

Council endorsed the list of publications proposed (Decision 99/S/3).

d. Working Groups and proposed new groups

Council approved the proposal concerning existing Working Groups and the establishment of new PICES groups (Decisions 99/S/4 and 99/S/5). It was generally agreed that the Advisory Panel/Group definition should be clarified and included in the Handbook for Chairmen and Convenors.

e. Relations with other organizations and programs

Council approved the additions to the Standing List of International Organizations and Programs, and a selected subset of organizations and programs that are considered to have the highest priority for PICES with respect to scientific cooperation and facilitation in the coming year (Decision 99/S/6). It was generally accepted that this list will be used in part to assist the Executive Secretary and Science Board Chairperson in decisions regarding travel to meetings of other international organizations.

f. PICES Annual Meetings

Council reviewed, modified and accepted the changes to the structure of the PICES Annual Meetings proposed by the Science Board, with an addition that includes the promotion of inter-

committee scientific sessions by selection of an annual meeting “theme” by the Science Board (Decision 99/S/7). The potential themes should be discussed by Committees and reflected in the Science Board Strategic Plan. It was suggested that Committees have to choose cross-cutting issues for “theme” sessions and that session of limited interest have to be schedule as poster sessions. It was also emphasized that bringing regional programs for the marginal seas into PICES would result in wider participation in PICES Annual Meetings.

In the discussion initiated by China that followed, it was noted that PICES activities should go beyond the dissemination of knowledge through scientific meetings and publications. It was suggested that the Science Board and Executive Secretary should explore other opportunities for facilitating collaborative marine research in the North Pacific and building up the research networks, for example by supporting scientific proposals, organizing multinational programs and cruises, and training courses.

## **Agenda Item 11. Other business**

### **11.1 PICES Bureau**

Council discussed the formation of a PICES Bureau and agreed with the recommendation of the F&A Committee that a new administrative body is not necessary at this time. As the PICES Chairman provides leadership in long-term planning for the Organization and monitoring progress towards PICES objectives, he/she may call a mid-term meeting of PICES officers for that purpose. The meeting could be held at a convenient and cost-effective location to be determined by the Chairman in consultation with the Executive Secretary.

## **11.2 Special invitation**

His Majesty, the King of Sweden, participated in the opening session of the 1999 Annual Science Conference of ICES (International Council for the Exploration of the Sea) in Stockholm. Canada suggested to examine the possibility of inviting a

member of Imperial Family of Japan to open the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate and speak about the relationship between Japan and the sea. It was agreed that once the possibility is established, the Chairman will send the appropriate formal letter of invitation letter from PICES.

## **Appendix A. Decisions**

### **99/A/1: Auditor**

Council accepted the audited accounts for 1998 and agreed to retain *Flader and Greene* as auditor for another year.

### **99/A/2: General account**

Council accepted the estimated accounts of 1999 and agreed to the following actions:

- (i) *2000 Budget.* The budget of CDN \$590,000 was approved. The amount of CDN \$58,400 will be transferred from the Working Capital Fund to reduce the total contribution to CDN \$531,600, setting the 2000 fees at CDN \$88,600 per Contracting Party.
- (ii) *Forecast 2001 Budget.* The forecast budget for 2001 was reviewed and will be further considered during PICES IX.

The following guideline was generally accepted<sup>\*)</sup> to assist Contracting Parties to prepare their funding requests to cover annual contributions, and the Executive Secretary to develop future budgets:

*For planning purposes it would be reasonable for Parties to expect that the projected budget and annual contributions will increase at the rate of inflation in Canada, currently about 3% per year. Justification and finalization of the budget will occur at the Annual Meeting prior to the beginning of the new PICES fiscal year.*

- (iii) *Working Capital Fund.* The forecast surplus in the fund is \$75,594. Council approved a transfer of CDN \$58,400 to the General Fund for 2000, and that the residual surplus of \$17,194 be transferred to the Trust Fund.

---

<sup>\*)</sup> Japanese delegates made an

independent statement regarding this decision (see Agenda Item 9.2c for details).

### **99/A/3: Annual contributions**

The Chairman will send a formal letter to appropriate authorities from each Contracting Party (designated by national delegates) requesting prompt payment of annual contributions by the first day of the PICES financial year (January 1), as stated by Regulation 5(ii) of Financial Regulations.

### **99/A/4: Future Annual Meetings**

Council approved the proposal by Japan to host the Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate, October 23-28, 2000. Council further agreed to have the Secretariat host the 2001 meeting in Victoria, Canada. The dates of PICES X will be confirmed at next year's Annual Meeting.

### **99/A/5: Membership**

The Chairman will send letter(s) to appropriate authorities and establish personal contacts to encourage the Mexican Government to accede to the PICES Convention.

### **99/A/6: PICES Tenth Anniversary**

Council agreed to form a PICES Anniversary Steering Committee consisting of the PICES Chairman, Executive Secretary, Science Board Chairman, F&A Chairman, Dr. Warren Wooster and Canadian representative from the Institute of Ocean Sciences (TBD). A plan for celebratory events is to be developed by January 1, 2000, and circulated to all Contracting Parties for comments.

The Chairman will send a formal letter to all Contracting Parties for voluntary contributions to support the Tenth Annual Meeting and special events related to the PICES' tenth anniversary.

### **99/A/7: PICES Intern Program**

Council approved the PICES Intern Program as attached (*GC Endnote 3*). The Program will start in 2000, and Contracting Parties should submit their nominations to the Executive Secretary by March 1, 2000.

### **99/A/8: Fund-Raising Committee**

Council agreed to establish a Fund-Raising Committee to seek outside funding consistent with the goals of the Organization. The committee should be represented by all Contracting Parties (membership to be determined), the Executive Secretary and chaired by the F&A Chairman, Dr. Richard J. Marasco, and will work by correspondence.

### **99/S/1: Inter-sessional Meetings, Working Group and CCCC Program Workshops**

The following new inter-sessional meetings, Working Group and CCCC Program workshops are to be convened (see Acronym List at the end of the Annual Report):

- a. An NPAFC-hosted workshop (organized by NPAFC and PICES) on "Factors affecting production of juvenile salmon: comparative studies on juvenile salmon ecology between the East and West North Pacific Ocean" on October 29<sup>th</sup>, 2000, in Tokyo, Japan;
- b. A BASS Workshop on "Development of a conceptual model of the Subarctic Pacific Basin Ecosystem(s)", a MODEL Workshop on "Strategies for coupling higher and lower trophic level marine ecosystem models", a MONITOR Workshop on "Progress in monitoring the North Pacific", a REX workshop on "Trends in herring populations and trophodynamics", and a Technical Workshop on "The basis for estimating

the abundance of marine birds and mammals, and the impact of their predation on other organisms", October 2000 (immediately prior to PICES IX), in Hakodate, Japan;

- c. An NIES-hosted Symposium/Workshop on "North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis" (co-sponsored by PICES and JST/CREST), October 2000 (4 days, immediately prior to PICES IX), in Tsukuba, Japan;
- d. A Planning Workshop on "Designing the iron fertilization experiment in the Subarctic Pacific" (co-sponsored by PICES and CRIEPI), October 2000 (2 days immediately prior to PICES IX), in Tokyo, Japan;
- e. A CREAMS/PICES Workshop on "Oceanography of the East Asian Marginal Seas", May 2000, in Vladivostok, Russia.

The following inter-sessional meetings were previously approved and will occur after PICES VIII (Decisions 98/S/2 and 98/S/7):

- f. A CCCC/MODEL Workshop on "Lower trophic level modeling", January 31-February 3, 2000, in Nemuro, Japan;
- g. A conference "Beyond El Niño: A conference on Pacific climate variability and marine ecosystem impacts, from the Tropics to the Arctic" (co-sponsored by PICES, IATTC, IPHC, ISC, NPAFC and SCOR), March 23-26, 2000, in La Jolla, U.S.A.;
- h. A mini-workshop on "Zooplankton ecology of the North Atlantic and North Pacific" in conjunction with the meeting of the ICES Working Group on Zooplankton Ecology, April 17-19, 2000, in Honolulu, U.S.A.

### **99/S/2: Travel support**

- a. PICES will provide full travel support (or equivalent) for one invited speaker per Scientific Committee or Program to attend the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting;
- b. Membership and Co-Chairmen for PICES Working Groups are normally identified inter-sessionally. For new working groups to develop working plans shortly after their formation, PICES will support up to a maximum of 2 persons annually to travel for inter-sessional planning;
- c. PICES will cover the travel costs of the Science Board Chairman to attend the ICES Symposium on "100 Years of Science under ICES", August 1-4, 2000, in Helsinki, Finland;
- d. PICES will cover the travel costs of one person to attend the SCOR General Meeting in October, 2000, in Washington, DC, U.S.A.
- d. Selection of papers presented at the Beyond El Niño Conference in a special issue of Progress in Oceanography in 2001;
- e. Selection of papers presented at the CCCC/MODEL workshop on "Lower trophic level modeling" in a special issue of Fisheries Oceanography in 2001;
- f. Review and results from the PICES/WG 13 Technical/CO<sub>2</sub> Intercalibration Workshop in a bilingual (Japanese/English) report published by the National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan (at no cost to PICES).

**99/S/4: Future of current Working Groups and Scientific Programs**

**99/S/3: Publications**

The following publications were approved:

- a. Final report of WG 11 on Consumption of Marine Resources by Marine Birds and Mammals, Proceedings of the 1999 MONITOR and REX Workshops and 2000 MODEL Workshop, and Bibliography of Japan/East Sea in the PICES Scientific Report Series;
- b. Progress reports of WG 8 on Practical Assessment Methodology, WG 12 on Crabs and Shrimps, and WG 13 on CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific in the 1999 Annual Report;
- c. Selection of papers presented at the 1999 Science Board Symposium on "the Nature and impacts of North Pacific climate regime shifts" in a special issue of Progress in Oceanography;
- a. WG 8 on Practical Assessment Methodology will remain for one more year to complete collation, editing and publication of results from the MEQ Practical Workshop.
- b. WG 10 on Circulation and Ventilation in the Japan/East Sea will be disbanded. The WG 10 report will be published on the PICES web page as a revisable document.
- c. WG 11 on Consumption of Marine Resources by Marine Birds and Mammals will be disbanded.
- d. WG 12 on Crabs and Shrimps will remain for one more year to complete collation, editing and publication of results (no further meetings of the Working Group are required).
- e. WG 14 on Effective Sampling of Micronekton will remain established for at least two more years. Dr. Richard D Brodeur (U.S.A.) is recommended as Co-Chairman to replace Dr. Bruce

Robison (U.S.A.). To account for gaps in expertise and/or national representation, additional members from Korea and China are requested.

- f. Revised terms of reference for MONITOR Task Team were approved (see Appendix B (i) for terms of reference).

- c. WG 15 (under MEQ) on the Ecology of Harmful Algal Blooms in the North Pacific (see Appendix B (iv) for terms of reference);

- d. WG 16 (under FIS) on Climate Change, Shifts in Fish Production, and Fisheries Management (see Appendix B (v) for terms of reference).

### **99/S/5: New PICES groups**

The following new groups will be organized:

- a. Advisory Panel (under BIO) on Marine Mammals and Birds with a lifespan of 5 years, renewable by vote of BIO (see Appendix B (ii) for terms of reference);
- b. Advisory Panel (under CCCC/MONITOR) on the Continuous Plankton Recorder survey in the North Pacific with a lifespan of 2 years, renewable with prospect of future funding for the CPR program (see Appendix B (iii) for terms of reference);

### **99/S/6: Relations with other organizations and programs**

The annual revision of the Standing List of International Organizations and Programs was endorsed in order to facilitate relations with other organizations and programs, and identify priorities for interaction in the coming year (See Appendix C for the revised list).

### **99/S/7: PICES Annual Meetings**

In order to enhance the PICES scientific meetings and focus efforts on promoting an interdisciplinary ecosystem approach, the changes in the structure of PICES Annual Meetings were approved (See Appendix D).

## **Appendix B. Working Group and Task Team Terms of Reference**

### **i) Monitor Task Team (revised)**

1. Review existing activities of PICES member nations and to suggest improvements in the monitoring of the Subarctic Pacific to further the goals of the CCCC Program;
2. Consult with REX, BASS and MODEL Task Teams and TCODE on the scientific basis for designing the PICES monitoring system. Questions of standardization and intercalibration of measurements, particularly in the area of biological collections, should be

addressed;

3. Assist in the development of a coordinated monitoring program to detect and describe events, such as El Niño, that strongly affect the Subarctic;
4. Develop a PICES-GOOS action plan for how PICES should take an active and leading role in further development and implementation of GOOS at a North Pacific level. The action plan would:
  - i. identify existing ocean observations in the coastal and open North Pacific



that are relevant to GOOS;

- ii. develop a PICES-GOOS implementation plan based on existing routine observations and augmented by new observations as appropriate;
  - iii. provide a structured plan on how to transfer relevant CCCC program activities to a PICES-GOOS program.
5. Advise and support the CCCC Implementation Panel and Science Board on GOOS-related matters, including representing PICES at key GOOS planning meetings.

**ii) Advisory Panel on Marine Mammals and Birds**

1. Provide information and scientific expertise to BIO, CCCC Program, and, when necessary, to other scientific and technical committees with regard to the biology and ecological roles of marine mammals and seabirds;
2. Identify important problems, scientific questions, and knowledge gaps in assessing the roles of marine mammals and seabirds in marine ecosystems;
3. Assemble relevant information on the biology of marine mammals and seabirds and disseminate it to the PICES community through scientific reports and symposia;
4. Develop strategies to improve collaborative, interdisciplinary research with marine mammal and birds researchers and the PICES scientific community.

**iii) Advisory Panel on the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) Survey in the North Pacific**

1. Review and advise on the most appropriate locations, timing and frequency of CPR routes for “A Continuous Plankton Recorder Monitoring Program for the eastern North Pacific and Southern Bering Sea” currently funded through the North Pacific Marine Research Initiative;
2. Provide technical advice on parameters to be measured for additional monitoring initiatives;
3. Advise on linkages to other potential initiatives in the North Pacific and elsewhere.

**iv) Working Group 15: Ecology of Harmful Algal Blooms in the North Pacific**

1. Identify the various species involved, and the timing, frequency, and duration of harmful algal bloom events;
2. Develop a regional database of relevant observational parameters associated with bloom events, including maps, bibliography, existing programs and data sets, and expanded list of researchers in the area;
3. Investigate links between bloom events and environmental factors, trophic interactions and possible anthropogenic stress (e.g., eutrophication);
4. Assess the economic, health-related, and environmental impacts arising from harmful algal bloom events in order to improve the ability to predict the occurrence of these events and thus minimize their overall impacts;
5. Suggest areas where critical data are missing and where future research is

necessary;

6. Facilitate regional collaborative research efforts at various levels to address these problems.

**v) Working Group 16: Climate Change, Shifts in Fish Production, and Fisheries Management**

1. Identify key examples of species that have been affected by climate and ocean changes;

2. Investigate and assess the impact of inter-annual and decadal-scale physical changes in relation to fishing effects;

3. Describe or hypothesize the mechanisms linking climate and ocean changes to changes in the populations dynamics;

4. Using these mechanisms and indices of climate change, examine the possibility of long-term forecasting of changes in population dynamics and ecosystem structure.

***Appendix C. Revised standing list of international organizations and programs***

PICES is expanding its relationships with international scientific organizations and programs around the world. At the same time, there is the need to improve integration, coordination, and communication with regional scientific research efforts in the North Pacific that are aligned with the PICES ecosystem research focus. These regional programs may involve several PICES member countries and cover international areas of high biological importance. Annually, the Science Board

examines and revises the Standing List of International Organizations and Programs. Additionally, it selects a subset of organizations and programs that are considered to have the highest priority (marked by \*) for PICES with respect to scientific cooperation and facilitation in the coming year. This list will be used in part to assist the Executive Secretary and Science Board in decisions regarding travel to meetings of other international organizations.

APEC	Marine Resources Conservation WG (MRC), Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation
APFIC	Asia-Pacific Fisheries Commission
CLIVAR*	Climate Variability and Predictability
ECOR	Engineering Committee on Oceanic Resources
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GESAMP	Group of Experts on Scientific Aspects of Marine Pollution
GIPME	Global Investigation of Pollution in the Marine Environment
GIWA*	Global International Waters Assessment
GLOBEC*	Global Ocean Ecosystem Dynamics
GOOS*	Global Ocean Observing System
GOOS-LMR*	GOOS Living Marine Resources
IASC	International Arctic Science Committee
IATTC*	Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission
ICES*	International Council for the Exploration of the Sea
ICSU	International Council of Scientific Unions
IGBP*	International Geosphere-Biosphere Program
IGOSS	Integrated Global Ocean Services System
IOC*	Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission

IODE	International Oceanographic Data and Information Exchange
IPHC*	International Pacific Halibut Commission
ISCTNP*	Interim Scientific Committee for Tuna and Tuna-like Species in the North Pacific Ocean
JGOFS*	Joint Global Ocean Flux Study
NAFO	North Atlantic Fisheries Organization
NASCO	North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization
NEAR-GOOS*	North East Asian Regional GOOS
NOWPAP	Northwest Pacific Action Plan
NPAFC*	North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission
PSC	Pacific Salmon Commission
SCOPE	Scientific Committee on Problems of the Environment
SCOR*	Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research
SPC	South Pacific Commission
SPREP	South Pacific Regional Environmental Program
START	South Asian Regional Committee for the System for Analysis, Research and Training
UNEP	United Nations Environment Program
WCRP	World Climate Research Program
WESTPAC	Cooperative Study of the Western Pacific, IOC Sub Committee for the Western Pacific
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WOCE	World Ocean Circulation Experiment

1999 additions to list:

AMAP	Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Program (AMAP)
ACIA*	Arctic Climate Impact Assessment Program (ACIAP of AMAP)
ARGO*	International Program for deployment of profiling floats (linked with GOOS)
CREAMS*	Circulation Research in the East Asian Marginal Seas

#### ***Appendix D. Structure of PICES Annual Meetings***

1. To promote inter-committee sessions, the Science Board should select a “main theme” for each Annual Meeting; proposed topic sessions must then fit the overall “theme” of the meeting;
2. To advance the role of the Science Board Symposium, it should be arranged as the first scientific session of the Annual Meeting, immediately following the Opening Session;
3. To upgrade image and position of poster presentations, the poster sessions should be arranged to have a formal poster viewing time near the end of each day in conjunction with a social hour. Posters to be focused on for each day should be summarized just prior to the poster session by a person appointed by each Committee. Poster presenters for that session would be required to stand by their poster for that social hour;
4. To increase the participation of young scientists, a Young Scientists’ Travel Grant (as a part of the Trust Fund) should be advertised.

## GC Endnote 1

### Participation List

#### Canada

William G. Doubleday (delegate)  
Richard J. Beamish (alternate delegate)

#### China

Hai-Qing Li (alternate delegate)  
Qian-Fei Liu (alternate delegate)  
Jian Kang (advisor)  
Yanan Hu (advisor)

#### Japan

Makoto Kashiwai (delegate)  
Koji Harunari (alternate delegate)

#### Republic of Korea

Jin Yeong Kim (alternate delegate)  
Young Shil Kang (advisor)

#### Russian Federation

Lev N. Bocharov (delegate)  
Alexander Kurmazov (advisor)

#### U.S.A.

Vera Alexander (delegate)  
John E. Stein (alternate delegate)  
Dorothy Bergamaschi (advisor)

#### Others

Hyung-Tack Huh (Chairman, PICES)  
Alexander S. Bychkov (Executive Secretary)  
(Rapporteur)  
Stewart M. McKinnell (Assistant Executive  
Secretary) (Rapporteur)  
Patricia Livingston (Chairman, Science  
Board)  
Richard J. Marasco (Chairman, Finance and  
Administration Committee)

## GC Endnote 2

### Report on Administration for 1999

#### 1. National contributions

According to Regulation 5(ii) of Financial Regulations, all national contributions to PICES are payable by the first day of the financial year (January 1) to which they relate. Dues were paid as follows:

Canada	November 30, 1998
U.S.A.	January 27, 1999
Japan	March 29, 1999
Korea	August 16, 1999
China	not received as of Sept. 15, 1999
Russia	not received as of Sept. 15, 1999

#### 2. National Delegations

The following reflects changes in

membership for Council, Finance and Administration Committee, various Scientific and Technical Committees, Working Groups and Task Teams

#### Governing Council

Dr. William G. Doubleday replaced Ms. Kathryn Bruce (Canada)  
Dr. Laura Richards replaced Dr. John C. Davis (Canada)  
Dr. Makoto Kashiwai replaced Dr. Satsuki Matsumura (Japan)  
Mr. Yukiya Amano replaced Mr. Akio Suda (Japan)  
Mr. Sung-Ho Joo replaced Mr. Jang-Hyun Choi (Korea)  
Mr. Su-Han Woo replaced Dr. Jhin-Kyoo Chae (Korea)

**Finance and Administration Committee**

Dr. William G. Doubleday replaced Ms. Joyce Quintal-McGrath (Canada)  
Dr. Laura Richards replaced Dr. John C. Davis (Canada)  
Dr. Makoto Kashiwai replaced Dr. Satsuki Matsumura (Japan)  
Mr. Hiroshi Oka replaced Kimihiro Ishikane (Japan)  
Mr. Sung-Ho Joo replaced Dr. Jhin-Kyoo Chae (Korea)

**Committees, Working Groups and Task Teams****Biological Oceanography Committee**

Mr. Song Sun replaced Prof. Rong Wang (China)  
Dr. Woong-Seo Kim replaced Dr. Sung-Yun Hong (Korea)

**Fishery Science Committee**

Dr. Laura Richards replaced Dr. Michael A. Henderson (Canada)  
Mr. Jia-Hua Cheng replaced Prof. Zheng Yan (China)  
Dr. Xian-Shi Jin replaced Prof. Ming-Jiang Zhou (China)  
Dr. Takashi Minami replaced Dr. Tokyo Wada (Japan)  
Dr. George W. Boehlert replaced Dr. John R. Hunter (U.S.A.)

**Marine Environmental Quality Committee**

Mr. Steve Samis replaced Dr. John Pringle (Canada)  
Dr. John E. Stein replaced Dr. Usha Varanasi (U.S.A.)

**Physical Oceanography and Climate Committee**

Dr. Kenneth L. Denman replaced Prof. Paul H. LeBlond (Canada)  
Dr. Susan E. Allen replaced Dr. Kenneth L. Denman (Canada)

**TCODE**

Ms. Susan Bates replaced Mr. Bill Shaw (Canada)  
Dr. Bernard A. Megrey replaced Dr.

William A. Karp (U.S.A.)

**WG 12**

Mr. Zhi-Meng Zhuang replaced Mr. Sheng-Min Ren

**WG 14**

Dr. David L. Mackas was added (Canada)  
Mr. Naoki Iguchi was added (Japan)  
Dr. Vadim F. Savinykh replaced Dr. Eugene N. Il'insky (Russia)

**PICES-GLOBEC CCCC Implementation Panel**

Dr. David L. Mackas replaced Dr. Michael A. Henderson as a national member (Canada)  
Dr. Masahide Kaeriyama replaced Dr. Makoto Terazaki as a national member (Japan)  
Dr. Andrey S. Krovnin was added as a national member (Russia)  
Dr. Warren S. Wooster replaced Ms. Pat Livingston as a national member (U.S.A.)  
Dr. William T. Peterson replaced Dr. Anne B. Hollowed as U.S. GLOBEC representative  
Dr. Yukimasa Ishida replaced Dr. Michael Dahlberg as NPAFC representative

**BASS Task Team**

Mr. G.A. McFarlane replaced Dr. Richard J. Beamish (Canada)  
Dr. Masahide Kaeriyama replaced Dr. Makoto Terazaki (Japan)

**MODEL Task Team**

Dr. Da-Ji Huang replaced Prof. Ji-Lan Su (China)

**MONITOR Task Team**

Dr. Thomas C. Royer replaced Dr. William A. Karp (U.S.A.)  
Dr. Warren S. Wooster (U.S.A.) was added as a new member

### **REX Task Team**

- Dr. Michael Henderson was removed (Canada)  
Dr. William T. Peterson replaced Dr. Anne B. Hollowed (U.S.A.)

### **3. Observers**

Invitation letters to attend PICES VIII were sent to inter-governmental and non-governmental organizations using the PICES standing list. Organizations and Programs that accepted our invitation are:

- Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS)  
Dr. Ned Cyr  
International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC) Dr. Steven R. Hare  
Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission Dr. Ned Cyr  
North-East Asian Regional GOOS (NEAR-GOOS) Prof. Jihui Yan  
North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission (NPAFC) Dr. Yukimasa Ishida  
Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research (SCOR) Dr. Suam Kim

### **4. Travel and representation at other organization meetings**

- a. Ms. Patricia Livingston attended the ISC's (Interim Scientific Committee for Tuna and Tuna-like species in the North Pacific) Annual Meeting in Honolulu in January.
- b. Dr. Alexander Bychkov and Ms. Patricia Livingston attended the NPAFC CSRS (North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission – Committee on Scientific Research and Statistics) meeting in Vancouver in March. Ms. Christina Chiu also traveled to Vancouver at the same time to discuss PICES VIII preparations with Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko.
- c. Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang attended the SCOR/ICES Symposium on Ecosystem Effects of Fishing/SCOR Working Group

105 meeting in Montpellier, France, in March.

- d. Dr. Alexander Bychkov and Ms. Christina Chiu traveled to La Jolla to discuss the Beyond El Niño Conference preparations with the Local Organizing Committee in April.
- e. Dr. Alexander Bychkov and Ms. Christina Chiu attended the Pension Society Meeting in Ottawa in April.
- f. Ms. Patricia Livingston visited the PICES Secretariat and met with Mr. Martin Esseen of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) in April.
- g. Dr. Alexander Bychkov and Ms. Christina Chiu traveled to Vladivostok to discuss Annual Meeting preparations with the Local Organizing Committee in April.
- h. Dr. Alexander Bychkov attended the MEQ Workshop briefly in Vancouver in June.
- i. Dr. Hyung-Tack Huh attended IOC's (Inter-Governmental Oceanographic Commission) Assembly in Paris in June.
- j. Support from the Trust Fund was provided for Dr. Boris Ivanov to attend the WG 12 meeting in Qingdao, People's Republic of China, in August.
- k. Dr. Alexander Bychkov went to Vancouver to visit the Secretariats of the North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission and the Pacific Salmon Commission in August.
- l. Travel support was provided for 4 candidates to attend the interview for the Assistant Executive Secretary position in August.

- m. Support was provided for Secretariat staff, Chairman Dr. Hyung-Tack Huh, and Science Board Chairman Ms. Patricia Livingston, to attend the Annual Meeting in October.
- n. Travel support was provided for 9 invited speakers to attend the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting in October.
- o. Trust Fund travel support to the Annual Meeting was provided as follows:
  - Full support for 9 Chinese scientists;
  - Full support for 1 Korean and 1 Canadian scientist;
  - Partial support for 2 Korean scientists, 1 Canadian scientist, 2 Russian scientists, and 2 scientists from Mexico; and
  - Full support for 1 young scientist.
- p. Support was provided to Prof. Jihui Yan to represent NEAR-GOOS and China NEAR-GOOS at the Annual Meeting in October.
- q. Ms. Patricia Livingston and Dr. Stewart McKinnell attended the NPAFC Seventh Annual Meeting and International symposium on Recent Changes in Ocean Production of Pacific Salmon in Juneau, Alaska, in October/November (under planning).
- e. Vol. 7 nos. 1 and 2 of PICES Press were circulated in January and July.
- f. Scientific Report No. 10: Proceedings of the 1998 Science Board Symposium on the Impacts of the 1997/87 El Niño Event on the North Pacific Ocean and its Marginal Seas, was printed and distributed in March.
- g. The 1999 Directory was updated and circulated in June.
- h. The Final Announcement for the Eighth Annual Meeting was distributed in June.
- i. The Final Announcement for the Beyond El Niño Conference was distributed in June.
- j. The special issue of Progress in Oceanography on Ecosystem Dynamics in the Eastern and Western Gyres of the Subarctic Pacific was published in July and will be distributed in October.
- k. The book "Dynamics of the Bering Sea" was published in September and will be distributed in October.
- l. Scientific Report No. 11: PICES Climate Change and Carrying Capacity Program/Summary of the 1998 MODEL, MONITOR and REX Workshops and Task Team Reports, was published in September and will be circulated in October.
- m. Scientific Report No. 12: Proceedings of the Second PICES Workshop on the Okhotsk Sea and Adjacent Areas, was published in September and will be circulated in October.
- n. A program and abstracts for scientific sessions were prepared for circulation at the Annual Meeting in October.

## 5. Publications

List of publications produced this year:

- a. Handbook 1999 and the 1999 Handbook for Chairman and Convenors were distributed in January.
- b. The 1998 Annual Report was published and circulated in January.
- c. A poster and the First Announcement for the Eighth Annual Meeting were printed and circulated in January.
- d. A poster and the First Announcement for the Beyond El Niño Conference were printed and circulated in January.

## 6. Inter-sessional meetings

The following inter-sessional meetings were convened, for which financial and travel arrangements were made:

- a. A 4-day CO<sub>2</sub> Technical Workshop was held April 20-22, 1999, in Tsukuba (Japan);
- b. A 2-week MEQ Practical Workshop was held in May 1999, in Vancouver

- (Canada);
- c. A 5-day WG 12 meeting was held August 10-14, 1999, in Qingdao (China).

The Symposium "Pandalid Shrimp Fisheries:- Science and Management at the Millenium" (September 8-10, 1999) has been co-sponsored with NAFO and ICES.

## 7. Electronic communication

- a. Methods for handling registration and abstract submission for the Annual Meeting have been improved. On line registration and abstract submission to PICES VIII compared to last year: registration through the web increased

by more than 20% (to 75%) and submitted abstracts increased by more than 15% (to 50%).

- b. The Secretariat continues to disseminate PICES Scientific Report series publications (with graphics) electronically through the PICES Home page.
- c. The Long-Term Time Series Data Set Inventory was updated.
- d. Updates to the list of internet resources and web sites of interest to PICES scientists were made.

## GC Endnote 3

### PICES Intern Program

#### Introduction

A PICES Intern Program will allow individuals from PICES member countries to gain experience in operations of intergovernmental scientific organizations and coordination of multidisciplinary international ecosystem research programs by working in the PICES secretariat for periods of up to one year. The PICES Secretariat would supervise up to one intern at any point in time. PICES would benefit from the intern program **directly** through the presence of an additional professional in the secretariat and **indirectly**, over a period of years, by strengthening the capacity of member states to coordinate their involvement in PICES programs.

#### Objectives

The PICES Intern Program has two goals:

1. professional development of marine

scientists and managers from PICES member countries;

2. increasing the capacity of the PICES Secretariat to support the work of PICES.

#### Nature of the internship

Under the supervision of the Assistant Executive Secretary, interns will work on projects of the Secretariat relevant to their professional interests and development needs, including ESL (English as a Second Language) training if required. Interns may be given a wide variety of tasks as to assist in:

- preparing information for and providing secretarial support to PICES Working Groups and Scientific and Technical Committees;
- organizing scientific meetings;
- preparing and editing various PICES



- publications;
- coordinating international cooperative program in marine science; and
- coordinating PICES activities with efforts of other relevant organizations.

Internships will normally begin on or about April 1 and extend for a period up to a maximum of 12 months.

### **Qualifications of candidates**

Applicants must be staff of the academic or government sector of PICES member-countries, have a M.Sc. or Ph.D. degree, the ability to read, write and speak English (taking into consideration whether English is the candidate's native language), the ability to use computers and the internet, and be self-motivated.

### **Guidelines for application and selection procedure**

In the selection process, it is important to ensure balanced distribution of internships among member countries. There will be an annual competition for PICES interns. A member country that has had an intern in any year is not eligible to have an intern in the following two years.

- Governing Council delegates will be responsible for advertising the PICES Intern Program within their countries.
- Applicants will apply to their main national delegate for PICES, describing their interests and qualifications, providing a resume delineating their

academic and work experience and three professional references.

- The national PICES delegate will review applications from his/her country and transmit his/her nominee(s) to the PICES Secretariat for final selection. Applications must be received by the Executive Secretary by the date of the first Governing Council meeting at the PICES Annual Meeting.
- The Chairman of PICES will select the successful candidate in consultation with the Executive Secretary and the Chairman of Science Board.
- The Executive Secretary will inform all Governing Council members of the result of the competition.

### **Financial support**

PICES interns will be provided a stipend of CDN \$2,000 per month by the secretariat. Travel costs for the intern to and from the place of residence and the location of the Secretariat will be normally borne by the individual's home country. Travel expenses associated with the intern's work in the Secretariat will be covered by PICES. Since the intern will continue to be an employee with his home institution while at the Secretariat, his/her medical insurance and all other benefits will remain the responsibility of the intern's home country.

## REPORT OF SCIENCE BOARD

၁၅

၁၆

The Science Board met on October 13 (18:00-21:00), to discuss those agenda items with financial implications for 2000 and beyond. The remainder of the Science Board agenda was considered on October 16 (08:30-12:30). Dr. Stewart (Skip) M. McKinnell served as rapporteur (See *SB Endnote 1* for participants). The Science Board Chairman, Ms. Patricia Livingston, welcomed the members of Science Board and observers and called the meeting to order. She reviewed the agenda and asked for changes and additions. The agenda was adopted without changes (*SB Endnote 2*).

### **Inter-sessional meetings proposed for year 2000 and beyond (Agenda Item 3a)** (See Decision 99/S/1)

Science Board reviewed inter-sessional meetings, Working Group and CCCC Program workshops. The following list was developed, endorsed and forwarded to Governing Council for approval:

- a. An NPAFC-hosted workshop (organized by NPAFC and PICES) on "Factors Affecting Production of Juvenile Salmon: Comparative Studies on Juvenile Salmon Ecology between the East and West North Pacific Ocean" on October 29<sup>th</sup>, 2000, in Tokyo, Japan;
- b. A BASS Workshop on "Development of a conceptual model of the Subarctic Pacific Basin Ecosystem(s)", a MODEL Workshop on "Strategies for coupling higher and lower trophic level models", a MONITOR Workshop on "Progress in monitoring the North Pacific", a REX Workshop on "Trends in herring populations and trophodynamics", and a Technical Workshop on "The basis for estimating the abundance of marine

birds and mammals, and the impact of their predation on other organisms", October 2000 (immediately prior to PICES IX), in Hakodate, Japan;

- c. An NIES-hosted Symposium/Workshop on "North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis" (co-sponsored by PICES and JST/CREST), October 2000 (4 days, immediately prior to PICES IX), in Tsukuba, Japan;
- d. A Planning Workshop on "Designing the iron fertilization experiment in the Subarctic Pacific" (co-sponsored by PICES and CRIEPI), October 2000 (2 days immediately prior to PICES IX), in Tokyo, Japan;
- e. A CREAMS/PICES Workshop on "Oceanography of the East Asian Marginal Seas", May 2000, in Vladivostok, Russia.

The following inter-sessional meetings were previously approved and will occur after PICES VIII (Decisions 98/S/2 and 98/S/7):

- f. A CCCC/MODEL Workshop on "Lower trophic level modeling", January 31-February 3, 2000, in Nemuro, Japan. (Note that this was originally proposed to occur January 24-31, 2000);
- g. "Beyond El Niño: A conference on Pacific climate variability and marine ecosystem impacts, from the Tropics to the Arctic" (co-sponsored by PICES, IATTC, IPHC, ISC, NPAFC and SCOR), March 23-26, 2000, in La Jolla, U.S.A.;
- h. A mini-workshop on "Zooplankton ecology of the North Atlantic and North Pacific" in conjunction with the meeting of the ICES Working Group on

Zooplankton Ecology, April 17-19, 2000, in Honolulu, U.S.A.

**Travel support requests for year 2000 (Agenda Item 3b)** (See Decision 99/S/2)

Three general categories of travel were discussed to determine their relative priority for financial support from PICES: (1) invited speakers to the Annual Meeting, (2) Working Group preparation, and (3) PICES representatives attending meetings of other organizations. Science Board agreed with the priority as amended. Travel requests within each of these categories were presented by each Committee and Program chairman. Science Board recommended that Governing Council approve the following travel support:

- a. At least the equivalent of full support for one invited speaker per Scientific Committee or Program to attend the PICES Annual Meeting;
- b. One Co-Chairman of the new MEQ Working Group on Ecology of Harmful Algal Blooms in the North Pacific to visit inter-sessionally the other Co-Chairman for developing a working plan;
- c. Science Board Chairman to attend the 2000 ICES Symposium on "100 Years of Science under ICES" in Helsinki, Finland, August 1-4, 2000;
- d. One person to attend the SCOR General Meeting in Washington, DC, U.S.A., October, 2000.

**Proposed list of publications in 2000 and beyond (Agenda Item 3c)** (see Decision 99/S/3)

Science Board reviewed the list of proposed publications and recommended that the following reports be published:

- a. Final Report of WG 11 (Consumption of Marine Resources by Marine Birds and Mammals), the Japan/East Sea Bibliography, and Proceedings of the 1999 MONITOR and REX Workshops and the 2000 MODEL Workshop in the PICES Scientific Report Series;
- b. Progress reports of WG 8 on Practical Assessment Methodology), WG 12 (Crabs and Shrimps), and WG 13 (CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific) in the 1999 PICES Annual Report;
- c. Selection of papers from the 1999 Science Board Symposium on "The nature and impacts of North Pacific climate regime shifts" in a special issue of Progress in Oceanography;
- d. Selection of papers from the Beyond El Niño Conference in a special issue of Progress in Oceanography (part of BEN budget);
- e. Selected papers from the MODEL Workshop on "Lower trophic level modeling" in Fisheries Oceanography in 2001;
- f. Review and results from the PICES WG 13 Technical/CO<sub>2</sub> Intercalibration Workshop, in a bilingual (English/Japanese) report, published by the National Institute of Environmental Studies, Japan (at no cost to PICES).

**Future of Working Groups and Scientific Programs (Agenda Item 4d)** (see Decision 99/S/4)

Science Board recommended:

- a. WG 8 on Practical Assessment Methodology continue for one more year to complete collation, editing and publication of results from the MEQ Practical Workshop (expected completion date: fall 2000);

- b. WG 10 on Circulation and Ventilation in the Japan/East Sea be disbanded and the WG 10 report be published on the PICES website as a revisable document;
- c. WG 11 on Consumption of Marine Resources by Marine Mammals and Birds be disbanded;
- d. WG 12 on Crabs and Shrimps continue for one more year to complete collation, editing and publication of results (no further meetings of the Working Group are required);
- e. WG 14 on Effective Sampling of Micronekton continue for at least two more years. Dr. Richard Brodeur (U.S.A.) replace the current Co-Chairman, Dr. Bruce Robison (U.S.A.).
- e. To account for gaps in expertise and/or national representation, additional members from Korea and China are requested;
- f. Adoption of revised terms of reference for MONITOR Task Team (see GC Appendix B (i)).

The following new PICES Groups were recommended (see Decision 99/S/5):

- a. Advisory Panel on Marine Mammals and Birds (under BIO) with a life span of 5 years (see GC Appendix B (ii) for terms of reference);
- b. Advisory Panel on Continuous Plankton Recorder Survey in the North Pacific (under CCCC/MONITOR) with a life span of 2 years (see GC Appendix B (iii) for terms of reference);
- c. Working Group on the Ecology of Harmful Algal Blooms in the North Pacific (under MEQ) (see GC Appendix B (iv) for terms of reference);

- d. Working Group on Climate Change, Shifts in Fish Production, and Fisheries Management (under FIS) (see GC Appendix B (v) for terms of reference).

**Relations with other international organizations (Agenda Item 4e)** (see Decision 99/S/6)

PICES maintains a Standing List of International Organizations and Programs (revised annually) to indicate which of these should be regularly attended by a PICES representative to facilitate interaction. Science Board endorsed a revised list and forwarded it to Governing Council for approval (see GC Appendix C (i)).

The Scientific Committees and CCCC Program identified the following as their highest priority:

- CCCC: GOOS, GLOBEC
- MEQ: GIWA, GOOS
- FIS: GOOS-LMR, AMAP/ACIA
- BIO: ICES WGZE, GLOBEC
- POC: ARGO, CREAMS, CLIVAR, GOOS, JGOFS, WESTPAC, NEAR-GOOS, SCOR, IOC

Science Board recommended that committees draft letters of interest to the following programs: CLIVAR (POC), NEAR-GOOS (POC), ARGO (POC), GIWA (MEQ), and ICES WGZE (BIO).

PICES relations with GOOS were highlighted as particularly important by most Scientific and Technical Committees and the CCCC Program. Science Board recommended that PICES strengthen its relationship with various GOOS components.

**PICES IX Annual Meeting structure (Agenda Item 5a)** (see Decision 99/S/7)

A proposal for enhancing the PICES Annual Meeting was discussed by Science Board. Topics included (1) the timing of the Science Board Symposium, (2) the role and visibility of posters, (3) Paper Sessions, and (4) the visibility of young scientists at PICES (See *SB Endnote 3* for the detailed proposal). The proposal was adopted after revision.

The proposal to discontinue the Paper Sessions was not approved but it was generally agreed that Paper Sessions could be considered optional, depending on the number of sessions proposed at each Annual Meeting. Some members of Science Board felt that the opportunity to give an oral presentation outside of themes identified by the committees is an important factor in attracting scientists and new ideas to PICES. The proposals to move the Science Board Symposium to the first day of the Annual Meeting, and to upgrade the visibility of poster sessions (which would also include electronic posters) were endorsed by Science Board. Rather than institute a new award for Best Student Presentation, Science Board recommended that the Chairman's Handbook be revised to reflect the importance of selecting a young scientist for the Best Presentation Award of each committee.

Science Board agreed that young scientists should be advised that some travel funds are available to support their involvement in PICES, and Science Board agreed that the Secretariat, in consultation with the Science Board Chairman, should identify the number of young scientists to receive travel support each year.

#### **PICES Ninth Annual Meeting Topic Sessions and Science Board Symposium (Agenda Item 4c)**

Science Board recommended that the following events be held at or in association with PICES IX:

1. Subarctic Gyre processes and their interaction with coastal and transition zones: physical and biological relationships and ecosystem impacts (3/4 day) (SB);
2. Prey consumption by higher trophic level predators in PICES regions: implications for ecosystem studies (1/2 day) (BIO);
3. Recent progress in zooplankton ecology study in PICES regions (1 day) (BIO/CCCC – CCCC agreed to co-sponsor this session in discussion following PICES VIII);
4. Short life-span squid and fish as keystone species in North Pacific marine ecosystems (1 day) (FIS);
5. Large-scale circulation in the North Pacific (1/2 day) (POC);
6. North Pacific carbon cycle and ecosystem dynamics (1 day). (POC/BIO – BIO agreed to co-sponsor this session in discussion following PICES VIII);
7. Results of GLOBEC and GLOBEC-like projects (1 day) (CCCC);
8. Environmental assessment of Vancouver Harbour: results of an International Workshop (1/2 day) (MEQ);
9. Science and technology for environmentally sustainable mariculture in coastal areas (1 day) (MEQ).

Science Board agreed that the duration or number of Topic Sessions could be reduced by Science Board in consultation with the Secretariat, if there are too many concurrent sessions.

Science Board recommended that CCCC Task Teams/Advisory Panels and the BIO

Technical Workshop on "The basis for estimating the abundance of marine birds and mammals, and the impact of their predation on other organisms" meet in the same facility just prior to PICES IX, to allow members to attend each other's sessions.

Science Board recommended that PICES co-sponsor the CREAMS Workshop on "Oceanography of the East Asian Marginal Seas" (May 2000, Vladivostok), and suggested that PICES collaborate with CREAMS in planning the workshop to assist CREAMS in becoming more ecosystem-oriented.

#### **Other items (Agenda Item 4g)**

##### Best Presentation Award winner

Dr. Nathan Mantua (U.S.A.) received the 1999 Science Board Best Presentation Award for his paper (co-authored with Dr. Steven Hare) entitled "On the assessment and identification of recent North Pacific climate regime shifts".

#### **Strategic Workplan (Agenda Item 4a)**

Science Board briefly discussed the importance of strategic plans and updating these on an annual basis. The following addition was made to the Science Board Strategic Plan:

- Approved new structure of PICES Annual Meeting ;
- Approved planning of PICES 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Symposium;
- Provided further guidance on implementing/advertising young scientists' travel grant;
- Renewed the practice of updating and prioritizing the list of international programs for PICES collaboration;
- Developed a list of possible topics for future Science Board Symposia (see *SB Endnote 4*).

#### **Other business (Agenda Item 7)**

##### PICES Bureau

Science Board endorsed the principle of the Secretariat's proposal to form a PICES Bureau to assist in the long-range planning of PICES.

##### PICES X

Science Board endorsed the proposal to hold the PICES Tenth Annual Meeting in Victoria, Canada, and to convene a special anniversary symposium at PICES X (rather than a special inter-sessional meeting for this purpose). Science and Technical Committee Chairmen and CCCC Program Co-Chairmen each nominated one person to an organizing committee for this symposium. The members of the organizing committee are: Patricia Livingston (SB Chairman), Tsutomu Ikeda (BIO), Douglas E. Hay (FIS), John E. Stein (MEQ), Susan E. Allen (POC), David W. Welch (CCCC), and Thomas C. Royer (TCODE).

##### Publication policy

Science Board discussed the report of the Publication Committee (see Publication Committee report in this Annual Report) and made the following observations and recommendations:

Science Board agreed with the concept that the Local Organizing Committee be responsible for arranging to have suitable numbers of copies of the extended abstracts of papers printed for distribution at the meetings and recommended that this practice be adopted every year.

Science Board discussed the proposal for submitting electronic versions of extended abstracts and mentioned several difficulties that might be associated with such a proposal (difficulty of accessing this on the

web for some scientists, extra work for the Secretariat, and size and format of the files). However, Science Board suggests the implementation of a pilot project (using POC papers) to assess the feasibility of this suggestion. The suggestion of CD-ROM publication of extended abstracts would be deferred to next year.

Science Board agreed with the recommendation that translation of scientific papers be handled on a case-by-case basis and only be endorsed for papers of exceptional merit.

Science Board required further discussion on the possibility of a PICES Tenth Anniversary Publication. Science Board did not want this publication to interfere with PICES present publication preparation and emphasized the need for such a publication to encompass the interests of all PICES scientific disciplines.

Science Board appointed Dr. Howard J. Freeland (Canada) as the Chairman of the Publication Committee.

#### Working Group nomenclature

Science Board recommended instituting the practice of referring to Working Group by number AND a short title.

#### **Chairman's Handbook revisions (Agenda Item 6)**

Science Board identified changes that

should be made to the Handbook for Chairmen and Convenors (improving Best Presentation Award advice, definition of Advisory Panels, number of approved keynote speakers, etc.). The Science Board chairman agreed to draft these changes by the end of the year, for consideration by Science Board.

#### Scientific Committee Terms of Reference

Science Board agreed to review the terms of reference for Science Board and Permanent Committees by e-mail to determine if revisions are required.

#### **Implementation of PICES VII decisions and Science Board recommendations (Agenda Item 4b)**

Science Board reviewed progress on implementing of PICES VII decisions and Science Board recommendations (See *SB Endnote 5*).

#### **Closing remarks (Agenda Item 9)**

The Science Board Chairman thanked outgoing FIS Chairman, Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang, for his hard work and dedication to PICES over the last three years and welcomed the new FIS Chairman, Dr. Douglas E. Hay. The meeting was adjourned.

#### **SB Endnote 1**

#### **Participation List**

Patricia Livingston (Chairman, Science Board)\*  
Tsutomu Ikeda (Chairman, BIO)\*  
Chang-Ik Zhang (Chairman, FIS)\*  
Alexander V. Tkalin (Chairman, MEQ)\*  
Vyacheslav B. Lobanov (Chairman, POC)\*

#### Other

Robin Brown (Chairman, TCODE)\*  
Suam Kim (Co-Chairman, CCCC/IP)\*  
David W. Welch (C-chairman, CCCC/IP)\*

Warren S. Wooster (Interim Chairman,  
Publication Committee)  
Richard J. Marasco (Chairman, F&A)\*  
Douglas E. Hay (Chairman-elect, FIS)\*  
Alexander S. Bychkov (Executive Secretary)

Stewart (Skip) M. McKinnell (Asst.  
Executive Secretary, PICES)\*

\*In attendance October 16, 1999

## SB Endnote 2

### Agenda

#### October 13, 1999

1. Welcome and opening remarks
2. Adoption of agenda
3. Reports of the SB Chairman, Scientific, Technical, and Publication Committees, CCCC IP, Working and Study Groups with regard to items having financial implications for 2000 and beyond:
  - a. Inter-sessional meetings proposed for year 2000 and beyond;
  - b. Travel support requests for year 2000;
  - c. Proposed list of publications (PICES Scientific Report series and outside journals) for year 2000 and beyond;
  - d. Other items.

#### October 13 and 16, 1999

4. Reports of the Science Board, Scientific, Technical, and Publication Committees, CCCC IP, Working and Study Groups with regard to other items:
  - a. Brief summary report of the group's activities in the past year (including progress with regard to strategic workplan items);
  - b. Completion of items that were PICES VII decisions by Science Board and Governing Council;

- c. Proposed titles for Topic Sessions and Symposia for the next Annual Meeting (that includes a short paragraph of the goals of the sessions suitable to be put in the first and final announcements and a list of potential convenors);
- d. Proposed list of any future groups along with terms of reference and a list of potential members;
- e. Relations with other International organizations;
- f. Proposed recommendations and draft text on other items that would be included in the Science Board Report to Council (e.g., recommendations for letters of support to various research efforts);
- g. Other items (including Best Presentation Award winner).
5. PICES IX Annual Meeting:
  - a. Structure
  - b. Topic Sessions and Science Board Symposium
6. Chairman's Handbook revisions
7. Other business
8. Summary of Science Board recommendations
9. Closing remarks

## SB Endnote 3

### Proposal for New Structure to PICES Annual Meeting



Comments and criticisms received over the last year with regard to the structure of the PICES meeting has led to the following proposal. This proposal seeks to streamline the PICES Annual Meeting, focus our efforts on promoting an interdisciplinary ecosystem approach, promote the participation of young scientists, and upgrade the visibility of poster sessions. The revised structure of the PICES Annual Meeting will include:

- 1. Moving the Science Board Symposium to the afternoon of the first day**

Many comments have been made about having the Science Board Symposium last. Often people are in a rush to leave and participation is sporadic from year to year. Making this the first session of the meeting will bring everyone together for interdisciplinary talks that will highlight a key marine science issue (that may also be the focus of the keynote lecture given during the morning of the first day). Everyone

will be fresh and receptive to hearing talks on an important issue.

## **2. Promoting more inter-committee topic sessions, removing the paper sessions, and upgrading the visibility of the poster sessions**

If we are to attract quality scientists and papers to the meetings and promote our interdisciplinary, ecosystem approach to North Pacific marine science we should focus on quality topic sessions for committees and promote inter-committee topic sessions. The paper sessions have tended to be the place to put things those do not fit and are sporadic in terms of the number, quality and session attendance. By removing the paper sessions, we will focus more on topic sessions and interdisciplinary sessions. Simultaneously, the poster sessions can be enhanced (and papers that might have gone to paper session can be put into the poster session) by having a formal poster viewing time near the end of each day in conjunction with a social hour. Posters to be focused on for each day can be summarized just prior to the poster session by a person appointed by each committee. This summary would consist of an overview of the posters for a particular topic/committee and it would be given to the attendees showing up for the

social hour in the area of the posters. Poster presenters for that session would be required to stand by their poster for that social hour. We should institute an award for Best Poster Presentation. This award would be selected from all the posters presented at the meeting.

## **3. Promote the participation of young scientists**

We can increase the participation of young scientists through a young scientists travel fund, which would come from the PICES Trust Fund. On an annual basis, we would put in our Annual Meeting announcements a call for nominations of young scientist's to participate in the annual meeting. Young scientists would be required to submit their abstract, a CV, and a request for travel support to the Secretariat. Selection of young scientists to participate in the meeting would be made on the basis of availability of funds and the amount of participation of the young scientist in the activities of the PICES Annual Meeting. Selection would be made by Science Board in consultation with the Secretariat. Finally, we should institute an award for Best Student Presentation. This presentation would be selected from among all the papers and posters at the meeting.

## **SB Endnote 4**

### **Science Board Symposium Topic List**

Science Board symposium topics may involve several scientific disciplines or highlight an important region or topic area of broad interest to the PICES scientific community. Sometimes topics are suggested by Scientific Committees or Programs or may be developed in consultation with the national committee organizing the PICES Annual Meeting if there are topics that may be of high regional interest. This list of possible topics should

be reviewed and updated annually to reflect interdisciplinary topics that are of the greatest interest.

- Technological advancements in observing systems
- Mechanisms of interaction between the North Pacific subtropical and subarctic gyres
- Spatial dynamics of ecosystems
- Regional ecosystem programs of the North Pacific

- Japan/East Sea ecosystem
- East China Sea ecosystem
- Ecosystem dynamics of North Pacific Marginal Seas
- Present state and future directions of North Pacific marine policy
- Change indicators in North Pacific ecosystems (could also be Working Group)
- Conservation biology in marine ecosystems
- Introduction and distribution of exotic Species

## **SB Endnote 5**

### **Progress on PICES VII decisions and Science Board recommendations**

#### ***Part A. Decisions***

##### **98/S/1:** Inter-sessional Workshops and Working Group Meetings

The following inter-sessional meetings were convened:

- a. A 2-week MEQ Practical Workshop was held in Vancouver (Canada) in May 1999;
- b. A 4-day WG 13 Technical Workshop was held in Tsukuba (Japan) in April 1999;
- c. A 5-day WG 12 meeting was held in August 1999 in the People's Republic of China.

##### **98/S/2:** CCCC Program and CCCC-IP Task Teams

- a. The BASS Task Team planned to convene a 2-day workshop on Development of a conceptual model for the Subarctic North Pacific Gyres immediately prior to the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting in Vladivostok. Due to the absence of one convenor and insufficient attendance at the workshop it was not held;
- b. The MONITOR Task Team convened a 2-day Workshop immediately prior to the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting in Vladivostok. Co-convenors were Yasunori Sakurai (Japan) and Bruce A. Taft (U.S.A.);

c. The REX Task Team convened a 2-day workshop on Herring and euphausiids immediately prior to the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting in Vladivostok. Co-convenors were Douglas E. Hay (Canada), William T. Peterson (U.S.A.), Vladimir I. Radchenko (Russia) and Tokio Wada (Japan);

d. The MODEL Task Team should convene a 3-day workshop on Prototype lower trophic level ecosystem model for comparison of different marine ecosystems in the North Pacific, in Nemuro, Japan, in early 2000. Outside funding was obtained for this workshop from the Japanese Science and Technology Agency and Nemuro City. Dates for the workshop have now been fixed at January 24-27, 2000. (Dates have subsequently been changed to January 31-February 3, 2000).

##### **98/S/3:** Publications

The following reports were recommended for publication and publication status is as follows:

- a. Progress reports of Working Groups 8, 11-14 and the report of the Publication Study Group were published in the 1998 Annual Report;

- b. Revised PICES Handbook and Handbook for Chairmen and Convenors were published;
- c. Selection of papers from the 1997 Science Board Symposium on “Ecosystem dynamics in the eastern and western gyres of the subarctic Pacific” was published in the special issue of Progress in Oceanography;
- d. Selection of papers from the 1998 Science Board Symposium on “Impacts of the 1997/98 El Niño events on the North Pacific Ocean and its Marginal Seas” was published as PICES Scientific Report No. 10;
- e. Proceedings of the MODEL, REX and MONITOR 1998 Workshops were published as PICES Scientific Report No. 11;
- f. Proceedings of the Second PICES Workshop on the Okhotsk Sea and adjacent areas was published as PICES Scientific Report No. 12;
- g. WG 10 report was recommended for publication in the PICES Scientific Report Series. Reviews of the draft report have been completed and publication is pending the receipt of a revised report. (At PICES VIII, POC recommended that this report be published on the PICES website only, as a revisable document.);
- h. Review volume on “Dynamics of the Bering Sea” was published by University of Alaska Sea Grant (PICES VI decision).

**98/S/4: Future of Working Groups**

- a. WG 10 on Circulation and Ventilation in the Japan/East Sea was proposed for disbanding in spring 1999 after the POC Committee’s approval of the publication of the final report. POC committee approved this report at the PICES VIII meeting so WG10 is now disbanded;
- b. WG 11 on Consumption of Marine Resources by Marine Mammals and

Seabirds continued its work for one more year and produced a final report that was presented at PICES VIII. Simultaneously, a new Study Group to propose ways to incorporate marine birds and mammals expertise into the PICES structure and activity was established and prepared a new proposal that was accepted at PICES VIII by Science Board;

- c. Terms of reference for WG 14 on Effective Sampling of Micronekton were expanded to include the ecological role of micronekton in addition to sampling methods.

**98/S/5: New PICES groups**

A new standing Publication Committee reporting to Science Board was established with membership consisting of one participant from each member country, selected by Science Board from among members of the PICES Scientific Committees, Working Groups, and Task Teams, together with an elected Chairman and a representative of the Secretariat. Members were nominated inter-sessionally and a letter seeking the support of national delegates for the members of the Committee was sent by the PICES Secretariat. The following members were appointed to the Committee:

- Dr. Howard Freeland (Canada),  
Chairman
- Prof. Qi-Sheng Tang (China)
- Prof. Takashige Sugimoto (Japan)
- Dr. Jang-Uk Lee (Korea)
- Dr. Vyacheslav Lobanov (Russia)
- Dr. Warren Wooster (USA)
- Dr. Stewart McKinnell (Secretariat)

**98/S/6: Travel support**

- a. PICES provided partial support for Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang (FIS Chairman) to attend a joint SCOR WG 105 and ICES symposium on “The Ecosystem Effect of

Fishing” in Montpellier, France, in March 1999;

- b. PICES funded travel for two experts to provide a keynote demonstration and/or presentation at the TCODE Workshop on “The application of scientific visualization to marine ecosystem analysis” in conjunction with the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting in Vladivostok;
- c. PICES provided financial support for three scientists to attend the REX Workshop on “Herring and euphausiids”;
- d. PICES funded travel of one invited speaker for the CCCC-related scientific session at the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting.

**98/S/7:** Co-sponsored meetings

- a. PICES will co-sponsor a 4-day conference “Beyond El Nino: A conference on Pacific climate variability and marine ecosystem impacts, from

the Tropics to the Arctic” to be held in La Jolla, U.S.A., March 23-26, 2000, with IPHC, IATTC, ISC, NPAFC, and SCOR. The Steering Committee, appointed by the co-sponsoring organizations, included Drs. Paul H. LeBlond and Warren S. Wooster who are serving as Co-Chairmen of the Steering Committee on behalf of PICES. Sections convenors have been selected and planning is proceeding.

- b. PICES will participate in a 3-day meeting held with the ICES Working Group on Zooplankton Ecology from April 17-19 in Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A. Dr. Tsutomu Ikeda (BIO Chairman) represents PICES as a co-organizer of the joint ICES/PICES parts of the meeting. Discussions are proceeding regarding a mini-workshop on “Zooplankton production ecology of the North Pacific and North Atlantic” in conjunction with this meeting.

**Part B. Progress on PICES VII Science Board Recommendations**

Japan/East Sea Bibliography

Science Board recommended the publication of the Japan/East Sea Bibliography on the PICES Home Page pending author’s (Dr. Mikhail A. Danchenkov, FERHRI, Russia) approval. This proposal was not accepted by Dr. Danchenkov. At PICES VIII, publication in the PICES Scientific Report Series was recommended.

Advisory Panel on Iron Fertilization Experiment

CCCC/IP established an Advisory Panel (under BASS) on “An Iron Fertilization Experiment in the Subarctic Pacific Ocean.”

The group met at PICES VIII (see CCCC report in this Annual Report). Its present

timetable is to have a planning meeting in conjunction with PICES IX and to write proposals to representative governments for submission by April-June 2000. Presently the iron fertilization experiment is planned for August 2002 in the eastern North Pacific and for 2001 or 2003 in the western North Pacific.

CCCC Best Presentation Award

An award for Best Presentation was given by the CCCC Program during PICES VIII and this will continue in future years.

Proceedings from Annual Meetings

The Korean proposal to publish proceedings from the PICES Annual Meetings was referred to the Publication Committee for consideration at PICES VIII. They agreed that proceedings of scientific sessions

would be too expensive to produce and unnecessary when PICES is moving toward putting extended abstracts on the web.

#### PICES – ARGO/GODAE relations

Science Board recommended at PICES VII that PICES member countries create appropriate structures (such as national committees, or GOOS sub-committees) to consider and prepare for participation in GODAE and ARGO, and that data from ARGO and assimilation results from

GODAE originating from PICES member countries be made generally available to all scientists. These structures were established by many nations, and PICES scientists (Drs. Stephen C Riser (U.S.A.), Howard J. Freeland (Canada) and Takashige Sugimoto (Japan)) are playing leading roles in these activities.

# REPORT OF BIOLOGICAL OCEANOGRAPHY COMMITTEE

3

80

The Biological Oceanography Committee met on morning of October 13, 1999. The Chairman, Dr. Tsutomu Ikeda, called the meeting to order and welcomed the members of the Committee and observers (see *BIO Endnote 1*). Dr. Paul J. Harrison served as rapporteur. The agenda for the meeting appears in *BIO Endnote 2*.

## **Old business (Agenda Item 3)**

Dr. Ikeda informed the Committee that Dr. Takashige Sugimoto was representing BIO on the Publication Committee and Dr. Michael M. Mullin was acting as Chairman of the Local Organizing Committee for the Beyond El Niño Conference. BIO supports these nominations.

## **Report of Working Group 11 (Agenda Item 4)**

The BIO Committee approved the final report of Working Group 11 on Consumption of Marine Resources by Marine Mammals and Seabirds and recommended its publication in the PICES Scientific Report Series. It was also recommended that the Working Group should present a report to all PICES scientists through a Topic Session and a Workshop at next year's PICES meeting (*BIO Endnote 3*).

Dr. Patricia A. Wheeler reported that at the PICES Seventh Annual Meeting in Fairbanks, Science Board established a Study Group to determine the best way to incorporate and maintain marine mammal and bird expertise in PICES activities. The Study Group recommends establishment of an Advisory Group with a life span of 5 years and terms of reference as listed (*BIO Endnote 4*). BIO supported this recommendation.

## **Report of Working Group 14 (Agenda Item 5)**

Dr. Ikeda reported that two new members, Dr. David L. Mackas (Canada) and Naoki Iguchi (Japan) have been recruited to WG 14. Because of the absence of both Co-Chairmen of WG 14, discussion was limited to the modification of the report content. Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko suggested that euphausiids should not be included as micronekton while other PICES participants thought that euphausiids are important and should be included. It was recommended that WG 14 make a decision and report next year to the BIO Committee. As for future aspects, it was suggested that dynamics and processes be included. Dr. Atsushi Tsuda reviewed the Micronekton Symposium held in Pusan.

BIO members discussed ways to strengthen the activities of WG 14 by nominating a new Co-Chairman and increasing its membership. Dr. Richard D. Brodeur (U.S.A.) was suggested to replace the current Co-Chairman, Dr. Bruce Robison (U.S.A.), and Dr. W.-D. Yoon was suggested as a new member from Korea by Dr. Woong-Seo Kim. Considering the slow development of the Working Group, BIO is requesting at least a 1-year extension of the lifespan of WG 14.

WG 14 proposed a one-day Working Group meeting just prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in 2000. BIO endorsed the proposal.

## **PICES/GOOS relations: Outcome of the MONITOR Workshop (Agenda Item 6)**

Dr. Bruce A. Taft briefed the Committee on PICES/GOOS relations, noting that GOOS has paid little attention to the Subarctic

Pacific. Relevant to BIO was the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) pilot project for living marine resources (plankton), and the inter-calibration of zooplankton sampling gear.

### **PICES Plankton Monitoring Program (Agenda Item 7)**

Dr. David W. Welch reported on the PICES CPR program for the eastern North Pacific and southern Bering Sea (currently funded for two years through the North Pacific Marine Research Initiative). The rationale of the program is the face of global warming and its possible effect on the plankton community. As a relevant topic, Dr. Kim and Dr. Young-Shil Kang reported on the Korean monitoring project over the last 30 years, and the initiation of a new project also using the continuous plankton recorder.

### **ICES/PICES Zooplankton Ecology Workshop (Agenda Item 9)**

BIO members discussed ways to participate actively in the ICES/PICES Workshop on Zooplankton Ecology, and recommended that Science Board request a formal letter of invitation from ICES, clearly stating the terms of reference, and the names of the scientists for the ICES Working Group. The BIO Committee was very positive about the participation of PICES in the workshop and the potential for active collaboration between PICES and ICES on monitoring and comparisons of the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans.

### **Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (Agenda Item 9)**

BIO members were in favour of involvement in the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), and would like to participate and be informed of their

progress. The BIO Committee agreed that the CCCC/MONITOR Task Team should be the contact group for PICES.

### **Proposals for special topics for PICES Annual Meeting in 2000 (Agenda Item 10)**

BIO agreed to a half-day Workshop (see *BIO Endnote 4*) and a half-day Topic Session as requested by the Working Group 11. BIO would also like to sponsor a Topic Session on Zooplankton Ecology (*BIO Endnote 5*), either as a 1-day session or co-sponsored with CCCC. Depending on whether CCCC is interested in the co-sponsorship, we may have to sacrifice the half day for BIO Paper Session.

### **Best Presentation Award (Agenda Item 11)**

Nominations were tabulated for the Best Presentation Award. Based on these nominations the BIO Committee selected a short list of four candidates and voted for Dr. Toru Kobari for his paper (co-authored with T. Ikeda) "Interannual variabilities in abundance and body size of *Neocalanus* copepods (Crustacea: copepoda) in the central North Pacific" as the 1999 best BIO presentation.

### **Other business (Agenda Item 12)**

Dr. Harrison reported on the need to officially recognize and adopt the *ecozones* that were listed in the 1996 PICES Scientific Report No. 4 (CCCC Implementation Plan, p. 24). It was recognized that these zones may change interannually and for different trophic levels.

BIO agreed that a workshop on the utilization of fishing vessels for monitoring will be very useful. However, BIO felt that the title should be changed to "ships-of-opportunity" which would include training vessels. This workshop should be co-



sponsored by CCCC and the MONITOR Task Team and not by BIO.

BIO members were in favour of the proposed Working Group on the “Ecology of Toxic Algae”, but the name should be changed to “Ecology of Harmful Algal Blooms in the North Pacific”. The second **BIO Endnote 1**

task of the Working Group should be changed to – “seek links between bloom events and environmental factors and trophic interactions and possible anthropogenic stresses”. The new Working Group should report to MEQ and BIO (and not just MEQ).

### Participation List

#### Canada

Paul J. Harrison\*

Young-Shil Kang

Woong-Seo Kim\*

#### Japan

Tsutomu Ikeda\* (Chairman)

Takashige Sugimoto\*

Atsushi Tsuda\*

Tokio Wada

#### Russia

Vladimir I. Radchenko\*

#### U.S.A.

David Checkley

William T. Peterson

Patricia A. Wheeler\*

#### Korea

Joong-Ki Choi

\*BIO Committee member

### BIO Endnote 2

#### Agenda

1. Welcome and introduction of members
2. Approval/modification of agenda
3. Business arising from last year's meeting
4. Report of Working Group 11 on Marine birds and mammals
5. Report of Working Group 14 on Micronekton
6. PICES/GOOS relations: outcome from MONITOR Workshop
7. PICES Plankton Monitoring Program
8. ICES/PICES Zooplankton Ecology Workshop
9. Discussion of possible involvement in the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP)
10. Proposals for the special topic for PICES Annual Meeting 2000
11. BIO Best Presentation Award
12. Any other business
13. Summary of BIO recommendations for Science Board

### BIO Endnote 3

#### **Working Group 11 on Marine Birds and Mammals: Topic Session and Workshop**

Topic Session on Prey consumption by higher trophic level predators in PICES regions: implications for ecosystem studies (½-day)

1. Complete review of details of the scientific content of WG11 report and future problems.
2. Role and significance of higher trophic level predators in the PICES Region.

3. How to incorporate marine birds and mammal components to PICES ecosystem study.

Workshop to review the technical basis for estimating the abundance of marine birds and mammals, and the impact of their predation on other organisms (½-day).

#### **BIO Endnote 4**

##### **Report of the Study Group incorporating Marine Mammal and Bird expertise into PICES**

At PICES VII in Fairbanks, the Science Board recommended, and Governing Council approved (Decision 98/S/4), that WG 11 on Consumption of Marine Resources by Marine Mammals and Seabirds continue its work for one more year to prepare and present a final report at PICES VIII, and simultaneously, a new Study Group be established to propose ways to incorporate marine birds and mammals expertise into the PICES structure and activity. The following terms of reference were suggested:

1. Inter-sessional communication to formulate a plan for incorporation of marine birds and mammals into the PICES structure and activities.
2. Nominate 4 marine mammal and 4 marine bird experts to serve on CCCC Task Teams and be invited to attend PICES VIII.
3. Convene at PICES VIII to finalize recommendations and submit to BIO, CCCC and Science Board.

The Study Group corresponded by e-mail during the year and agreed on the following proposal regarding the formation of a special Advisory Group on Marine Mammals and Birds. Based on the past exchanges, it would seem valuable to have an appropriate marine bird and marine mammal person assigned to each of the CCCC Task Teams. This group of marine bird and mammal

scientists could then be collectively joined in a special Advisory Group to the BIO Committee. This group would meet during the Annual Meetings, its members would be urged to participate in science sessions, and it would have a Chairman or Co-Chairmen to facilitate communication with BIO. The Advisory Group should have a lifespan of 5 years, and be renewed only by vote of BIO. If this effort to integrate bird and mammal scientists into the fiber of PICES is successful, in a few years having a special group for birds and mammals should not be necessary. This approach avoids setting up a permanent Working Group or a new Scientific Committee organized around taxonomic groups.

##### **BIO Committee Advisory Panel on Marine Mammals and Birds**

Purpose: To integrate marine mammal and bird research into PICES activities, particularly with regard to the BIO Committee and the CCCC Program. This group would correspond during the year and meet at the PICES Annual Meeting. A chairman for the group will be selected and will be the primary point of contact between the group and other PICES entities. Duration: 5 years, to be renewed only by vote of BIO.

Terms of Reference:

1. Provide information and scientific expertise to BIO, CCCC Program, and,

when necessary, to other scientific and technical committees with regard to the biology and ecology roles of marine mammals and seabirds.

2. Identify important problems, scientific questions, and knowledge gaps in assessing the roles of marine mammals and seabirds in marine ecosystems.

3. Assemble relevant information on the biology of marine mammals and seabirds and disseminate it to the PICES community through reports and symposia.

4. Develop strategies to improve collaborative, interdisciplinary research with marine mammal and birds researchers and the PICES scientific community.

## **BIO Endnote 5**

### **Topic Session: Recent progress in zooplankton ecology study in PICES regions**

Objective: Although zooplankton is an integral component of marine ecosystem, past PICES symposia have largely focused on key species which form a large biomass and are known as important prey organisms for higher trophic level predators. One good example is *Neocalanus* in the subarctic Pacific. However, zooplankton includes diverse taxa about which relatively little is known. There may be unappreciated taxa of significant trophic importance (such as gelatinous zooplankton), about which there is little information currently available for the

subarctic Pacific and marginal seas. This 1-day session invites zooplankton researchers from North Pacific rim countries to review the current status of such organisms within the PICES region, including topics like systematics, distribution, life cycle, production, trophic interaction, genetics, etc. The subject may also be of interest of CCCC.

Convenors: Tsutomu Ikeda (tentative), others to be determined.

# REPORT OF FISHERY SCIENCE COMMITTEE

83

83

The Fishery Science Committee met on the morning of October 13, 1999, in Vladivostok. The Chairman, Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang, opened the meeting and welcomed new members, Drs. George W. Boehlert (U.S.A.) and Victor V. Lapko (Russia) (*FIS Endnote 1*). The agenda was reviewed and approved by all members (*FIS Endnote 2*). The Chairman appointed Dr. Douglas E. Hay as rapporteur.

## **Election of Chairman (Agenda Item 2)**

As per the PICES Rules of Procedure, Executive Secretary Dr. Alexander S. Bychkov called for nominations. Dr. Tokimasa Kobayashi nominated Dr. Hay (Canada), and he was elected by acclamation as the new Chairman of the FIS Committee. The Committee unanimously expressed its gratitude for Dr. Zhang's leadership and efforts.

## **Report of Working Group 12 on Crabs and Shrimps (Agenda Item 4.1)**

Dr. Robert S. Otto, Chairman of WG 12, summarized their activities. WG 12 convened its fourth and final meeting in Qingdao, China, from August 10-14, 1999. Dr. Otto presented an interim report (*FIS Endnote 3*), reviewed the activities of this meeting and briefly discussed problems related to the species diversity and assembly of data related to crabs and shrimps. Following the presentation there was discussion about the status and completion date for the final report. The FIS Committee noted that in the 1998 report (PICES VII) FIS requested "a completed, final report from WG 12 at PICES VIII in Vladivostok". Dr. Otto indicated that he was hopeful that the final report could be presented at PICES IX. This report will be submitted to the FIS Committee for their

review.

## **Travel support (Agenda Item 4.4)**

PICES provided partial support for Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang to attend a joint SCOR WG 105 and ICES Symposium on "The Ecosystem Effects of Fishing" in Montpellier, France, in March 1999.

## **FIS Strategic Plan: accomplishments and changes (Agenda Item 5)**

The Committee reviewed the details of the FIS Strategic Workplan for Phase 3: PICES VII to X, as presented in the 1998 Annual Report, Section 5, pages 86-87. The Committee agreed to make changes to 4 sub-sections, as indicated below.

### Establishment of new Working Groups (revised)

In the course of its work, the FIS Committee may identify specific research questions which may require further cooperative assistance. Working Groups may be established to address these issues. Approved Working Groups must establish terms of reference and a workplan to accomplish their goals, subject to review by FIS. Working Group meetings, topic sessions, or workshops should be developed as needed to complete the objectives. The FIS Committee should monitor the progress of its working Groups to ensure timely completion of their work.

### Scientific contribution from FIS (revised)

In deliberations of its Working Groups, symposia, and special sessions, the FIS Committee should strive to maximize the

dissemination of work products to the broad scientific community. To the extent possible, consideration should be given to publication in established series of fisheries and ocean science.

#### Integration of biological interactions and physical impacts (new)

FIS will encourage the development of an integrated understanding of the interaction among species. This will include incorporating impacts of climate and physical oceanographic variability.

#### Coordination of research programs and data exchange

FIS will encourage the reporting of results of national research programs, and TCODE's work for collecting and distributing information for PICES nations on an annual basis.

#### **Review the scientific activity in the CCCC program and the international GLOBEC (Agenda Item 6.1)**

The inclusion of environmental indices in stock assessment processes was illustrated by several of the presentations at the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting. This was followed by a general discussion of how FIS can interact with CCCC. There may be opportunities for a joint FIS/CCCC workshop concerned with the biological/physical sciences that examine effects of climate on recruitment processes.

In subsequent discussion, it was suggested that FIS could play a role to assist with coordination between national activities connected with the CCCC Program. Specifically, FIS could (1) provide lists of research institutes which conduct CCCC programs; (2) determine the coordinator from each national institute; (3) present national research programs connected with fisheries; and (4) establish a Working Group on the coordination of stock assessment

activities, inventory assessment methods and determination of priority of methodology development. It was acknowledged that these are useful suggestions; much of these activities is presently conducted by other groups, including TCODE, the CCCC Program and GLOBEC.

Participation in the CCCC and GLOBEC programs is highly encouraged.

#### **SCOR WG 105 activity (Agenda Item 6.2)**

SCOR WG 105 and ICES held a symposium on "The Ecosystem Effects of Fishing" on March 16-19, 1999, in Montpellier, France. A "Report of the Activity of SCOR Working Group 105" was submitted to PICES in February 1998, and introduced in PICES Press, Vol. 6, No. 1. The "Report on the ICES/ SCOR Symposium on Ecosystem Effect of Fishing" was published in PICES Press, Vol. 7, No. 2. SCOR WG 105 will complete its activity after the final summary report with a concluding paper presented at the coming SCOR Annual Meeting. Many of the papers presented at that meeting will be published in an issue of ICES Journal of Marine Science.

#### **Relationship with regional fisheries organizations or commissions (Agenda Item 6.3)**

The MOUs with the North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission (NPAFC) and ICES were signed in November 1998 (see pp. 29-32 in the 1998 Annual Report).

The FIS Committee briefly discussed (1) the proposed UNDP-GEF North Pacific Transboundary Project and (2) the NPAFC/PICES Juvenile Salmon Workshop, and recommended that PICES endorse them.

#### **Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP) (Agenda Item 6.4)**

The objective of this project is the assessment of climate change in Arctic regions. Although the focus of this project is narrow relative to the broader scope of PICES, there are a number of important species whose distributions extend into Arctic waters. For this reason, the FIS Committee endorsed the suggestion that a formal connection to this programme be established.

### **PICES/GOOS relations (Agenda Item 6.5)**

The MONITOR Workshop provided a forum for PICES scientists to learn about GOOS and to develop recommendations for PICES future involvement. The Science Board Chairman, Ms. Patricia Livingston, reported that PICES could benefit from some GOOS activities, such as the attempt to determine the monitoring activities of various countries. Also many PICES activities should be of interest to GOOS. In particular, PICES may consider pointing out the existence and importance of fisheries data to GOOS. For these reasons the FIS Committee recommended sending a representative to the GOOS meeting in Chile.

### **New Working Group(s) (Agenda Item 6.6)**

Three proposals for new Working Groups were presented at the meeting. Dr. Richard J. Beamish proposed a Working Group to examine "Climate change and shifts in fish production". Dr. Mikhail Stepanenko recommended a Working Group to examine "stock assessment and methodological development". Dr. Tokio Wada recommended a Working Group to examine "Climate change and fisheries management". In addition, there was a suggestion from Dr. Boehlert for a future Working Group to consider "Habitat selection and habitat utilization by migratory species in the North Pacific".

The Committee noted the close similarity of

the proposals by Drs. Beamish and Wada, and recommended that these be unified with the title: "Climate change, shifts in fish production, and fisheries management", with Drs. Beamish and Wada as Co-Chairmen. The Co-Chairmen drafted the rationale and terms of reference as indicated below.

### Terms of reference for a new Working Group on Climate Change, Shifts in Fish Production, and Fisheries Management

It was generally agreed that climate and ocean changes have a directed impact on the population dynamics of species of interest to the FIS Committee. The Working Group will identify key examples of species that have been affected by climate and ocean changes. The impact of these physical changes will be assessed in relation to fishing effects. The impact of inter-annual and decadal-scale physical changes will be investigated. Where possible, the mechanisms linking climate and ocean changes to changes in the populations dynamics will be described or hypothesized. Using these mechanisms and indices of climate change, the Working Group will investigate the possibility of long-term forecasting of changes in population dynamics and ecosystem structure.

### **FIS Topic Session for PICES IX (Agenda Item 7)**

FIS supported a proposal by Dr. Yasunori Sakurai to hold a one-day Topic Session at the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting on "Short lifespan squid and fish as keystone species in North Pacific marine ecosystems". Recommended convenors are Drs. Yasunori Sakurai (Japan) and Richard D. Brodeur (U.S.A.).

FIS endorsed a proposal for a 2-day acoustic workshop, sponsored by Hokkaido University, to be held in Hakodate, in conjunction with the PICES IX.

FIS noted and endorsed the recommendation from the REX Task Team for a 2-day workshop with the first day examining trends in North Pacific herring populations and the second day examining trophodynamic interactions in ecosystems that support herring populations.

FIS endorsed the proposed joint REX-BASS Workshop/Symposium to examine linkages between the production in subarctic gyres and resulting impacts in coastal and transition zones.

### **Best Presentation Award (Agenda Item 8)**

FIS voted for the Best Presentation Award from talks presented during the FIS Topic and Paper Sessions. The award went to Dr. Svetlana Davidova (Russia) for her excellent presentation titled "Spawning of subtropical species in Peter the Great Bay in 1991-1998".

### **FIS Endnote 1**

#### **Participation List**

#### Canada

Richard J. Beamish  
Douglas E. Hay  
Gordon A. McFarlane

#### China

Qi Sheng Tang

#### Japan

Akihiko Hara  
Tokimasa Kobayashi

#### Korea

Jin-Yeong Kim  
Suam Kim  
Chang-Ik Zhang (Chairman, FIS)

#### Russia

Victor V. Lapko  
Mikhail Stepanenko

#### U.S.A.

George W. Boehlert

### **FIS Endnote 2**

#### **Agenda**

1. Welcome and introduction of members
2. Election of new Chairman
3. Discussion and approval of agenda
4. Review of the implementation of PICES VII decisions
  - 4.1 Review and adopt the WG 12 Final Report (98/S/1c)
  - 4.2 Relations with other organizations and program (98/S/2c)
  - 4.3 Publications of reports (98/S/3a)
  - 4.4 Travel support (98/S/6a)
  - 4.5 Co-sponsored meetings (98/S/7a)
5. FIS Strategic plan: accomplishments and changes
6. Scientific items of the interests
  - 6.1 Review the scientific activity in the CCCC Program and the international GLOBEC
  - 6.2 SCOR WG 105 activity (98/S/6a)

- 6.3 Relationship with regional fisheries organizations or commissions
  - a. UNDP-GEF North Pacific Transboundary Project
  - b. NPAFC-PICES Juvenile Salmon Workshop
- 6.4 Discussion on involvement in Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP)
- 6.5 PICES/GOOS relations: outcome

- 6.6 Possibility to establish new Working Group(s)
- 7. Proposals for the session topic for PICES IX
- 8. Discussion of Best Presentation Award from FIS
- 9. Discussion of any other arising issues
- 10. Draft of report and summary of FIS recommendations to Science Board

### **FIS Endnote 3**

#### **Interim Report of Working Group 12 on Crabs and Shrimps**

##### **Introduction**

Working Group 12 (WG 12) held its interim meeting in Qingdao, China, from August 10 to 14, 1999. The main purposes of the fourth and last meeting were to consider:

1. Crab and shrimp fisheries of China including aquaculture.
2. Spatial structuring of crab and shrimp populations.
3. Effectiveness of marine sanctuaries and restrictions of fishing activities on crabs and shrimps.
4. Conclusion of WG 12 activities and provision of final report to FIS.
5. Recommending how various topics concerning crabs and shrimps might best be integrated into PICES for longer-term consideration.

Attendance was 8 of 15 members in 1999 as also occurred in 1998. This was the first meeting at which all six member nations were represented (WG 12 Annex 1). A comprehensive review of Chinese fisheries within the PICES area was the major undertaking of the meeting.

Members re-iterated their belief that it is desirable for scientists from North Korea to attend future PICES meetings since we

were unable to receive information over the full range of some stocks.

##### **Review of Terms of Reference**

WG 12 considered terms of reference formally adopted by FIS in 1997 and again reviewed in 1998. It was agreed that they should be reconsidered depending upon the context in which crab and shrimp are to be included in future PICES deliberations. Accordingly, terms of reference were to:

1. Consider those crabs, shrimps and lobsters that are utilized in commercial, subsistence or recreational fisheries. This may include introduced species if they are directly important or impact human utilization of any other marine species.
2. Identify persons from each country that are performing scientific work on the distribution, recruitment, larval transport, migration, population dynamics, and influences of environmental conditions for crabs and shrimps.
3. Identify data that are available that would assist in the analyses of factors affecting abundance trends.



4. Review and exchange current knowledge and data concerning factors affecting abundance and survival of crabs, shrimps and spiny lobsters and identify key scientific questions regarding reasons for abundance fluctuations.

### Consideration of Chinese crab and shrimp fisheries

#### A. Overview

The PICES Region contains all of the Northeast Pacific (FAO Area 61), the U.S. portion of the East Central Pacific (Area 71) and most of the Northwest Pacific (Area 67). Looked at in this way and making appropriate deductions for fresh water species, landings by Taiwan or various territorial possessions, the PICES Region contains approximately 43% of world crab resources and 23% of world shrimp resources according to 1991 - 1995 United Nations (FAO) landing statistics. Of these amounts, Chinese production would be 40% of crabs and 80% of shrimps over the same period. Until our meeting, it was not possible to deduce the importance of many individual resources from UN/FAO statistics or the contribution of aquaculture as

opposed to harvest of wild stocks. Although the PICES Region encompasses most of Area 67, it was also unclear what portion of Chinese waters should be included in the PICES area and how much production was due to aquaculture rather than the harvest of wild stocks. Most of what follows concerning wild stocks is condensed from a report titled "Overview on the Crustaceans in the Chinese Waters of the PICES Region" by Zhi-Meng Zhaung and Jing-Yao Deng. Aquacultural information was provided by "History and Present Status of Shrimp Culture in China" by Sheng-Li Cai and Qing-Yin Wang. Both reports were delivered at the WG 12 meeting in Qingdao. Chinese waters of the PICES Region include the Bohai Sea, the Yellow Sea and the portion of the East China Sea north of 24°N (Taiwan Straits). These waters contain about 300 species of crabs and shrimps if one excludes anomurans (mostly hermit crabs, Paguridae) but includes stomatopods (particularly *Squilla*). Generally, the northern waters of China have fewer species of crabs and shrimps than southern waters. Since most Chinese shrimp fisheries exploit penaeid shrimps and most crab fisheries exploit Portunidae (the swimming or blue crabs) the following example suffices:

Table. *Average catch during the 1980s*

Area	Peneid Species	Portunid Species	Landings (t)
South China Sea	90	40	70,000
East China Sea	51	20	255,000
Yellow Sea	10	4	
Bohai Sea	3	2	98,300
Total commercial crabs and shrimps 30 species			465,800

While the decrease in taxa may reflect increasingly estuarine conditions as well as latitude, crab and shrimp species in Chinese PICES waters are largely derived from southern groups. These generally have shorter life spans than their northern

counterparts. For example, most commercial shrimps in Chinese waters reach commercial size in six months and complete their life cycle in about one year, while pandalid shrimps in Russian waters complete their life cycle in about five years.

Crabs and shrimps in the Bohai and Yellow Seas have been fully exploited while those in the East China Sea still show considerable potential for expansion.

#### B. Consideration of Chinese crab fisheries

The gazami or blue crab (*Portunus trituberculatus*) is the most important species in China as a whole, and as well as in the PICES Region. This species and several other portunid crabs are intensively aquacultured in some areas of China including parts of the East China Sea coast, and it is not possible to separate aquacultural production from wild harvests. There are intensive Chinese fisheries for wild stocks of gazami crab in the Yellow and Bohai seas, where crab culture is absent. Important fisheries for this species also occur in Japan and Korea, making this the world's largest single species crab fishery. This is the only crab of any importance in the Chinese portion of the PICES Region.

Gazami crabs are frequently harvested as by-catch in trawl fisheries in the East China and Yellow Seas. They are abundant in the Bohai Sea where they are harvested with drift nets (a type of gill net) and bottom trawls. The species inhabits shallow waters in the summer months and migrates to deeper water as the sea cools in autumn. Females and later males migrate to spawning grounds in summer, peak mating occurs in September and there may be two incubations per year. Larvae are planktonic and have 6 larval stages. Incubation and larval development occur over a period of 20-30 days. Gazami crabs may reach 650 g but most in commercial catches are from 140 to 340 g. Both males and females are harvested.

Catches have been stable or increasing overall but there is evidence of depletion in some areas. For example, the Wenzhou fishery landings were 6,800 t by 300 vessels

in 1978 but 10,400 t by 2000 vessels in 1982. The combined Bohai and Yellow Sea catch ranged from 15,800 to 65,900 t and averaged 29,588 t from 1987 to 1997. In contrast, the catch in all Chinese waters rose from 104,535 t in 1987 to peak at 292,000 t in 1994, but has averaged 237,841 in the past five years of record. Various management measures such as closed seasons and protected areas are being considered to protect spawning stocks and reduce the catch of immature crabs.

#### C. Consideration of Chinese shrimp fisheries

Three groups of shrimps are important wild stocks in the PICES area of Chinese waters. These are the mantis shrimps (*Oratosquilla oratoria*, one species only), the penaeid shrimps (15 species of which *Penaeus chinensis*, *Metapenaeus joyneri* and *Trachypenaeus curvirostris* dominate penaeid fisheries) and the akiame shrimp (*Acetes chinensis*). An additional six species of shrimps (four families) are considered major species but are either of small importance to fisheries or not distinguished in landings. Unlike crabs, shrimp are cultured in coastal areas within the PICES region. The major cultured species are all penaeids (*P. chinensis*, *P. monodon* and *P. japonicus* dominate). We were not able to report the species composition or production within the PICES Region at this time. For this reason and in the interests of brevity, consideration of shrimp aquaculture will be deferred to our final report.

Mantis shrimps are stomatopods of the family Squillidae and not closely related to the much more familiar decapod shrimps. We include them here as they are unique and interesting although of only small importance, except in the Bohai Sea. Mantis shrimps are widely distributed in Chinese waters and they are also found in Korea and Japan, where they were

historically more important than the present. Compared to decapod shrimps in the area, these are slow growing and reach 150 to 175 mm length at age 3. The maximum size is 210 mm (113 g) for females and 177 mm (68 g) for males. Mating occurs in September and October. They tend to live in burrows during the winter season (December to March) leading to low catch rates but catch rates are similar during other months. Larvae occur in plankton samples in May, and they persist in the plankton for 4-5 months. Larvae reach a maximum size of 26 mm as compared to 30 mm for the first benthic stages which are observed in November. In 1982 the spawning biomass was estimated at 2,500 t and overall abundance was estimated at about 5,000 t.

The blue shrimp (*T. curvirostris*) is extremely widely distributed from Africa to Australia as well as southern and eastern Asia, including China, Japan and Korea. Despite wide distribution, directed fishing occurs largely in China. In the PICES region, the blue shrimp is particularly abundant in the Bohai and Yellow Seas where the coastal waters of Shandong Province are major fishing grounds, as are certain Korean waters. It is also found in the East China Sea. Most fishing occurs during the reproductive and wintering seasons when dense schooling occurs. The habitat is generally 20 to 40 m in the Bohai and Yellow seas. Spawning begins in May and peaks in June and July. As is true of other penaeids, diet includes small molluscs and particularly planktonic polychaetes and crustaceans. Chinese landings from the Yellow and Bohai Seas have increased drastically over the past 20 years and averaged 48,018 t for 1988-1997, as compared to 15,557 t during the previous decade. While there is potential for further development in the South and East China Seas, the Yellow and Bohai Seas are considered over-exploited. Management measures being considered include catch quotas on the wintering population, time-

area closures to protect spawning concentrations and effort limitation for both motorized trawling and fixed nets.

Economically, the fleshy prawn (*P. chinensis*) has been the most important commercial species in the Yellow and Bohai Seas. It has also been extremely important in China as a whole where landings averaged 167,527 t from 1986 to 1995. In the Yellow and Bohai Seas, fleshy prawns have been exploited by Korea and Japan as well as China. Japan stopped fishing in 1987. Landings from 1986-1995 were 14.7% Korean, 5.1 % Japanese and 80.2% Chinese while averaging 7,916 t. Landings in China as a whole as well as the Bohai and China Seas have declined in recent years. There are two populations in the Yellow-Bohai Sea area. One is found in the western coastal waters of Korea while the other is found in both Korean and Chinese waters. Although the two populations have separate migratory patterns, there is some overlap in the Yellow Sea wintering grounds. Fleshy prawns tend to follow the 6°C isotherm as they begin their shoreward migration in March. The summer months are a feeding period that culminates with mating in October-November. There is a massive mortality of males immediately after spawning that leads to large changes in sex ratio. The species has been well studied because of its importance to both aquaculture and fishing. Fishing, growth and mortality rates are well described as are diseases. Cohort analysis, yield per recruit modeling and stock-recruitment relationships are being used in stock assessment. Because hatchery techniques are available, enhancement through the release of post-larval juveniles is being used to augment natural reproduction and has shown some promise. Currently, trawling is forbidden in the Bohai Sea and that fishery is conducted with fixed nets and drift nets. Trawling is the usual means of fishing elsewhere by all nations involved.

The shiba shrimp (*Metapenaeus joyneri*) is distributed in shallow (< 20 m) waters of the Bohai, Yellow and East China Seas. Landings are about 5,000 t annually, but statistics are very imprecise. Shiba shrimp tend to be localized in distribution with little migration. Chinese catches are dominated by shrimps of 100-110 mm length and weights of 11-13 g. Spawning occurs in March-May and again in September-December. Landing data for China were not presented, but FAO data show Korean landings varying from 2,086 to 7,852 t from 1986 to 1995.

The akiame paste shrimp (*Acetes chinensis*) is a sergestid shrimp found in China, Korea and Japan and is among the northernmost species of the genus. Landings constitute the largest single species shrimp fishery in the world according to 1995 FAO statistics (409,995 t). The vast majority of world landings are taken in China with Korea a distant second according to FAO statistics. In China, they are the most important catch of various fixed (bag-like) nets. Chinese landings averaged 223,934 t from 1976 to 1997 with an average of 197,108 t (90.0%) coming from the PICES Region. In the Bohai Sea the fishery has a 300 year history and currently accounts for about one third of all landings there. They are also extremely important in the East China Sea. Within the Chinese PICES Region, 45.6% of landings are from the Bohai-Yellow Sea area and 54.7% are from the East China Sea (1976-1997). These production figures are nearly astounding considering that the body lengths of mature paste shrimp are 17-32 mm for males and 18-43 mm for females (A 43 mm female is approximately 0.5 g). Spawning occurs twice per year and both brood stocks die after reproduction.

We thank our Chinese colleagues for introducing us to shrimp aquaculture and particularly for including a trip to their experimental station.

#### **Spatial structuring of crab and shrimp**

#### **populations**

This topic was considered briefly following our consideration of Chinese fisheries and aquaculture. Findings were similar to those concerning sampling structure during our 1998 meeting. The existence of discrete aggregations at fine spatial scales is well known for many crab and shrimp stocks. At large spatial scales, meta-population structure is often perpetuated by larval drift occasionally to the point that some geographical units may be non-functional from a reproductive standpoint. Further illustration of these problems is planned in the final report.

#### **Effectiveness of marine sanctuaries and restrictions of fishing activities on crabs and shrimps**

This topic was also briefly considered. There are apparently no marine sanctuaries that were established primarily to protect crabs and shrimps. Those that might be useful in this regard protect habitat for a multiplicity of purposes (e.g. no dredging, dumping, mineral exploration, removal of artifacts, etc) other than perpetuation fisheries. This does not preclude establishing sanctuaries for this purpose and we recognized that sanctuaries are being considered in management planning for a variety of fisheries. Most frequently, closures to fishing gear of certain types are used in the management of crabs and shrimps. These latter "sanctuaries" are best treated as individual cases in our final report.

#### **Other matters**

The Working Group noted with pleasure that the NAFO/PICES/ICES Symposium "Pandalid Shrimp Fisheries - Science and Management at the Millennium" was to take place in Dartmouth, Canada, September 8-10, with Jim Boutillier of WG 12 as the PICES Co-Convener. We were glad that

we could participate in its planning. As an afternote, the symposium was the first dealing with world-wide pandalid shrimps since the International Pandalid Shrimp Symposium held by University of Alaska Sea Grant in Kodiak, Alaska, in 1979. There were 96 participants including eight invited speakers to four sessions. Sessions included: 1) Environmental and Trophic Considerations) Stock Assessments, 3) Management, and, 4) Harvesting and Processing. There were 23 oral presentations and 15 posters. The symposium was attended by representatives of 15 nations.

WG 12 was also glad to note that the meeting of colleagues fostered by WG 12 has led to a cooperative Canadian-Korean project whereby Dr. Inja Yeon will be working in Nanaimo with Dr. Glen Jamieson and colleagues.

### **Conclusion of WG 12 activities and provision of final report**

This was the last meeting of WG 12 and no further financial resources will be necessary to complete our work. It was the unanimous intention of WG 12 to publish a document as a PICES Scientific Report, over the course of the next year. Drafting is in progress and most statistical series are contained in our meeting documents. This would provide the first synoptic look at shrimp and crab stocks in the North Pacific. It is our intent that this be a living document.

### **Long-term PICES consideration of crabs and shrimps**

Crab, shrimp and lobsters in the PICES Region simply encompass the

conventionally exploitable crustacean resources in the North Pacific. This is how we have treated them in a first-time look. We felt it would be a mistake to allow this sort of effort to be eroded by time. We hence recommend continuation of WG 12 efforts by a "Committee on Crustacean Fisheries" that would convene every third year to update statistical series and research summaries and perhaps consider one special topic. This would be similar to the way some working groups have proceeded in ICES (eg., majid crabs). In general, the WG did not see the need for a committee to meet annually as most research topics would readily fit into the current FIS topic sessions.

How crustacean issues might best be integrated into PICES for longer-term consideration is not clear. We were reminded of the tremendous diversity of crustaceans during our deliberations and particularly concerned that we were not dealing with the tremendous trophic importance of the groups that provide fisheries as well as those that do not. We stopped short of recommending a Working Group concerned with crustacean trophodynamics. We do however recommend that a group be identified to integrate crustaceans in a regional experiment involving the Bohai/Yellow Sea area. In this regard we also recognized the need to develop a statistical system based on area of capture rather than area of landings.

We continue to recommend that a "Working Group on Introduced Species" be established.

## **WG 12 Annex 1**

### **Participation List**

Canada

Jim Boutillier

Glen Jamieson

China

Shen-Li Cai  
Ji-Sheng Chen  
Jing-Yau Deng  
Ling Tong  
Zhi-Meng Zhuang

Japan

Hideo Sekiguchi

Korea

Sung-Yun Hong

Russia

Boris Ivanov  
Vitaly Rodin (Co-Chairman)

U.S.A.

Robert S. Otto (Co-Chairman)

# REPORT OF MARINE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY COMMITTEE

3

80

## **Opening (Agenda Item 1)**

The MEQ meeting was opened by the Chairman, Dr. Alexander V. Tkalin, on Wednesday, Oct. 13, and continued on Oct. 14. Members from all PICES member countries were present (*MEQ Endnote 1*). The proposed agenda for the meeting (*MEQ Endnote 2*) was adopted.

## **Working Group 8 report and assessment of the MEQ Practical Workshop (Agenda Items 2 and 3)**

The report of WG 8 on Practical Assessment Methodology was presented by Dr. John E. Stein (*MEQ Endnote 3*). The MEQ Practical Workshop (organized by Drs. Stein and Colin D. Levings and Ms. Carla Stehr) was held in Vancouver, Canada at the West Vancouver Laboratory of Canada's Department of Fisheries and Oceans, and hosted by Dr. Levings. Over 20 participants from all PICES countries participated in sampling and analyzing of benthos, fish and inter-tidal biota. Some preliminary results of chemical and biological analyses had been compiled, and the organisers are confident that the Workshop had yielded valuable scientific data and had provided a unique opportunity for PICES scientists to collaborate and to establish good working relationships. Dr. Richard F. Addison summarized the Workshop activities at the Opening Session of the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting in Vladivostok, and Ms. Stehr provided a more detailed description, illustrated with slides, to the Committee. Some recent data describing metal concentrations in various samples collected at the Workshop was distributed to the Working Group members by Dr. Tkalin.

It was recommended that Working Group 8 continue for one more year to oversee the assembly and publication of data from the Workshop, and that the final data set be published as a PICES Scientific Report in a format that could be cited in primary publications resulting from the Workshop. These recommendations were endorsed by the MEQ Committee. The MEQ Committee also recommended that a session be convened at the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate to present and discuss the Workshop results.

## **Relations with other inter-governmental bodies and programs (Agenda Items 4-6)**

Dr. Tkalin reported that PICES was asked to co-operate with the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Program (AMAP), of which Russia, Canada and the U.S.A. are already members. The Committee agreed to this proposal.

Dr. Tkalin also described the relationship between PICES and GOOS (Global Ocean Observing System). GOOS currently has several components: Living Marine Resources (LMR), Health of the Oceans (HOTO) and Coastal GOOS, all of which will eventually be merged. Within the North East Asian Region of GOOS (NEAR-GOOS), China, Japan, Korea and Russia have already agreed to exchange data on physical oceanographic variables. These data will be held in national data bases and also at the Japan Oceanographic Data Centre (JODC) and at the Regional Real Time Data Base (RRTDB) operated by the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA). PICES' involvement with GOOS will be coordinated through the MONITOR Task Team and there will be no direct involvement of MEQ at the moment, though this may come later.

Dr. Addison tabled correspondence with Dr. Matthiessen (Chairman, ICES Working Group on Biological Effects of Contaminants: WGBEC) concerning future joint activities with the MEQ Committee. ICES - WGBEC will propose a meeting to be held in Seattle in spring, 2001, to discuss the application of artificial intelligence to the manipulation of large data sets, probably jointly with SETAC. The MEQ Committee recognised that this was a highly specialised topic which may not appeal to many members, but agreed to support the proposal, provided that an additional day could be added to address more general aspects of PICES-ICES cooperation in the area of MEQ scientific interests.

Drs. Tkalin and Addison re-introduced the topic of PICES' interactions with GIWA (Global International Water Assessment). GIWA had made some preliminary proposals to PICES (see the PICES 1997 Annual) but had not followed these up. However, in a recent GIWA brochure, PICES was identified as a partner with GIWA. Dr. Addison undertook to work with the PICES Secretariat to clarify future connection between PICES and GIWA.

#### **Establishment of a new Working Group (Agenda Items 7 and 10)**

Dr. Tatiana Orlova introduced this topic, which followed the successful joint MEQ-BIO Topic Session on Coastal Eutrophication, Phytoplankton Dynamics, and Harmful Algal Blooms. It was recognized that there was a need for a Working Group on harmful algal bloom and that its terms of reference would reflect concerns of the MEQ, BIO and POC committees. For administrative reasons MEQ considered that it would be simpler for the Working Group to report to only one committee (MEQ) but its terms of reference would recognize the need to links concerns of the other committees. Draft terms of

reference were provided by an *ad hoc* group. Membership of the Working Group on Ecology of Harmful Algal Blooms in the North Pacific was discussed briefly prior to their presentation to Science Board. MEQ also recommended to Science Board that travel funds be allocated to allow one of the two Co-Chairmen of the proposed Working Group to travel to meet the other Co-Chairman for one inter-sessional meeting. (See MEQ recommendations to SB.)

#### **The MEQ Strategic Plan (Agenda Item 8)**

Dr. Tkalin presented a draft MEQ Strategic Plan. The document was approved by the MEQ Committee (*MEQ Endnote 4*).

#### **Review of Scientific Sessions at PICES VIII (Agenda Items 9 and 12)**

The Committee reviewed the scientific sessions at PICES VIII. A joint MEQ/BIO Topic Session on Coastal Eutrophication, Phytoplankton Dynamics, and Harmful Algal Blooms (with thirteen invited or contributed papers) was extremely successful and well attended. The MEQ Topic Session on Ecological Impacts of Oil Spills and Exploration (with twelve invited or contributed papers) was also very successful and attracted overflow attendance. A further eleven papers were presented at the MEQ Paper Session. Finally, the Committee noted with pleasure the high standard of presentations at all sessions, including that for contributed papers. This made the choice of the Best Presentation Award at the meeting extremely difficult, but after prolonged discussion, and two rounds of voting, the Committee nominated Dr. Tatiana Orlova (Russia) for the award for her paper entitled "Harmful algal blooms on the Pacific coast of Russia".

#### **Future scientific sessions (Agenda Item 11)**



The MEQ Committee discussed activities for the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate. In addition to the session on the MEQ Practical Workshop, to be co-convened by Ms. Carla M. Stehr (U.S.A.) and Dr. Toshihiro Horiguchi (Japan), agreed to sponsor a Topic Session on Science and Technology for Environmentally Sustainable Mariculture in Coastal Areas. Proposed Co-Convenors are Drs. John E. Stein (U.S.A.) and Colin D. Levings (Canada).

#### **Other business (Agenda Items 13 and 14)**

The Committee discussed various business items referred by the Science Board Chairman, Ms. Patricia Livingston. The Committee agreed to nominate Dr. Stein as its representative on the Steering Committee for the PICES 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Symposium.

The Committee also agreed with the suggestion that the Science Board Symposium should be moved to an earlier "slot" during the Annual Meeting, and with the proposal to encourage further inter-committee sponsored sessions.

The suggestion to eliminate the Paper Sessions excited strong disagreement:

members felt that the opportunity to offer papers outside the themes identified by the committees was an important factor in attracting younger scientists, and new ideas, to PICES. The Committee recognized the value of enhancing the status of the poster sessions, and agreed with the mechanisms proposed to achieve this. However, it felt that poster presentations were still viewed in some areas as being less significant than oral presentations, and until this way of thinking changed, the Committee agreed unanimously that it was desirable to retain the Paper Sessions in addition to enhancing the poster sessions.

The proposed amendments to the PICES Handbook were discussed: the Committee was reluctant to remove the guarantee that each Committee could invite one speaker (at PICES' expense) to the Annual Meeting, and it proposed an alternative wording which would provide the flexibility for a committee to invite more than one speaker if that were justified.

The MEQ meeting was formally closed with an expression of thanks to Dr. Tkalin for convening a very successful scientific session.

#### **MEQ Endnote 1**

#### **Participation List**

##### Canada

Richard F. Addison (Rapporteur)  
Steve C. Samis  
F.J.R. (Max) Taylor

##### China

Ming-Jiang Zhou (Co-Chairman, WG 8)

##### Japan

Makoto Shimizu  
Yoichiro Ishibashi  
Yasuwo Fukuyo

##### Korea

K.H. Kim

##### Russia

Alexander V. Tkalin (Chairman, MEQ)  
Irina G. Agafonova  
Dmitry L. Aminin  
Tatiana Orlova  
Lev M. Gramm-Osipov

##### U.S.A.

John E. Stein (Co-Chairman, WG 8)  
Carla M. Stehr

## Observer

Evgeny Shumilin (Mexico)

### **MEQ Endnote 2**

#### **Agenda**

1. Opening and introduction of members, adoption of the agenda (All).
2. Review of WG 8 report (J. Stein, M.J. Zhou).
3. Report on the MEQ Practical Workshop (C. Stehr).
4. Discussion of possible involvement in Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Program, AMAP (A. Tkalin).
5. PICES-GOOS relationships: outcome from discussion at MONITOR WS (A. Tkalin, B. Taft).
6. PICES-ICES relationships: plans for the PICES MEQ – ICES WGBEC WS (R. Addison).
7. Discussion on establishing new Working Group (All).
8. Review and adoption of MEQ Strategic Plan (R. Addison, A. Tkalin).
9. Report on MEQ Scientific Sessions (K.W. Lee, A. Tkalin, T. Orlova).
10. Proposals on publications/travel support (A. Tkalin).
11. Proposals for future MEQ Scientific Sessions (All).
12. Best Presentation Award.
13. Draft report to Science Board.
14. Other matters.

### **MEQ Endnote 3**

#### **Report of Working Group 8 on Practical Assessment Methodology**

The meeting of WG 8 was convened at 0900 on October 10, 1999 (see Annex 1 for list of participants. Ms. Carla M. Stehr agreed to serve as rapporteur.

The meeting agenda (Annex 2) was reviewed and approved. The objective of the meeting was to review status of sample analyses collected during the MEQ Practical Workshop, which was conducted in May and June of this year in Vancouver Harbour, Canada, and to begin planning for the publication and presentation of the Workshop results.

Dr. Alexander V. Tkalin presented preliminary results from analyses of sediment, mussels and fish muscle tissue for trace metals. Prof. Makoto Shimizu presented preliminary results for imposex studies of snails and analysis of mussel tissue for tributyl tin, and Ms. Carla Stehr

presented results of analysis of fish bile for exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and histopathological analyses of fish liver. The draft activity report was discussed, reviewed and accepted with minor revisions (Annex 4).

The other major topics were: a) presentation of the results from the MEQ Practical Workshop at the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate, Japan; and b) publication of data and findings.

a. Presentations: Dr. John E. Stein suggested that individual researchers or each team prepare a poster on their findings. During the proposed session there would be a short presentation (5 minutes) on each poster to brief the objective of the work, the analytical approach, and the major findings. The posters could then be viewed during the

break. The session would also include presentations of particularly interesting findings (abstracts submitted to PICES for oral presentation). To open the session, an overview presentation on the Workshop would be given.

- b. Publications: The Working Group members discussed compiling the data into a series of files, with a preface of 3-5 pages of text consisting of an introduction, materials and methods, and a brief synopsis of the results. This could be published as a PICES Science Report. Possible title for the report is "Environmental Assessment of Vancouver Harbour: Data Report for the PICES MEQ Practical Workshop". The Working Group should approach a journal editor about publishing a special issue on the Practical Workshop. Journals such as Marine Environmental Research, or Marine Pollution Bulletin were suggested as possibilities.

Potential title for a special issue is "Environmental Assessment of Vancouver Harbour: Proceedings of an International Workshop".

- c. The data report would build on the format of the database developed for English sole sampled during the workshop. Additional databases would be prepared for the following samples: 1) benthos, 2) clams/mussels, 3) fish community, 4) harmful algae, 5) imposex in *Nucella spp.*, and 6) sediment chemistry. It will be important to cross reference files such as sediment chemistry with fish data.

The Working Group members approved the draft meeting report and the recommendations to the MEQ Committee (Annex 3).

The meeting was adjourned at 1200 h on October 10, 1999.

## WG 8 Annex 1

### Participation List

#### Canada

Richard F. Addison (WG 8, MEQ)\*  
Steve C. Samis (MEQ)

#### China

Ming-Jiang Zhou, (WG 8 Co-Chairman)\*

#### Japan

Makoto Shimizu (MEQ)  
Yoichiro Ishibashi (observer)

#### Russia

Lev M. Gramm-Osipov (WG 8, MEQ)  
Alexander Tkalin (WG 8, MEQ Chairman)\*

#### U.S.A.

John E. Stein (WG 8 Co-Chairman, MEQ)\*  
Carla Stehr (U.S.A., observer, participant in Practical Workshop)

\*Member of WG 8 Implementation Group for Practical Workshop

## WG 8 Annex 2

### WG 8 Meeting Agenda

Goal of meeting: Review progress in analyses of samples collected during Practical Workshop and determine next

steps in presenting findings to PICES and for publishing data and findings.

Start date/time: 09:00, October 10, 1999

1. Welcome and logistics
2. Introductions of members and observers
3. Discuss and adopt agenda
4. Appoint Rapporteur
5. Review progress of analysis of samples from Practical Workshop
6. Review and revise draft report on activities during Practical Workshop
7. Discuss PICES IX Session for presenting results of Practical Workshop
8. Discuss Workshop Technical Report
9. Other business
10. Consideration, review and approval of recommendations to MEQ
11. Closure of the meeting

## WG 8 Annex 3

### Recommendations to MEQ Committee

1. It was the conclusion of WG 8 that the first objective WG 8, to plan and hold the MEQ Practical Workshop, was achieved, and that the Workshop was a success. The publication of the data and findings from the Workshop is warranted. We therefore recommend that WG 8 continue for one more year to oversee the publication of the results.
2. WG 8 also recommends that the full data set from the MEQ Practical Workshop be published as a PICES Scientific Report, and that PICES consider archiving the data from the Workshop in electronic form as permanent record of PICES activities. It is anticipated that the Scientific Report could be more than 100 pages.
3. All analyses of samples collected during the MEQ Practical Workshop will be completed by June 2000. The WG 8 recommends a one half-day or a whole day special session at the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting on findings from the Workshop. The recommended session title is: Environmental Assessment of Vancouver Harbour Canada: Results from the PICES Practical Workshop.

## WG 8 Annex 4

### PICES Practical Workshop Interim Report

#### Part A – Minutes of meetings and summary of field activities during the MEQ Practical Workshop

*Day 1, May 24, 1999, 1300 h*

#### Agenda

1. Introductions of workshop participants;
2. Safety video (Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System video);
3. Laboratory tour;
4. Description of geographic and oceanographic features of Burrard Inlet.

#### Minutes

1. Colin Levings and John Stein thanked Carla Stehr, Christine Elliott, and Beth Piercey for their efforts to date on logistics, daily work planning, and acquisition of workshop supplies. Their efforts have been key to implementing the MEQ Practical Workshop. Each participant then gave a brief introduction of his or her professional associations,

scientific expertise, and objectives for the workshop. Those in attendance were: Colin Levings, Canada;

Christine Elliott, Canada; Beth Piercey, Canada; John Stein, US; Carla Stehr, US; Alexander Tkalin, Russia; Makoto Shimizu, Japan; Munetaka Shimizu, Japan; Tatyana Belan, Russia; Tatyana Lishavskaya, Russia; Michael Watson, U.S.A.; Toshihiro Horiguchi, Japan; Seiichi Uno, Japan; Tian Yan, China; Zhengyan Li, China; and Jihyum Yun, Korea.

2. Ms. Christine Elliott discussed laboratory safety and showed the WHMIS video, demonstrating the safe use of chemicals. She emphasized that there is no eating, drinking or smoking in any of the laboratories. It was also noted that Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) are available for all chemicals

used in the laboratories or on the R/V *Harold W. Streeter*.

3. Ms. Elliott and Piercey conducted a tour of the laboratories available to the participants for the duration of the workshop.
4. Colin Levings gave a short presentation on the general geography and oceanography of the Burrard Inlet to acquaint the participants with features of the sampling sites. He explained that intertidal collections would be done from an 8m outboard-powered launch (boat operator provided by DFO Habitat and Enhancement Branch) that could put scientists on the beach for collecting.

**Day 2, May 25, 1999, 0830 h**

#### Agenda

1. Introductions of participants;
2. National Presentations (1 hour each):  
Canada - Richard Addison, Janice Boyd, Darcy Goyette, Environment Canada.  
China - Tian Yan, Zheng-Yan Li  
Japan - Makoto Shimizu  
Russia - Alexander Tkalin  
United States - John Stein

Dr. Jong Geel Je will give the formal presentation by Korea after he arrives on May 28, 1999. Dr. Sung-Bum Hur of Korea (in the group as an observer) kindly agreed to give a short presentation on current issues associated with aquaculture in Korea. Dr. Hur is a visiting scientist at IOS in Sidney, B.C., Canada.

3. Overview of Puget Sound Protocols: sediment and fish collection - Carla Stehr, U.S.A.;
4. Discussion of previous contaminant monitoring studies in Burrard Inlet. Darcy Goyette, Environment Canada;

5. Specific discussion of workshop sampling plan and greater detail on sampling site characteristics;
6. *R/V Harold W. Streeter* arrives from the U.S.A.

#### Minutes

1. For the benefit of participants arriving today there were brief introductions. Additional scientists joining the Workshop for the day were Sung-Bum Hur (Korea), Tracy Collier (U.S.A.), Janice Boyd (Canada) and Darcy Goyette (Canada). Additional scientists present today for the rest of the Workshop were Richard Addison (Canada) and Stelvio Bandiera (Canada).
2. Summary of presentations

#### *Canada:*

Richard Addison presented an overview of marine environmental monitoring by federal and provincial agencies, and presented representative results from research projects that were pertinent to subjects of interest at the workshop, e.g., TBT and dioxin and furans. There was also a presentation on the BC Environmental Effects Monitoring (EEM) Program. This program is addressing minimizing effects of pulp mill discharges on biota in receiving waters.

#### *China:*

Tian Yan described Chinese programs on marine environmental monitoring of pollution and seafood safety (biotoxins) and briefly discussed a marine reserves program. She followed with highlights of research on effects of TBT on marine species and of studies to measure effects on marine species from marine phycotoxins.

Zheng-Yan Li presented a chronology of the development of marine pollution regulations and programs in Hong Kong. Monitoring efforts are directed towards assessing water quality, the impacts of dredging, and disposal of dredged material. Li also described briefly some of the techniques being used to assess sediment quality and recent results of water quality compliance monitoring.

*Japan:*

Makoto Shimizu gave a complete overview of marine environmental monitoring in Japan. He presented information on individual projects, the responsible agency, project objective, survey areas, frequency of sampling, and measurements made in water, sediment and biota. Janice Boyd (Canada) initiated discussion on the differences in what is classified as ocean disposal in the different PICES countries. For example, disposal in Japan is predominantly in deeper water, while in North America, disposal has occurred in more shallow water.

*Korea:*

Workshop participants appreciated the willingness of Dr. Hur to give, on short notice, brief comments on Korean aquaculture programs and his related research. Currently there are concerns about the degradation of coastal environmental quality and its effect on aquaculture productivity. Specifically, an important cultured molluscan shellfish species is declining and the cause is currently unknown. Research is underway to identify the cause.

*Russia:*

Alexander Tkalin presented a description of the organizational structure of the Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological Research Institute in Vladivostok, Russia and the relationships between monitoring and research activities. He also introduced

results of selected research projects that highlighted marine environmental quality.

*United States:*

John Stein started with a brief overview of the federal, state and local (county) agencies with regulatory and monitoring responsibility along the West Coast of the United States. He presented highlights of findings from coastwide and more local (e.g., Puget Sound) monitoring and research studies assessing linkages between marine contamination and effects on marine and anadromous fish species. He emphasized how monitoring results are being used in support of environmental management actions.

3. Carla Stehr presented an overview of the relevant fish and sediment sampling protocols from the "Puget Sound Protocols" and background information on choosing fish species as indicators. She also described, with appropriate photos, how sediment and fish tissues are collected using the R/V *Harold W. Streeter*. The Puget Sound Protocols were developed from inter-agency efforts in the United States to standardize sampling and analysis methodology to improve Puget Sound environmental monitoring data quality.
4. Darcy Goyette, Environment Canada, discussed post-monitoring activities in Burrard Inlet, including information on physical and biological characteristics that proved valuable in fine-tuning the sampling protocol for the workshop.
5. Colin Levings led a discussion of the biota and sediment sampling issues, and answered questions on the sampling sites. The discussion was initiated by a presentation of a table showing the types and numbers of sediment and fish tissue samples to be

taken (necropsy procedure). The table was generated from email exchanges on samples of interest for each investigator.

It was agreed to focus chemistry analyses on fish tissues, rather than a surrogate (e.g. crab hepatopancreas) even though crabs may also be available. Fish age will be determined at the Pacific Biological Station, Nanaimo.

For sediment, it was agreed to follow Puget Sound protocols for chemical analyses. A sediment sample for chemistry will be taken from each of 3 Van Veen grabs to be collected at each site. These grabs will be taken in the same location and at the same time as the benthos sediment grabs. Five grabs per site will be taken for benthos. Five samples for meiofauna will be taken from one grab at each site. Three samples for toxic algae will also be collected.

6. R/V *Harold W. Streeter* arrived from the United States at approximately 1430. Scientists who accompanied the *Streeter* and participated in the first few days of the workshop included Paul Plesha, Dan Lomax, Bernadita Anulacion, and Sean Sol; all research scientists/field biologists from the National Marine Fisheries Service (NOAA) in Seattle.

***Day 3, May 26, 1999, Intersessional MEQ Meeting***

The opportunity was taken to hold an intersessional meeting of the MEQ Committee, because several committee members were present for the Workshop.

Attendees - Committee members: R. Addison, M. Shimizu, J. Stein, A. Tkalin, and M. Watson; observers: C. Levings.

Agenda

1. MEQ Strategic Plan;
2. Publication of MEQ Practical Workshop results;
3. MEQ Sessions;
4. New MEQ Working Group;
5. MEQ interactions with GIWA, ICES, GOOS.

Minutes

1. The draft MEQ Strategic Plan prepared by Drs. Addison and Tkalin was reviewed. The major components of the plan were briefly discussed. There was agreement on the content. Dr. Stein offered a set of potential broad topic areas for consideration for inclusion in the plan. Suggested topics included: long range transport of contaminants, contaminate effects in highly migratory species, trophic transfer of contaminants, and impact of natural toxins on marine species.
2. Each country agreed to draft a short report for the 1999 Annual Report describing their participation in the workshop and schedule for analyses. Drs. Levings and Stein agreed to draft a short article for PICES Press on the Workshop; the article will include, perhaps, one group photo of the participants and one photo of sampling.
3. Dr. Tkalin reported that satisfactory progress in identifying keynote speakers for the MEQ Topic Sessions at PICES VIII. Dr. Bruce Wright of U.S.A. and Prof. Kazuichi Hayakawa of Japan have agreed to give talks at the session on Ecological Impacts of Oil Spills and Exploration. Dr. David Garrison of U.S.A. has agreed to co-convene the joint MEQ/BIO session on Coastal Eutrophication, Phytoplankton Dynamics, and Harmful Algal Blooms.



4. There was discussion of potential new topics for a new Working Group to be sponsored by the MEQ Committee. Two possible topics for consideration at PICES VIII are harmful algal blooms, and long-range transport of contaminants. It was agreed that topic(s) for new working group(s) will be an agenda item for the MEQ Committee at PICES VIII.

5. There was considerable discussion of potential interactions between the MEQ Committee and other Organizations and Programs. A few possibilities currently before the Committee are: 1) continuing to explore interactions with GIWA, 2) more formal coordination with GOOS, and 3) a joint meeting with the ICES Working Group on Biological Effects of Contaminants.

Dr. Addison will continue communication on potential interactions with GIWA. Presently GIWA has an Executive Secretary and is apparently hiring secretarial staff.

Several members of the MEQ Committee have attended variously GOOS meetings or workshops, and there was agreement that there is a potential for collaboration between the MEQ Committee and the coastal oriented GOOS projects [e.g., North East Asia Regional – GOOS, (NEAR-GOOS)]. Further discussions will be needed at the PICES VIII meeting on interactions with GOOS.

***Days 3 – 14, May 26 - June 6, 1999, MEQ Practical Workshop field activities***

May 26 - Prepared supplies and equipment for sample collection. Received safety training concerning the research vessel.

May 27 - Sample collection at trawl and sediment site 49, and intertidal site I-1 (West Vancouver Lab).

May 28 - Sample collection at trawl and sediment site 11B and intertidal site I-3 (Longsdale Quay). DFO launch operator Bruce Clark and Beth Piercey briefed intertidal collectors on small boat safety and issued PFDs (lifejackets).

May 29 - Sample collection at trawl and sediment site 38, intertidal site I-5 (Port Moody), sediment site 41B (Ioco) and intertidal site I-6.

May 30 - Sample collection at trawl and sediment site 48 and intertidal site I-4 (Indian Arm).

May 31 - free day except for scientists Horiguchi and Li, who traveled to Victoria to look for snails for imposex research since none have been observed at any of the planned sites. Snails were successfully located at four sites in Victoria.

June 1 - Sample collection at sediment sites 3A (Sulfur Dock), intertidal site I-2, and return to site 11B for additional trawls for length/weight flatfish community data. Dr. Jong Jeel Je arrived from Korea.

June 2 - Sample collection at trawl and sediment site 50 (Gibsons) and intertidal site I-7, and snail collection at Mission Point, near Sechelt. Part of the group traveled by ferry/van, others via the R/V *Streeter*.

June 3 - Return to site T-49 to get additional length/weight data for fish community research. Additional sediment grabs and fishing operations were conducted for interested scientists. Research vessel departed for return transit to Seattle.

June 4-6 - Sample processing in the laboratory, and preparation of samples for shipping to the participants laboratories.

June 7 - Final meeting and barbecue at Co-Chairman Colin Levings' house.

Sample collection Synopsis:

- Seven sites were sampled for sediment and benthic invertebrates;
- Five sites were sampled for fish;
- Seven sites were sampled for intertidal invertebrates and algae;
- Four sites on Vancouver Island and one near Sechart (north of Howe Sound) were added for snail imposex determinations;
- The total number of samples collected are summarized in the minutes of June 7, 1999.

**Day 15, June 7, 1999, Review of field activities and plans for sample analysis and overview of Practical Workshop activities**

Agenda for morning session: Review of field activities and plans for sample analysis;

1. View photos/slides of Practical Workshop activities;
2. Data review: - list samples taken
3. Preliminary discussion of next steps
  - Analyses - deadlines
  - Where do we go from here?
  - MEQ Strategic Plan

### Minutes

1. Ms. Stehr, who had been photographing the workshop activities, showed 35 mm slides from the previous 2 weeks. These slides provided documentation of workshop activities.
2. A list of samples collected during the Practical Workshop:

### Fish

- 162 Otoliths (Canada)
- 152 Histology (liver, kidney, gonads) (US)
- 35 Plasma for vitellogenin (US & Japan)

- 143 Bile for fluorescent aromatic compound (FACs) analyses (some fish did not have bile) (US)
- 150 Liver for organic analyses (US)
- 93 Liver organic analyses (Japan)
- 25 Muscle for trace metals analyses (Russia)
- 49 Muscle for organic analyses (Japan)
- 150 Gonads for organic analyses (Japan)
- 60 Liver for Cytochrome P450 1-A (CYP1A) (Canada)
- 60 Liver for DNA adducts (US)
- 95 Stomachs for taxonomy of contents (Canada)
- 500 Length/weight of English sole (Canada)
- 25 (trawls) species composition, biomass data collected (Canada)

### Sediment

#### *Benthos*

- 35 grabs (0.1 m<sup>2</sup>), Benthic community (Russia & Korea)

#### *Sediment Chemistry*

- 21 sediments for trace metals (Russia)
- 21 sediments for TOC, TN (US & Canada) (C,H,N - need to discuss who will do total nitrogen)
- 21 sediments for organic (US)

#### *Meiofauna & grain size*

- 245 sediment samples (one grab at each site, 5 samples/grab, 7 slices from each sample with 4 for meiofauna, 3 for grain size) (Canada and Korea).

#### *Microalgae*

- 9 sediment samples (3 sites, 3 reps/site) to culture microalgae from surficial sediments (China and Canada).

### Intertidal

#### *Mussels - 7 sites*

- 30/site trace metal (Russia)
- 500 g/site whole mussel for algal toxin. (China)
- 50 animals/site (9 sites) for organotin\* (Japan) (2 extra sites: Clover Point, Victoria, and Sechart)

- 50 animals/site for OCs and PAHs and lipids (8 sites) (Japan)
- 4 sites sampled for mussel community using quadrates (Korea)
- 100 random mussels from 7 sites for condition factor, lipid (Korea)

\* composites will be analyzed

*Molluscs for organotin analyses (Japan)*

site	Bivalve collected
I-1	mussel, oysters
I-2	mussel, littleneck, butter, pointed macoma
I-3a	mussel
I-3b	mussel
I-3c	mussel
I-4a	mussel, littleneck, butter, pointed macoma, cockle
I-4b	littleneck, butter, pointed macoma, cockle, horse clam
I-5	mussel
I-6	mussel, mya, littleneck, butter clam, oyster
I-7	mussel, softshell, dark mahogany clam, oyster

- Oyden Pt. - Nucella spp.
- Clover Pt. - Nucella spp., mussel
- 10 Mile Pt - Nucella spp.
- Missions Pt. - Nucella spp

- (mussel = Mytilus trossulus)
- (oysters = Crassostrea gigas)
- (native littleneck clam = Protothaca staminea)
- (butter clam = Saxidomus giganteus)
- (cockle = Clinocardium nuttali)
- (horse clam = Tresus capax)
- (softshell clam = Mya arenaria)

*Snails for imposex analyses (Japan and China)*

300-400 snails were collected at 3 sites in Victoria including: Ogden Pt., Clover Pt., and Ten-mile Pt., and one site at Mission Pt. Sechelt. Of those collected, approximately 80 were Nucella e., 80 were Nucella l.,

and 100 were Nucella c. The Nucella c. could also be Nucella lima; Jong will do chromosome test for species ID.

3. The following tentative analysis deadlines were established:

- Otoliths, length frequency, fish community analyses – September 1999 (Canada)
- Vitellogenin - September 1999 (Japan), June 2000 (US)
- Bile - September 1999 (US)
- Liver organics - June 2000 (US, Japan)
- Fish muscle trace metals - December 1999 (Russia)
- Fish muscle organics - June 2000 (Japan)
- Fish gonad organics - June 2000 (Japan)
- Fish liver CYP1A - September 1999 (Canada)
- Fish liver DNA adducts - December 1999 (US)
- Benthos species list - September 1999 (Korea and Russia)
- Benthos statistics - December 1999 (Korea and Russia)
- Sediment metals - September 1999 (Russia)
- Sediment organics - June 2000 (US)
- Sediment Total Organic Carbon - June 2000 (US)
- Meiofauna and sediment grain size - June 2000 (Canada)
- Grow out cysts from sediment - December 1999 (Canada)
- Biotoxin analyses of molluscs - June 2000 (China)
- ARTOX analyses of microalgae - September 2000 (China)
- Mussel trace metals - December 1999 (Russia)
- Mollusks - TBT June 2000 (Japan)
- Snails, imposex - June 2000 (Japan, China)
- Bivalve organics - June 2000 (Japan)

Mussel community data, condition factor  
- June 2000 (Korea)

Agenda for afternoon session: Overview of  
Practical Workshop activities

1. Report on Workshop activities
2. Sampling overview
3. Publications
4. Future – Strategic Plan discussion

Minutes

1. Carla Stehr presented the workshop slide show again as a visual aid to describe workshop activities for Dr. Alexander Bychkov, PICES Executive Secretary.
2. Richard Addison provided a summary of sampling sites, samples taken, and anticipated completion dates for analyses.
3. Discussion on publications from the MEQ Practical Workshop resulted as follows:

John Stein and Colin Levings will draft an article for PICES Press. The article is due to the Secretariat in late September 1999. A lead scientist was delegated to develop a 1-2 page summary of samples and analyses to date. The summary is due to Levings and Stein on September 20, 1999. The teams and team leads are:

<u>Team</u>	<u>Lead Scientists</u>
Fish	Stehr
Benthos	Je
Imposex	Horiguchi
Algal Toxins	Yan
Mussels	Tkalin
Clam	Uno

Participants also consider developing a PICES Scientific Report. A final decision will be made at PICES VIII in

Vladivostok, in October 1999. This would be a possible venue for presenting the full data sets from the Practical Workshop. Data sets are to be completed by June 2000.

A “primary” publication in a special issue of a scientific journal was discussed. Whether a special issue will be possible will depend on the findings from the workshop. Potential journals to consider: Marine Environmental Research, Marine Pollution Bulletin, Environmental Pollution.

4. Future MEQ activities were also a topic of discussion. Alexander Tkalin discussed in general terms the potential interactions with other scientific organizations. Alexander Bychkov presented current status of interactions between ICES and GOOS and possible discussions between PICES and GOOS to consider a more specific relationship. The discussions may be initiated in the MONITOR Task Team Workshop at PICES VIII.

The former MEQ chairman, Richard Addison, has also been contacted by ICES members to explore possible interactions with MEQ on a novel approach to evaluate environmental monitoring data. The possibility of holding a joint PICES, ICES and SETAC workshop will be discussed in the near future. The workshop could be held in the Pacific Northwest.

Colin Levings proposed consideration of pursuing contacts with LOICZ, because of the similarities with the goals of MEQ. No conclusion was reached on the next step for external relations. Alexander Bychkov will keep us informed of discussions taking place at the level of the Secretariat.

There are other possibilities for interactions of MEQ with a range of organizations. This will be a major topic for the MEQ Committee to work on at PICES VIII.

Alexander Tkalin then gave an overview of discussions within MEQ on topics to be considered for new Working Groups to be sponsored by MEQ. The possible Working Groups are:

- HABs: atlas and training workshop organization;
- Long range transport of contaminants and nutrients.

The status of WG 8 was discussed. The question is whether to continue WG 8 until the results are published. It was agreed that this item will be formally

#### **Part B - Status reports on sample analyses**

##### 1. Summary of status of analyses of samples

###### Benthos Team

Korea and Russia - Benthic community data: species list and statistics expected December 99.

Canada – Meiofauna: data expected June 2000

###### Sediment Chemistry

Russia - Metals analyses of sediment complete.

US - Aromatic and chlorinated hydrocarbon analyses of sediment are in process: data expected Jan. 15, 2000.

US - Total organic carbon analyses of sediment complete.

###### Mussel Team

Russia - Metals analysis on mussels have been completed. One site had particularly high concentrations of Al, Cu, Pb and Fe compared to the reference sites.

discussed at PICES VIII, in regard to overseeing the publication of the Practical Workshop findings.

Alexander Bychkov provided his view on the success of the Practical Workshop and expressed thanks for the efforts of Colin Levings in organizing the Workshop and being a very good host. Bychkov said, clearly, the Workshop was a great success. Alexander Tkalin also thanked Carla Stehr and John Stein and the other US participants for providing the R/V *Streeter* and their expertise in sampling. Colin Levings expressed his thanks to all participants for attending the workshop and making it a great success. The Practical Workshop was formally adjourned at 1500.

Japan - Organic chemical analyses and lipid content in mussels expected to be complete in June 2000.

Japan - Organotin compounds in *Mytilus trossulus* has been completed, with the highest levels evident at all of the non-reference sites in Vancouver Harbor.

Korea - Condition factor and community analyses expected June 2000.

###### Clam Team

Japan - Analyses for organotin compounds clams expected to be completed in the next few months.

Japan - Organic chemical analyses expected June 2000.

###### Harmful Algal Team

China - Harmful Algae. Standard Artemia Toxicity Test (ARTOX) was performed during the workshop to detect harmful microalgae in Vancouver Harbor. Microalgal cells were scraped from macroalgae and concentrated. Positive results of samples from Lonsdale Quay suggested that *Heterosigma* or the DSP

producer *Prorocentrum* might be present.

China - Shellfish samples (both intertidal and species) collected and frozen during the workshop will be analyzed for Paralytic Shellfish Poison using HPLC in the next few months.

Canada - surficial sediment was incubated for 3 weeks, and samples collected every few days and preserved. These samples will be analyzed for phytoplankton abundance and composition over the next few months.

#### Imposex Team

Japan - Two species of dogwinkle were examined for imposex. 72 to 100% of the snails examined at all four sites (three sites near Victoria, and one site in Howe Sound) were affected. Additional species of snails will be examined in the next few months.

China - Imposex data expected in the next few months.

Japan - Analyses for Organotin compounds in *Nucella* will be completed soon.

#### Fish Team

US - Fish histopathology. Most of slides read - preliminary data available. Liver lesions were not observed in fish examined at the reference sites, however 24 % of fish at the three test sites had one or more types of lesions known to be associated with contaminant exposure.

Canada - Fish age. Otoliths have been read. Results suggest that there is some density dependence, possibly because this is an unfished population. Fish are older than expected based on size.

Canada - Sites were plotted and charted by GIS. Tables of trawl and grab location data are complete.

Canada - Fish community data are expected to be complete by March 2000.

Russia - Metals analyses of fish muscle are complete.

Japan - Vitellogenin analyses (2 sites). Blood plasma collected from English sole at two sites was analyzed during the workshop. No vitellogenin was observed in any of the samples, except for one individual from the reference site. Reconfirmation of the sex (histology), and check for cross reactivity by immunoblotting needs to be done to rule out an error in sex determination or non-specific cross reactivity.

US - Vitellogenin analyses (2 sites). Data expected December 1999.

Canada - CYP1A in fish liver data are expected December 1999.

Japan - Organic chemical analyses of fish muscle, liver and gonad are expected June 2000.

US - Chlorinated hydrocarbon analyses of fish liver are expected January 2000.

US - PAH metabolites in bile, the data are available.

US - DNA adducts in liver (2 sites) data are expected in June 2000.

## 2. Individual Status Reports

### Benthos Team Status Report

Benthic community identification and statistical analysis (Russia and Korea) are in process and expected December 99.

Meiobenthos (Canada) are in process and are expected June 2000

### Sediment Chemistry Status Report

Dr. Stein's Lab: TOC analyses (seven sites) - data completed and available at the PICES VIII meeting.

Dr. Stein's Lab: AH and CH analyses: Samples from all 7 sites have been extracted and are in the process of being analyzed, estimated data availability is January 15, 2000.

Dr. Tkalin's Lab: Metal analyses are completed.

Mussel Team Status Report

Mussel collecting group consisted of Beth Piercey (Canada), Seiichi Uno (Japan), Ji-Hyun Yun (Korea), Alexander Tkalin and Tatiana Lishavskaya (Russia). During the Workshop, mussels *Mytilus trossolus* were collected at low tide at seven locations

(Table 1). Collected samples were used to characterize community structure (Beth Piercey and Ji Hyun Yun). Content of organic pollutants (PAHs, organochlorines, butyltin compounds, etc.) will be analyzed in Japan (Seiichi Uno) and trace metal concentrations will be determined by a few labs in Vladivostok, Russia. Metals analyses of mussels by Dr. Tkalin's Lab and TBT analyses of mussels by Dr. Horiguchi are complete.

Table 1. Sampling locations (I=intertidal)

Sample code	Date	Location	Additional information
I-1	May 27	PEI site, West Vancouver Lab.	Reference site
I-3A	May 28	Lonsdale Quay, Seaboard Int.	
I-5B	May 29	Port Moody	
I-6	May 30	Port Moody (loco)	
I-2A	May 30	Sulphur Dock, Brockton Pt.	
I-4	June 1	Indian Arm, Cates Park	
I-7	June 2	Howe Sound	Reference site

*nuttallii*, *Yoldia sp.*). Samples were processed immediately after collected and put into deep freezer for later lyophilizing. Paralytic Shellfish Poison (PSP) of each sample will be analyzed using HPLC.

Clam Team Status Report

Japan - organic chemical analyses and lipid analyses expected by June 2000.

Japan - TBT analyses to be completed in the next few months.

Tian Yan: Standard Artemia Toxicity Test (ARTOX) has been performed during the workshop to detect harmful microalgae in Vancouver Bay. Main species of macroalgae including *Ulva lactuca*, *Fucus gardneri*, *Laminaria saccharina*, *Iridaea cordata*, *Dilsea californica*, *Gigartina exaparata*, *Saragassum muticum*, *Pylaiella littoralis* at each sampling site were collected. Attached microalgal cells were scraped from macroalgae and concentrated for ARTOX. Positive results of sample from Lonsdale Quay indicated that toxic algae such as *Heterosigma* or DSP producer *Prorocentrum lima* might be present in the water.

Harmful Algal Team Status Report

Tian Yan: Shellfish samples have been collected during the workshop for algal toxin analysis, including intertidal and benthic species. About 500g whole mussels *Mytilus edulis* were collected at each intertidal sampling site to study algal toxin distribution in Vancouver Bay. Clam samples were also got from some intertidal beach (*Ruditapes philippinarium*, *Venerupis staninea*) and from benthic trawl sampling (*Clinocardium*

Terri Sutherland: Replicate sediment core samples have been collected from three sampling sites within Vancouver Harbour. The surficial sediment of each core was incubated using phytoplankton growth medium and optimal light conditions for approximately 3 weeks. Subsamples were collected every few days and preserved in Lugol's Solution. These samples will be analyzed for phytoplankton abundance and composition over the next few months. The germination of potentially harmful phytoplankton will be documented.

### Imposex Team Status Report

A list of specimens taken around Vancouver, May 24 - June 7, Dr. Toshihiro Horiguchi:

May 27

I-1 Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)  
Pacific Oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*)

May 28

I-3-A Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)  
I-3-B Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)  
I-3-C Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)

May 29

I-5-B Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)  
I-6 Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)  
Softshell-Clam (*Mya arenaria*)  
Japanese Littleneck (*Venerupis philippinarum*)  
Pacific Littleneck (*Protothaca staminea*)  
Butter Clam (*Saxidomus gigantea*)  
Pacific Oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*)

May 30

I-4-A Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)  
Pacific Littleneck (*Protothaca staminea*)  
Butter Clam (*Saxidomus gigantea*)  
Pointed Macoma (*Macoma inquinata*)  
Nuttall's Cockle (*Clinocardium nuttallii*)

I-4-B Pacific Littleneck (*Protothaca staminea*)  
Butter Clam (*Saxidomus gigantea*)  
Pointed Macoma (*Macoma inquinata*)  
Nuttall's Cockle (*Clinocardium nuttallii*)  
Horse Clam (*Tresus capax*)

May 31

VictoriaOgden Point  
File Dogwinkle (*Nucella lima*)  
Frieded Dogwinkle (*N. lamellosa*)

Clover Point

Striped Dogwinkle (*Nucella emarginata*)  
File Dogwinkle (*N. lima*)  
Frieded Dogwinkle (*N. lamellosa*)  
Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*(?))

Ten-mile Point

File Dogwinkle (*Nucella lima*)  
Frieded Dogwinkle (*N. lamellosa*)

June 1

I-2 Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)  
Pacific Littleneck (*Protothaca staminea*)  
Butter Clam (*Saxidomus gigantea*)  
Pointed Macoma (*Macoma inquinata*)

June 2

Wilson Creek, Mission Point  
File Dogwinkle (*Nucella lima*) (?)  
Frieded Dogwinkle (*N. lamellosa*)

I-7

Foolish Mussel (*Mytilus trossulus*)  
Softshell-Clam (*Mya arenaria*)  
Dark Mahogany-Clam (*Nuttalia obscurata*)  
Pacific Oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*)

June 3

T-49 Milky Venus (*Compsomyax subdiaphana*)



Imposex examinations on *Nucella lima* and *Nucella lamellosa* are complete. Organotin analyses in tissue of the foolish mussel, *Mytilus trossulus* are also complete.

Future studies:

- 1.1 Examination of imposex symptoms in a few species of *Nucella*
  - 1.2 Comparison of imposex symptoms among *Nucella* species
  - 1.3 Determination of organotins in tissue of *Nucella* species
  - 1.4 Comparison of organotin contamination and imposex symptoms in *Nucella* species with those in Japanese gastropods, such as the rock shell, *Thais clavigera*
- 
- 2.1 Determination of organotins in tissue of other bivalve molluscs
  - 2.2 Comparison of organotin accumulation among the bivalve species
  - 2.3 Comparison of organotin contamination levels observed in bivalves around with those in Japan

#### Fish Team Status Report

Tissues were sampled from English sole at 5 sites during the workshop. The sites were: T-49, West Van. Lab; T-11B, Lonsdale Quay; T-38, Port Moody; T-48, Indian Arm; T-50, Gibsons, Howe Sound.

Status of fish tissue analyses:

Dr. Uno:

CHs and AH metabolites in fish tissues (liver, gonad, muscle) to be completed by June 2000

#### **MEQ Endnote 4**

1. Review of activities

Dr. Stein's group:

CH analyses of fish liver (5 sites) original deadline was June 2000. Revised date of data availability estimated to be Jan 15, 2000.

PAH metabolites in bile (5 sites) - complete data will be available at the PICES VIII meeting.

Histopathology (5 sites) of English sole liver, kidney and gonad: tissues have been embedded and sectioned, most slides have been read. Preliminary data summary will be available at the meeting.

Plasma Vitellogenin (2 sites) - expect to be completed in December 1999.

DNA adducts in liver (2 sites) - expect to be completed in March 2000.

Dr. Tkalin's group:

Metals analyses of fish muscle (5 sites) - complete; data will be presented at the PICES VIII meeting.

Drs. Addison and Bandiera:

CYP1A of fish liver (5 sites) expect to be completed in November or December 1999.

Dr. Munetaka Shimizu:

Vitellogenin (2 sites) - analyses were completed during the workshop, a preliminary report will be available at the workshop.

Dr. Levings' group:

Otoliths (age) - otoliths have been read, and data is being entered into a database.

#### **MEQ Strategic Plan**

The first MEQ meetings at Victoria, Canada (1992) and Seattle, U.S.A. (1993), were largely focused on identifying common

problems of marine pollution in the North Pacific. It was decided that MEQ should concentrate its efforts on coastal pollution problems (instead of open ocean processes). The preliminary focus was on "Interdisciplinary methodology to better assess and predict the impacts of pollutants on structure and function of marine ecosystems". Two areas were mentioned as particularly important: algal blooms and chemical and biological contaminants. In 1992, Working Group 2 (WG 2) on Development of Common Assessment Methodology for Marine Pollution was established under the leadership of Dr. Richard F. Addison, Canada. Prof. Jia-Yi Zhou, China, was elected MEQ Chairman in 1992.

At PICES III (1994), MEQ held a symposium on "Interdisciplinary methodology to better assess and predict the impact of pollutants on structure and function of marine ecosystems." It was decided also to organize a Practical Workshop at one of the impacted coastal ecosystems of the western North Pacific to work on common methodology of marine environment quality assessment. The proposed preliminary workshop site was the Yangtze estuary, East China Sea. After the meeting, Working Group 2 was disbanded and Working Group 8 on Practical Assessment Methodology was established to prepare and organize the Practical Workshop.

At PICES IV (1995), MEQ held a symposium on "Sources, transport, and impact of chemical contaminants." WG 8 recommended organizing Practical Workshop in Jiaozhou Bay, China (instead of Yangtze estuary) to trace the ecological impacts along the gradient of chemical contamination. Dr. Addison was elected the new MEQ Chairman.

At PICES V (1996), MEQ held a session on "Processes of contaminant cycling." WG 8

developed a Scientific Workplan to hold the Practical Workshop in Qingdao, China, in 1997. Harmful algal blooms and environmental impacts of aquaculture were considered as possible topics for future MEQ sessions.

At PICES VI (1997), MEQ held a session on "Processes of contaminant cycling." Three priority areas were identified for inter-sessional activities: 1) Environmentally sound mari-culture: status and technology needs; 2) Harmful algal blooms; and 3) MEQ/PICES interactions with GIWA (Global Assessment of International Waters): a feasibility study. The WG 8 report on preparation of Practical Workshop in Jiaozhou Bay, China, was also approved. Following the WG 8 meeting, the Chinese authorities informed PICES that "... the present situation in Jiaozhou Bay is not suitable to hold the workshop...", and after some discussion within MEQ, the proposed site was moved to Vancouver.

At PICES VII (1998), MEQ discussed the report of WG 8 on preparation for the Practical Workshop in Vancouver Harbor in May-June 1999. The MEQ topic session was on "Science and technology for environmentally-sustainable mariculture". Joint MEQ/BIO Session was devoted to "Contaminants in high trophic level biota - linkages between individual and population responses". Dr. Alexander V. Tkalin was elected as new MEQ Chairman.

In summary, over the past years, the Marine Environment Quality Committee of PICES has focused its activities on coastal pollution problems and common methodology to estimate the state of marine ecosystems under anthropogenic pressure. Closer links between marine chemists and marine biologists working on pollution problems in PICES member countries have been established.

2. The future

The main goal of MEQ, as part of PICES, is to improve "scientific knowledge about the ocean environment, global weather and climate change, living resources and their ecosystems, and the impacts of human activities". Increasing information exchange and collaboration between scientists of PICES countries will be of mutual benefit to their people and will help to sustainable development of these countries.

For the coming years, the following scientific themes are considered of high priority to MEQ:

- Coastal pollution: eutrophication, phytoplankton dynamics and harmful algal events (joint MEQ/BIO session to be held at PICES VIII in Vladivostok);
- Ecological impacts of oil spills, oil exploration, land reclamation and other man-made activities (aspects addressed in MEQ topic session, PICES VIII, Vladivostok);
- Science and technology for environmentally sustainable mariculture: impacts and mitigation in coastal areas (MEQ topic session, PICES IX, Japan);
- Impacts of climate change on coastal ecosystems;
- Biological and physical transport of anthropogenic substances in the North Pacific;
- Assessment of the impacts of human activities on marine ecosystem integrity and harmonization of existing methodologies used in PICES countries.

Diseases in marine species: population level effects and the role of human activities in their occurrence.

MEQ should work to establish links with relevant international organizations/programs (GIWA, GOOS, ICES, NOWPAP). Preliminary discussions with GIWA have not been productive: although a presentation on behalf of GIWA was made at PICES VI (Pusan). The GOOS program may be of more direct relevance to PICES interests, especially as some member countries already have developed GOOS components, and in the short term, GOOS may be a more appropriate vehicle for PICES to use to establish international connections. In addition, Dr. Addison has been contacted by the Chairman of the ICES Working Group on the Biological Effects of Contaminants (WGBEC), which is the ICES "equivalent" to PICES MEQ, with the aim of establishing common interests of the two groups, which could lead to future joint meetings. It is also important to broaden interest in MEQ within PICES countries and through the scientific disciplines (e.g., marine mammals, birds, etc.) by bringing new people to PICES meetings and inviting prominent scientists from all over the world.

As a forum of international experts, MEQ should identify priorities for interdisciplinary research in the PICES area for a better understanding of structure, function, and health of marine ecosystems under anthropogenic pressure.

## MEQ Endnote 5

### MEQ Recommendations to Science Board

1. WG 8 should remain established for one more year to complete collation, editing and publication of results from the MEQ Practical Workshop (expected

completion date fall 2000). (Recommendation forwarded from WG 8).

2. At the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate MEQ should
  - sponsor one half- or full- day session, co-convened by Dr. Toshihiro Horiguchi (Japan) and Ms. Carla M. Stehr (U.S.A.) for detailed presentations of results from the MEQ Practical Workshop. (Recommendation forwarded from WG 8);
  - co-sponsor with BIO a topic session entitled “Science and technology for environmentally-sustainable mariculture in coastal areas” (Co-convenors: Drs. Colin D. Levings (Canada) and John E. Stein (U.S.A.)).
3. PICES should publish the full data set from the MEQ Practical Workshop as a PICES Scientific Report, in which data would be available for citation in publications in the primary refereed literature. PICES consider archiving the data from the Workshop in electronic form as permanent record of PICES activities. (Recommendation forwarded from WG 8).
4. PICES should provide travel support to allow one of the two Co-Chairmen of the new Working Group on Ecology of Harmful Algal Blooms in the North Pacific to visit the other Co-Chairman for one inter-sessional meeting before PICES IX.

# REPORT OF PHYSICAL OCEANOGRAPHY AND CLIMATE COMMITTEE



The meeting began at 8:45 am on October 13. The Chairman, Dr. Vyacheslav B. Lobanov (Russia), welcomed the POC members and observers (*POC Endnote 1*). Dr. Howard J. Freeland was elected as rapporteur. The agenda appears in *POC Endnote 2*.

## **Old business**

Dr. Lobanov reviewed the 2nd PICES Workshop on the Okhotsk Sea and Adjacent Areas which was held in Nemuro, Japan on November 9-12, 1998. A volume of proceedings was issued in the PICES Scientific Report series (No. 12) and is available at the Secretariat.

There was discussion about whether the annotated bibliography on the Japan/East Sea, prepared by Dr. Mikhail A. Danchenkov, should be available in hard copy or on the internet. It was decided to leave this to the Secretariat to discuss with the author.

## **POC Strategic Plan: accomplishments and changes (Agenda Item 3)**

Dr. Takeshi Uji suggested an addition to the POC strategic plan "Considering the important role of the ocean on the climate system and the shortage of data, POC should encourage and endorse the ARGO project, an important ocean observing component of GOOS and GCOS, to provide subsurface T and S data by a global array of ocean profiling floats for studies of climate variability and climate predictions." Dr. James E. Overland suggested inserting a specific reference to North Pacific and the suggestion was endorsed.

## **Final Report of Working Group 10 (Agenda Item 4)**

Dr. Lobanov summarized the status of the final report of Working Group 10 on Circulation and Ventilation in the Japan Sea (East Sea) and its Adjacent Areas. It was completed this summer and distributed among POC members with a request to submit comments by August 20. Dr. Christopher N.K. Mooers, Co-Chairman of WG 10, submitted a new set of recommendations, and mentioned the difference in expectations between POC and the Working Group. Dr. Mooers agreed that there is a large body of new literature that can be accommodated in the report bibliography and some correction of the report would be done.

The POC thanked Dr. Mooers and the Working Group members for their very productive work and noted that the most serious problem of the report is that it does not read as a review, but rather as proceedings of a meeting. One of the reasons for this is that the Japan/East Sea is currently an area of very intensive studies, so it is difficult to set a cut off date for a review coverage. The POC members agreed that although this Working Group will be disbanded, PICES should stay interested in the Japan/East Sea studies and have a coordinating role in developing a multidisciplinary program in the area.

Regarding publication, POC asked that editorial criticisms be addressed by January 1, 2000, and that the report be published on the PICES web site. The report becomes a "living document" and may be revised at a later stage to accommodate new findings. It is recommended that PICES maintain contact with the CREAMS and ONR programs on the Japan/East Sea which are currently implementing large observational and data synthesis projects, and that PICES organize a joint symposium with ONR and

CREAMS on the Japan/East Sea in 2002, with a proceedings to be published as a review of a current knowledge.

### **Progress report of Working Group 13 (Agenda Item 5)**

In the absence of the Co-Chairmen, the progress report of the Working Group 13 on CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific was presented by Dr. Andrew Dickson (*POC Endnote 3*). He noted that three activities had taken place during the last year:

- a. A method intercomparison study;
- b. Sample exchange from WOCE line P-1 crossing the N/S lines;
- c. Attendance at the SEATS (Southeast Asian Time Series) planning meeting.

Activities planned for next year include compiling data into a coherent synthesis. This is a joint activity together with TCODE and JGOFS North Pacific Task Team. For this purpose, a symposium and a workshop on CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis for the North Pacific, and an intercomparison of alkalinity measurement techniques are planned for October 2000, in Tsukuba, Japan, just prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting. These meetings would be co-sponsored by PICES and the CREST program of the Japan Science and Technology Agency. It is recommended that PICES support some of the logistics of the intercomparison exercise and provide travel support for attendees. The Working Group proposed to arrange a topic session for PICES IX, jointly with BIO, entitled "North Pacific carbon cycle and ecosystem dynamics". It was also recommended that PICES continue to co-ordinate carbon cycle research in the North Pacific. Dr. Lobanov expressed thanks to Dr. Dickson. POC supported these recommendations and will address them to the Science Board.

### **CREAMS/PICES relations and co-sponsorship for CREAMS Workshop (Agenda Item 6)**

Dr. Lobanov outlined the progress of CREAMS/PICES relations and proposed that POC support the idea that PICES co-sponsor the CREAMS Workshop on "Oceanography of the East Asian Marginal Seas" to be held in May 2000, in Vladivostok, Russia. Dr. Danchenkov, a representative of the local organizing committee, provided brief information about the workshop and supported the proposal. POC supported the recommendation to co-sponsor a joint CREAMS/PICES Workshop.

### **Status of ARGO (Agenda Item 7)**

Dr. Freeland briefed the Committee on recent developments towards the design and implementation of ARGO. Dr. Kensuke Takeuchi reported that in Japan, the situation is still liquid but will be more solid early next year; full operation will not start before 2001. The main funding would be provided by the Millennium Budget, SODA (Study for Optimum Design of ARGO) and Frontier Observational Research System. SODA is examining the behaviour of floats in 3 typical areas: a weak current region, an eddy-rich region (Kuroshio Extension) and a strong frontal region, Kuroshio.

### **Cooperation with CLIVAR (Agenda Item 8)**

Dr. Freeland reviewed the current state of development of CLIVAR following discussions on this matter with Dr. John Gould, the Chief Scientist of CLIVAR. PICES should be an integral part of the planning for CLIVAR and should be represented, probably, by the Chairman of POC.

Dr. Overland recommended strongly that POC try to influence CLIVAR to take a stronger role in the northern North Pacific, rather than maintaining its focus on equatorial and El Niño dynamics. A letter will be written to CLIVAR.

### **PICES and GOOS (Agenda Item 9)**

Dr. Bruce A. Taft briefly reported the results from the MONITOR Workshop that was held immediately prior to the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting. It included a discussion of the GOOS program and its various components and focused on PICES relationship with these components. The ARGO program was identified as a very effective one for PICES. The MONITOR Task Team endorsed the idea of supplying platforms for the deploying of floats. It was recommended that PICES have representation and a leading role in coordinating the North Pacific area implementation of the LMR component of GOOS and the Data Buoy Cooperation Panel.

Dr. Lobanov thanked Dr. Taft for the update on MONITOR activities. Dr. Lobanov also informed POC about the development of the NEAR-GOOS (North East Asian Regional) component of GOOS. It is one of the most advanced components of GOOS which is providing (through the internet without charge) operational and delayed-mode data on physical and hydrochemical parameters in the Japan/East Sea, East-China Sea and Yellow Sea. It is recommended that PICES develop closer collaboration with NEAR-GOOS. Dr. Taft strongly supported this suggestion.

#### **Results of La Perouse/Soya project (Agenda Item 10)**

Dr. Gennady Kantakov outlined the development of the project which is observing water exchange and ecological response in the La Perouse/Soya Strait area. It is a good example of fruitful international cooperation among the PICES member countries. A letter will be written expressing the desire of PICES/POC in seeing this program continue.

#### **Arctic Climate Impact Assessment Program (Agenda Item 11)**

Expressing a general support for the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment Program, the Committee noted that this is out of the normal

line of interest for PICES. So it is expected that PICES will not become significantly involved in arctic research.

#### **Future symposia (Agenda Item 12)**

POC discussed a suggestion to have a symposium on ARGO at the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in 2000, in Hakodate. However, it was agreed to expand the focus to climate science and long-term observations in the North Pacific using the scientific foundation for ARGO, and sponsor a topic session on "Large-scale circulation in the North Pacific". The session should have an emphasis on new techniques and new data sources, and how these will be used in the future. Convenors are Dr. Takeshi Uji (or alternate) (Japan) and Dr. Freeland (Canada).

POC endorsed the suggestion of Working Group 13 to co-sponsor a joint session with BIO on "North Pacific Carbon Cycle and Ecosystem Dynamics" for PICES IX.

#### **Publications/travel support (Agenda Item 13)**

POC recommended that the progress report of the Working Group 13 on CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific be published in the 1999 Annual Report, and the final report of the Working Group 10 on Circulation and Ventilation in the Japan/East Sea and its Adjacent Waters be made available on the PICES web site. Travel requests are reported under Recommendations to Science Board (Agenda Item 15).

#### **Other business (Agenda Item 14)**

##### PICES 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Symposium

POC supported the idea of the PICES 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Symposium and nominated Dr. Susan E. Allen to represent POC on the steering committee for this event.

##### Restructuring PICES Annual Meetings

POC endorsed:

- a. moving the Science Board Symposium to the beginning of the Annual Meeting agenda;
- b. promoting inter-committee topic sessions and to upgrade the visibility of the poster sessions (however, it is recommend that the Secretariat set standards for poster presentations, both the nature of the poster and how it is handled by sessions);
- c. supporting ventures that would encourage the participation of young scientists.

#### Best Presentation Award

The nominee receiving most votes for the Best Presentation Award is Dr. Josef Chernyawsky (Canada) for his paper (with W. R. Crawford and M. G. G. Foreman) entitled “Long-lived meanders and ocean eddies in the Alaskan stream”.

POC discussed the question of the Best Presentation Awards and recommended that PICES drop all awards except for two: for best student presentation – oral, and best student presentation – poster.

#### Standing list of organization and programs

In reviewing the PICES standing list of organizations and programs for collaboration with PICES, POC endorsed adding ARGO and CREAMS.

#### Workshop on utilization of fishing vessels to advance marine ecosystem research

POC discussed a suggestion concerning a workshop on “Utilization of fishing vessels as monitoring, tracking and data collection systems to advance marine ecosystem research” and recommended that the proponents present the material at a MONITOR Workshop in Hakodate, in 2000, but not as a separate symposium.

#### **POC Endnote 1**

Canada

#### Working Group numbers and names

POC requested that the Secretariat discontinue the practice of identifying Working Groups by number only and use words (short title) or acronyms instead.

#### **Recommendations to Science Board (Agenda Item 15)**

#### Financial requests

- PICES provide support some of the logistics of the alkalinity intercomparison exercise;
- PICES provide travel support for two participants from North America and for one from Russia to attend a Symposium and a Workshop on CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis in the North Pacific, and a Technical Workshop on alkalinity measurements in Tsukuba, in October 2000;
- PICES co-sponsor a joint CREAMS/PICES Workshop on “Oceanography of the East Asian Marginal Seas” in Vladivostok, in May 2000.

#### Symposia at PICES IX

- POC sponsor a session on “Large scale circulation in the North Pacific”, with an emphasis on new techniques and new data sources, and how these will be used in the future. (Co-Convenors: Howard J. Freeland and Takeshi Uji (or alternate));
- POC co-sponsor, with BIO, a session entitled “North Pacific carbon cycle and ecosystem dynamics” (Co-Convenors to be determined).

#### **Participation List**

Susan E. Allen



Howard J. Freeland

Japan

Nobuo Suginochara  
Takeshi Uji  
Kensuke Takeuchi

Russia

Sergey V. Gladyshev  
Gennady A. Kantakov

Gennady V. Khen

Vyacheslav B. Lobanov (Chairman, POC)

U.S.A.

Andrew Dickson  
Christopher N.K. Mooers  
David L. Musgrave  
James E. Overland

**POC Endnote 2**

**Agenda**

1. Opening remarks and introduction
2. Approval/modification of the agenda
3. POC Strategic Plan: accomplishments and changes (V. Lobanov)
4. WG 10 final report (C. Mooers)
5. WG 13 progress report (Y. Nojiri)
6. PICES/CREAMS relations and co-sponsorship for the CREAMS 2000 Workshop (V. Lobanov)
7. ARGO/GODAE recent progress (H. Freeland/K. Takeuchi)
8. PICES/CLIVAR: how to establish close links? (H. Freeland)
9. PICES/GOOS: outcome from discussion at the MONITOR 1999 Workshop (V. Lobanov/ B. Taft)
10. Results of the LaPerouse/Soya project (G. Kantakov)
11. Arctic Climate Impact Assessment program (V. Lobanov)
12. Future symposia
13. Publications/travel support
14. Other business
15. Summary of POC recommendations for Science Board

**POC Endnote 3**

**Report of Working Group 13 on CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific**

**Meeting Summary**

The Working Group was attended by representatives from Japan, Russia, and the United States of America. After a brief welcome by the Chairmen (Dr. Feely, U.S.A., and Dr. Nojiri, Japan), the first day of the meeting was devoted to a series of technical presentations (see the agenda Annex 1).

The North Pacific is an important sink for atmospheric carbon dioxide in the oceans and, consequently, plays a significant role in controlling long-term climate changes on the Earth. Some biogeochemical processes relating to the oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> system are peculiar to the

North Pacific. This occurs because (1) the North Pacific is the final destination of circulation of the deep water that contains a high level of preformed nutrients; and (2) the North Pacific Intermediate Water stores dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> for more than a few tens of years. There is a considerable contrast in the ecosystems producing organic carbon and CaCO<sub>3</sub> particles, one of the factors determining the CO<sub>2</sub> sink strength in the ocean, between the eastern and western North Pacific. The contrast is likely due to the difference in the nutrient composition in water supplied from the subsurface to the surface euphotic layer (i.e. resulting from the physical forcing which affects mixed layer depth) and in the atmospheric input of iron and

other substances. In addition, continental shelf water is now receiving significant attention as a CO<sub>2</sub> sink. The extent of CO<sub>2</sub> exchange under heavy winter storms in high-latitude oceans is also not well known.

The presentations addressed a number of research topics concerning the oceanic carbon dioxide system in the North Pacific. Collaborative research conducted by scientists from Japan and Canada onboard the *Skaugran* from 1995-99 have determined the major seasonal variations of the sources and sinks for carbon dioxide north of 35° N. This region of the North Pacific is a large net sink for CO<sub>2</sub> (-0.3 PgC yr<sup>-1</sup>) with large wintertime sources in the convective overturn regions of the western North Pacific and the Aleutian Islands, and large spring and summertime sinks in the northwestern Pacific and Bering Sea regions due to high nutrient concentrations and primary production. Recent studies by investigators from the United States have shown large interannual variations of pCO<sub>2</sub> in surface water near the equator due to the effects of the 1997-98 El Niño. The interannual variations of the CO<sub>2</sub> flux during this period were larger than the 1982-83 El Niño event and had a significant impact on the growth rate of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere during this period.

There were also descriptions of additional field programs being conducted by investigators from Russia and Japan together with some preliminary interpretations based on the data from these programs. Dr. Pavel Tishchenko of the Pacific Oceanological Institute reported on an international study of the carbon system in the Japan/East Sea in 1999. The region is dominated by mesoscale eddies and is a strong sink for atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Dr. Akihiko Murata of JAMSTEC reported on recent cruises onboard R/V *Mirai* in the northwest Pacific in which his group was able to determine the relationships between seawater pCO<sub>2</sub> values, temperature and salinity in the mixing zone between water masses. Dr. Alex Kozyr of CDIAC (Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center) provided a review of the present status of the US Global

CO<sub>2</sub> Survey data synthesis effort, and also of the CARINA program, an international program aimed at synthesis of CO<sub>2</sub> data for the Atlantic Ocean.

At our last meeting in Fairbanks, the Working Group decided that a collaborative method intercomparison between the various PICES nations was a high priority to enable future CO<sub>2</sub> measurements by PICES nations to be comparable and correct. Dr. Andrew Dickson thus reported on the results of the PICES-sponsored international method intercomparison for carbonate parameters and the subsequent Technical Workshop held in Tsukuba, Japan in April 1999. (A brief report of this exercise was published in PICES Press earlier this year (Vol. 7, No. 2) and a detailed report will be published later – in both English and Japanese. For more details see Annex 2). After adjusting to a common calibration scale, the results showed very good agreement (better than 3 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>) for total dissolved inorganic carbon, but poor agreement for total alkalinity (23 μmol kg<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, the Working Group agreed to conduct a second study during the coming year that would be focused on alkalinity measurements, and to follow it up with a Technical Workshop in October 2000.

The second day was devoted to discussions and to the formulation of recommendations (see below) that the Working Group felt were important to achieve their overall objective of improving the degree of collaboration and communication between the various PICES nations that are making oceanic CO<sub>2</sub> measurements in the North Pacific. In particular, a detailed plan was developed to initiate an international North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis activity which will be planned and carried out in collaboration with the JGOFS North Pacific Task Team (NPTT) and the PICES TCODE (for further details see Annex 3).

#### **Plans for future activities**

- As a continuation of its method intercomparison activity, the working group

will plan and carry out an international intercomparison of alkalinity measurement techniques by exchanging test samples to be analyzed in the various participating laboratories before August 2000. This exercise is to be followed up by a second technical workshop in Tsukuba, Japan, which will be held in conjunction with the WG 13 meeting in October 2000;

- The Working Group will initiate a North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis activity in collaboration with the JGOFS NPTT and PICES TCODE. Activities planned for 2000 include the compilation of an inventory of CO<sub>2</sub> data available for the North Pacific, planning and organization of a CO<sub>2</sub> Data Synthesis Symposium to be held in Tsukuba, Japan in October 2000 (this symposium will be cosponsored by PICES and JST-CREST), and organization of a joint WG 13/NPTT/TCODE meeting in October 2000;
- The Working Group will collaborate with other PICES committees to organize a session at the PICES IX meeting in Hakodate in October 2000. The proposed session title is: *North Pacific Carbon Cycle and Ecosystem Dynamics*.

*Session Overview:* The North Pacific is an important sink for CO<sub>2</sub> in the oceans and consequently plays a significant role in controlling long-term climate changes on the earth. Furthermore, there is considerable contrast in the ecosystems producing organic carbon and CaCO<sub>3</sub> particles, one of the factors determining the CO<sub>2</sub> sink strength in the ocean, between the eastern and western North Pacific. The session would thus aim to highlight the present state-of-the-art in our understanding of the mechanisms responsible for influencing the extent and variability of processes affecting the carbon cycle in this important ocean region. The working group believes that it will be desirable to highlight this area of PICES science by planning to publish

selected papers from this meeting in an international oceanographic journal such as *Journal of Geophysical Research (Oceans)*.

- The Working Group will continue, where possible, to plan and coordinate international efforts and research programs aimed at studying CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific. This activity is assuming an increasing importance as PICES countries such as Japan, Canada, Russia, and the United States plan new research programs into aspects of the carbon cycle. As an example of this, scientific interactions within the PICES Working Group have already started to improve collaboration between US and Japanese scientists who are working on CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific.

#### **Recommendations to PICES**

- Support some of the logistics of the alkalinity intercomparison exercise;
- Provide travel support for two participants from North America and for one from Russia to participate in the October 2000 meetings in Tsukuba, Japan, *i.e.* the Symposium on CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis in the North Pacific, and a Technical Workshop on total alkalinity measurements in Tsukuba, in October 2000. The proposed meetings will be co-sponsored by the JST/CREST program;
- Help to arrange a joint WG 13/JGOFS NPTT/TCODE meeting in Tsukuba in October 2000. This meeting will organize a CO<sub>2</sub> Data Synthesis Workshop that is planned for March 2001 in Tsukuba, Japan. (This joint meeting will occur immediately after the CO<sub>2</sub> Data Synthesis Symposium and in parallel with the Technical Workshop on alkalinity measurements (see Annex 4 for a detailed timetable.)
- Arrange a session (to be organized by POC in conjunction with BIO) at the PICES IX meeting in Hakodate, Japan, entitled: *North*

*Pacific Carbon Cycle and Ecosystem Dynamics;*

- Continue to provide the forum for the international coordination of ocean carbon cycle research in the North Pacific.

**Proposed Schedule for Working Group 13 Activities**

	<b>Method Intercomparison</b>	<b>Data Synthesis</b>	
<b>October 1999</b>	Prepare samples for alkalinity intercomparison	Prepare N. Pacific CO <sub>2</sub> data inventory (ongoing)	<b>WG 13 Meeting, Vladivostok</b>  Plan and organize session on <i>North Pacific Carbon Cycle And Ecosystem Dynamics</i> For PICES IX in Hakodate
<b>January 2000</b>			
<b>April 2000</b>	Distribute samples to participants	Plan and organize symposium	
<b>July 2000</b>	Return results	Initiate planning for workshop	
<b>October 2000</b>	<b>Technical Workshop, Tsukuba</b>	<b>Symposium, Tsukuba</b> Organize workshop	<b>WG 13 Meeting, Tsukuba</b> joint with NPTT & TCODE
<b>January 2001</b>			
<b>April 2001</b>		<b>Workshop, Tsukuba</b>	
<b>July 2001</b>		Continue with data synthesis activity for period of 12-18 mo.	
<b>October 2001</b>			<b>WG 13 Meeting</b>

**WG 13 Annex 1**

**PICES Working Group 13 on CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific  
Agenda**

The goals of this 2-day meeting are to: 1) review the present level of understanding of the processes controlling CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific, and identify gaps in our understanding; 2) review the results of the CO<sub>2</sub> intercomparison exercise in April, and advise on future intercalibration and quality control procedures; 3) discuss planned national and international CO<sub>2</sub> related scientific programs in the North Pacific region, including long-term time-series

observations; and 4) identify available suitable data sets on the CO<sub>2</sub> system, and recommend possible mechanisms of data information exchange and discuss time, venue and format for the data workshop in the year 2000.

October 8

09:00 - 09:30 Welcome and review of the agenda (Richard Feely)

09:30 - 10:00 pCO<sub>2</sub> monitoring by ships-of-opportunity in the North Pacific (Yukihiro Nojiri)

10:00 - 10:30 The role of the equatorial warm pool in controlling interannual variations of the air-sea CO<sub>2</sub> during the 1997-98 El Niño event (Richard Feely)

10:30 - 10:45 Coffee break

10:45 - 11:30 International activities of Pacific Oceanological Institute in studies of the carbonate system in the Japan /East Sea in 1999 (Pavel Tishchenko *et al.*)

11:30 - 12:00 Measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> species onboard R/V *Mirai* (Akihiko Murata)

12:00 - 13:30 Lunch

13:30 - 14:00 New time series station in the western North Pacific, KNOT: 44°N, 155°E (Yukihiro Nojiri)

14:00 - 14:30 Involvement of CDIAC in national and international carbon programs: GLODAP and CARINA (Alex Kozyr)

14:30 - 15:00 Coffee break

15:00 - 16:00 The 1999 PICES CO<sub>2</sub> intercomparison exercise: Results and lessons learned (Andrew Dickson)

16:00 - 16:30 Summary and wrap-up of first day (Richard Feely)

### October 9

9:00 - 10:15 Discussion of 1999 PICES CO<sub>2</sub> intercomparison exercise and proposed 2000 PICES North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis activities (Nojiri and Dickson - Discussion Leaders)

10:15 - 10:30 Coffee break

10:30 - 12:00 Continuation of the discussion of 1999 PICES CO<sub>2</sub> intercomparison exercise and proposed 2000 PICES North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis activities (Nojiri and Dickson - Discussion Leaders)

12:00 - 13:30 Lunch

13:30 - 15:00 Discussion of planned national and international CO<sub>2</sub> research programs in the North Pacific - (Nojiri and Feely - Discussion Leaders)

15:00 - 15:15 Coffee break

15:15 - 16:15 Consideration, and approval of the recommendations and meeting report for POC (Richard Feely)

16:15 - 17:00 Other business and wrap up

## **WG 13 Annex 2**

### **Method Intercomparison Activity**

#### **Introduction**

The previous Working Group Meeting (Fairbanks, 1999) initiated a PICES activity aimed at improving the techniques used by the various PICES member countries to measure CO<sub>2</sub> parameters in the North Pacific. As there already had been a number of international inter-laboratory comparisons of techniques to make underway pCO<sub>2</sub> measurements, plans were made to exchange sea water samples between various laboratories for the measurement of total dissolved inorganic carbon (C<sub>T</sub>) and total alkalinity (A<sub>T</sub>). This sample exchange would be combined with a subsequent Technical Workshop to discuss the results.

#### **1999 Intercomparison**

Preparations for this exercise were started almost immediately after the Fairbanks meeting, and four samples were prepared for distribution:

- A certified reference material (CRM Batch 45) prepared at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, U.S.A.;
- A surface sea water sample prepared at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography;
- A surface sea water sample prepared at the University of Hokkaido, Japan;

- A deep (300 m) sea water sample prepared on board the *R/V Mirai* by JAMSTEC, Japan.

The samples were distributed to the participating laboratories in January 1999 (with one exception sent out in March). In all, thirteen laboratories were involved: seven from Japan, three from the United States, and one from each of Canada, Korea, and Taiwan. Results were returned promptly from all of these laboratories!

The Technical Workshop took place in April 1999 in Tsukuba, Japan, at the National Institute for Research on the Environment (NIRE). The workshop was organized by Drs. Yukihiro Nojiri (NIES) and Koh Harada (NIRE), and was supported jointly by PICES, KEEC, and JAMSTEC. A total of thirty-one participants from the various laboratories (including an observer from Russia) enjoyed a detailed discussion of the analytical techniques used by their laboratories for the measurement of  $C_T$  and  $A_T$ . This discussion was facilitated by having the actual instruments from a number of the participating groups set up in a laboratory next to the meeting room.

The results of the actual intercomparison were discussed in detail at the workshop, and were

relatively encouraging. For  $C_T$ , the results from a number of laboratories clearly reflected the effects of calibration problems. However, once the various values for  $C_T$  had been adjusted to a common calibration scale (using the measurements made on the CRM), the agreement between the various laboratories was excellent ( $\pm 2 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ ). For  $A_T$ , the results were more disappointing, although reduction to a common calibration scale helped to reduce the extent of disagreement there were still major inter-laboratory differences ( $\pm 12 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ ). A brief report describing this activity and the technical workshop was prepared for PICES Press (Vol. 7, No. 2, 1999). A full detailed report is in preparation and will be published by NIES in both Japanese and English.

### **2000 Intercomparison Plans**

This activity will continue in the coming year with a primary focus on improving the measurement of  $A_T$ . The approach will be the same as in the last session: a sample exchange activity that will provide the basis of the intercomparison. This is planned for March 2000, and will be followed by a second Technical Workshop in Tsukuba, Japan, in October 2000.

## **WG 13 Annex 3**

### **CO<sub>2</sub> Data Synthesis Activity**

#### **Introduction**

As was agreed at WG 13's first meeting in Fairbanks (October 1999), international North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis is seen as an

important activity. During the next year, the Working Group has planned a series of tasks - to be carried out in collaboration with TCODE and the JGOFS NPTT - to initiate this activity.

#### **Year 2000 plans**

- A Scientific Symposium on North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> Data Synthesis, cosponsored by PICES and by JST-CREST, is planned for October 2000. The symposium will review the present status of such synthesis activities including both time series and survey work (see Annex 4 for a brief overview);

- During the next year the Working Group plans to compile an inventory of the existing CO<sub>2</sub> data for the North Pacific region. This inventory will be used as the basis for planning a CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis workshop described below;
- A joint meeting between WG 13, TCODE, and the JGOFS NPTT (in October 2000) to organize a data synthesis workshop that will bring together the major scientists involved

in North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> synthesis activities to discuss their joint data sets.

- A CO<sub>2</sub> data synthesis workshop (provisionally planned in March 2001).

#### WG 13 Annex 4

#### Proposed Timetable for October 2000 Meetings in Tsukuba, Japan

NIES is planning a symposium on North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> Data Synthesis to be held in Tsukuba, Japan, under co-sponsorship by PICES and JST-CREST. This symposium is planned to occur in conjunction with the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate, Japan, in October 2000. The symposium will be held in the Tsukuba International Congress Center (EPOCAL Tsukuba).

To take advantage of the number of scientists that will be present at this symposium, the Working Group agreed that it would be desirable to schedule the proposed Technical Workshop on alkalinity measurements and the proposed joint WG 13/JGOFS NPTT/TCODE meeting(s) in Tsukuba at the same time.

A proposed timetable follows (possible project representation is shown in parentheses):

Day 1 Scientific Symposium Session  
Ocean Time-Series Observations of the Carbon Cycle (HOT, PAPA, KNOT, SEATS, NOPP, SEATS)

North Pacific Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Data (NOPACCS/COSMIC, JAMSTEC, JMA, CO<sub>2</sub> mapping project, NIES/IOS)

Day 2 Scientific Symposium Session  
Global ocean models for the carbon cycle (FRONTIER, US Model, French Model)  
Global Synthesis of Ocean CO<sub>2</sub> Data (LDEO, US Global Survey, AOML)

Day 3 Joint WG 13 / NPTT / TCODE session to design and organize data synthesis workshop for March 2001

Day 4 Joint PICES WG 13/NPTT session to discuss (i) The status of North Pacific CO<sub>2</sub> Data Synthesis; (ii) Plans for future observation programs; and (iii) Report and discussion of 1999 and 2000 method intercomparisons (This is a travel day for TCODE members to move from Tsukuba to Hakodate.)

Day 5 Travel for WG 13 and NPTT participants from Tsukuba to Hakodate;

Day 6 Opening Day for PICES IX in Hakodate, Japan.

# REPORT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION PANEL ON THE CCCC PROGRAM

The Implementation Panel on the Climate Change and Carrying Capacity Program (CCCC/IP) met on Tuesday, October 12. The meeting was opened by the Co-Chairman, Dr. David W. Welch, who welcomed the participants (see *CCCC Endnote 1*). The agenda was reviewed and adopted.

The CCCC/IP received reports from the Task Teams on their progress in 1999 and planned activities for 2000 (these reports appear as *CCCC Endnotes 2-5*). The Panel discussed those issues with financial implications for 2000 and recommends:

## Publications

Reports of the 1999 MONITOR and REX workshops and the 2000 MODEL workshop be published in a single volume of the PICES Scientific Report Series.

## 2000 workshops

The following meetings are to be convened prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting:

- a 2-day BASS Workshop on "Development of a conceptual model of the Subarctic Pacific Basin Ecosystem(s)", with one half-day held in conjunction with MODEL and REX Task Teams;
- a 2-day MODEL Workshop on "Strategies for coupling higher and lower trophic level models";
- a 2-day MONITOR Workshop on "Progress in monitoring the North Pacific";
- a 2-day REX Workshop on "Trends in herring populations and trophodynamics", with one day held in

collaboration with BASS and MODEL Task Teams;

- A 2-day IFEP Planning Workshop on "Designing the iron fertilization experiment in the Subarctic Pacific" (co-sponsored by PICES and CRIEPI).

## 2000 sessions and symposia

The following sessions are to be convened at the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting:

- a 1-day CCCC Topic Session on "Recent findings and comparisons of GLOBEC and GLOBEC-like programs in the North Pacific";
- a 1-day joint BASS/REX symposium to examine the linkages between production in the subarctic gyres and resulting impacts on coastal and transition ecosystems (the subject of this joint symposium was subsequently chosen for the Science Board Symposium);
- a 1-day joint BIO/CCCC Topic Session on "Recent progress in zooplankton ecology study in PICES regions (CCCC agreed to co-sponsor this session in discussion following PICES VIII).

## Travel support

PICES provide financial support for:

- 2 persons to attend the MODEL Workshop on "Lower trophic level modeling" in January 2000, in Nemuro, Japan;
- 1 person (ECOPATH model expert) to attend the BASS Workshop in October 2000, in Hakodate, Japan;
- 3 outside experts to attend the 2000 IFEP Planning Workshop;



- 2 persons to attend the MODEL Workshop in October 2000, in Hakodate, Japan;
- 1 person (expert on mooring observations or design of observational arrays) to attend the MONITOR Workshop in October 2000, in Hakodate, Japan;
- 2 persons to attend the REX Workshop in October 2000, in Hakodate, Japan.

#### New groups

- The revised terms of reference for MONITOR Task Team be adopted (see GC Appendix B (i));
- An Advisory Panel on the Continuous Plankton Recorder survey in the North Pacific be established, with a lifespan of 2 years, renewable with prospect of future funding for the CPR program (see GC Appendix B (iii)).

#### Approval of new members

- Dr. Tokimasa Kobayashi (Japan) to replace Dr. Tokio Wada (Japan) as Co-Chairman of REX;
- Dr Bernard A. Megrey to replace Dr. Daniel M. Ware as Co-Chairman of MODEL.

Several other issues were identified during the CCCC/IP meeting and were endorsed and brought forward to the Science Board:

Both BASS and REX independently proposed that time be set aside prior to PICES IX in Hakodate for joint sessions (during their workshops), which would also include participation by members of the MODEL Task Team. MODEL is also interested in coordinating its activities with BASS and REX. The Panel supports the

recommendations to identify the benefits of separate versus combined meetings and seek ways to improve connections and communication between Task Teams.

The CCCC/IP supports the proposal of REX to endorse the Fisheries Acoustics workshop that is being planned by the Acoustic Society of Japan in conjunction with PICES IX.

The proposal for a 1-day workshop on "Factors affecting production of juvenile salmon: comparative studies on juvenile salmon ecology between the East and West North Pacific Ocean" was described and accepted. The workshop will be organized by NPAFC and PICES and hosted by NPAFC on October 29, 2000, in Tokyo, Japan.

The CCCC/IP members were updated on plans for a mini-workshop on "Zooplankton ecology of the North Atlantic and North Pacific" to be held in conjunction with the meeting of the ICES Working Group on Zooplankton Ecology, April 17-19, 2000, in Honolulu, U.S.A. The Panel, as well as the BIO Committee, was very positive about involvement of PICES scientists in the workshop and consider this meeting as a starting point for active collaboration between PICES and ICES on zooplankton monitoring and comparisons of the Pacific and Atlantic Oceans.

In reviewing the PICES standing list of organizations and programs for collaboration with PICES, the Panel identified GOOS (LMR-GOOS and ARGO) and GLOBEC as its highest priorities.

#### **CCCC Endnote 1**

#### **Participation List**

Canada

Susan E. Allen  
Richard J. Beamish  
Robin M. Brown  
Paul J. Harrison  
Douglas E. Hay

Gordon A. MacFarlane  
David W. Welch (Co-Chairman)

China

Qi-Sheng Tang  
Ling Tong

Japan

Koji Iida  
Tsutomu Ikeda  
Yukimasa Ishida (representing NPAFC)  
Masahide Kaeriyama  
Michio J. Kishi  
Yutaka Nagata  
Yasunori Sakurai  
Takashige Sugimoto  
Tokio Wada  
Akihiko Yatsu

Korea

Jin-Yeong Kim  
Suam Kim (Co-Chairman)  
Chang-Ik Zhang

Russia

Gennady A. Kantakov  
Victor I. Kuzin  
Vyacheslav B. Lobanov  
Vadim V. Navrotsky  
Alexander A. Nelezin  
Vladimir I. Radchenko  
Alexander Slizkin  
Alexander V. Tkalin  
Konstantin Zgurovsky  
Yury Zuenko

U.S.A.

Patricia Livingston  
Stewart A. Macklin  
Bernard A. Megrey  
Christopher N.K. Mooers  
William T. Peterson  
Bruce A. Taft  
Warren S. Wooster  
Patricia A. Wheeler

## BASS Task Team Report

The BASS Task Team met in the morning of October 10, 1999 to review 1999 activities and plan activities for 2000.

### 1999 activities and accomplishments

Selection of papers from the 1997 Science Board Symposium (a BASS Task Team initiative) on "Ecosystem dynamics in the eastern and western gyres of the subarctic Pacific" was published in the special issue of *Progress in Oceanography* – Vol. 43 (2-4). The objective of the symposium and its subsequent publication was to compare processes in the two gyres as well as to record existing information.

The BASS Task Team planned to convene a 2-day workshop on "Development of a conceptual model for the Subarctic North Pacific Gyres" immediately prior to the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting in Vladivostok. Due to the absence of one convenor and insufficient attendance at the workshop it was cancelled and postponed to 2001.

A proposal was received (Dr. Paul Harrison) for PICES to construct an ecozone classification system for the North Pacific Ocean. A number of classification systems (including the recent one proposed by Dr. Alan Longhurst) were reviewed based on biogeographical, currents and wind patterns, chlorophyll and remote sensing methods. After considerable discussion, the Task Team recognized that physical and biological boundaries were not necessarily the same, and boundaries in the transition areas are variable. It was agreed to continue discussions on delineation of ecozones in the North Pacific at future meetings.

BASS recognizes iron limitation as an important unanswered question in the North Pacific and at the last year's meeting

identified this as an area requiring further research. An Advisory Panel (under BASS) on "An Iron Fertilization Experiment in the Subarctic Pacific Ocean" (IFEP) was established after PICES VII. The IFEP members worked by correspondence during the year to develop a preliminary work plan and met at PICES VIII to discuss it and report to BASS (see *Annex 1*). The current timetable for the IFEP members is to write proposals to representative governments for submission by April-June 2000 and hold a planning workshop in conjunction with the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate. Presently the iron fertilization experiment is planned for August 2002 in the eastern North Pacific (Station P) and for spring 2001 (preliminary experiment) and May-June 2003 (full-scale experiment) in the western North Pacific.

BASS members discussed the need for a thorough assessment of the potential impacts before endorsing whole ecosystem manipulations. There was also concern that PICES should be careful about endorsing claims of large-scale increases in ocean production.

### 2000 planned activities and recommendations

BASS proposes to convene a 2-day workshop on "Development of a conceptual model(s) of the eastern and western gyres", prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate. The information that is published in the proceedings of the 1997 BASS symposium can be used as a starting point. The workshop will review and identify biological and physical oceanographic data needs for both gyres of the North Pacific (at all trophic levels), develop a conceptual model of each ecosystem, and review and discuss (with MODEL and REX) appropriate ecosystem models. Co-convenors are Richard J. Beamish (Canada), Andrey S.

Krovnin (Russia), Gordon A. MacFarlane (Canada) and Akihiko Yatsu (Japan). BASS recommends that the afternoon session on the second day be held jointly with MODEL and REX to review progress and plan modeling activities for 2001. Invited experts from each country would participate, including seabird and marine mammal experts. BASS requests that PICES support two invited speakers, preferably ECOPATH experts, to attend the workshop.

BASS proposes a 1-day joint BASS/REX workshop or symposium to be held at the next year's Annual Meeting that would examine the linkages between production in the subarctic gyres and resulting impacts on coastal and transition zone ecosystems. The proposed co-convenors are Andrey S. Krovnin (Russia), Gordon A. MacFarlane (Canada) and Akihiko Yatsu (Japan).

There was some discussion about practice to separating BASS and REX meetings at the Annual Meeting. It was recommended that discussions be carried out to identify the benefits of separate versus combined meetings.

BASS endorses a proposal by IFEP to convene a 2-day planning workshop on "Designing the iron fertilization experiment in the Subarctic Pacific" (co-sponsored by PICES and CRIEPI), prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting. Co-Convenors are Drs. Shigenobu Takeda (Japan) and C.S. Wong (Canada). The objective of the workshop is to initiate planning for the experiment, including logistics and funding, etc. BASS supported a request from IFEP that PICES provide funds for three invited speakers to attend the workshop.

## **BASS Annex 1**

### **Report of Iron Fertilization Experiment Advisory Panel**

The Advisory Panel on "An Iron Fertilization Experiment in the Subarctic Pacific Ocean" (IFEP) met on October 14. The IFEP Co-Chairman, Dr. Shigenobu Takeda, welcomed the members of the Panel and observers and called the meeting to order. The agenda was reviewed and accepted without changes.

Drs. Takeda and Paul J. Harrison introduced the IFEP objectives reflected in the terms of reference. Iron fertilization of HNLC (High Nutrients Low Chlorophyll) water is one possible approach to remove CO<sub>2</sub> from the atmosphere to combat global warming caused by GHGs. Natural iron fertilization has been hypothesized to control glacial/interglacial shift in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Iron fertilization experiments were repeatedly done in the equatorial Pacific under the IRONEX Programs I and II, and recently in the Southern Ocean. The Subarctic Pacific,

with different biology and unique water structure (e.g., strong pycnocline, fresher mixed layer) from the other two regions, is the only HNLC region without such an experiment to assess the CO<sub>2</sub> removal question related to iron. The Panel will (i) examine the reasoning for a subarctic iron experiment, the scale disciplines, and resources required to ensure success of the experiment, and (ii) design the experiment and its timing.

Drs. William Cochlan and Mark L. Wells gave a brief overview of IRONEX I and IRONEX II. In particular, Dr. Wells pointed out the importance of understanding the iron chemistry: What happens to the iron when it is added? Does it precipitate out?

Dr. Harrison presented physical, chemical and biological conditions at Station P, a potential site for the iron fertilization experiment in the eastern North Pacific. He

also discussed eddies forming off the Queen Charlotte Islands in winter and whether they could be used as an iron fertilization site. Dr. Josef Cherniawsky provided more details on the physics of the eddies. The IFEP members agreed that additional information is required to decide if these eddies would be a suitable fertilization site, an alternative to Station P.

Dr. Wells suggested that questions be formulated in order to test specific hypothesis and then decide on needs for the measurements and personnel. The following questions were drafted:

- What are the driving hypotheses for a fertilization experiment? Is it industry driven or science driven?
- What are the motivating questions and what are the best ways to answer these questions?
- How is a fertilization experiment going to improve our understanding of the iron response and what aspects of the response do you want to examine?
- What will a fertilization experiment tell you that the bottle enrichment experiments and mesocosm experiments have not?
- Why conduct an experiment at Ocean Station PAPA and the northwest subarctic Pacific?
- What will it tell you that SOIREE, SOFeX, Caruso and IronEx have not told you?

The Panel discussed the analytical resources needed to be brought to bear on the problem. A list was created in which all recommended measurements were arranged into two groups, primary and secondary importance. The IFEP members from each country were requested to announce the experiment to colleagues, distribute the list and ask who would be interested in participating and what they could measure/contribute. A list of suggested participants should be sent to IFEP Co-Chairmen October 2000.

The Panel reviewed a draft workplan developed during 1999 by correspondence. Presently the iron fertilization experiment is planned for August 2002 at Station P in the northeast subarctic Pacific and May or June 2003 at Station KNOT in the northwest subarctic Pacific. There is a chance for a preliminary experiment in spring 2001 in the northwest subarctic Pacific. The Panel recommends to convene a 2-day planning workshop on "Designing the iron fertilization experiment in the Subarctic Pacific" (co-sponsored by PICES and CRIEPI), prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting. Co-Convenors are Drs. Shigenobu Takeda (Japan) and C.S. Wong (Canada). The objective of the workshop is to initiate planning for experiment, including logistics and funding, etc. IFEP requests that PICES provide funds for three invited speakers to attend the workshop.

#### **CCCC Endnote 4**

#### **MODEL Task Team Report**

The MODEL Task Team met on October 10 (08:30-12:00). The Co-Chairmen welcomed participants and outlined the objectives of the meeting and significant issues for discussion. The agenda was approved without changes.

#### 1999 activities and accomplishments

The report of the 1998 MODEL workshops on "Lower trophic level modeling" and "Sources of high quality nutrient data for modeling lower trophic levels" was published in PICES Scientific Report No. 11.

Dr. Makoto Kashiwai reviewed progress on preparation for the CCCC/MODEL workshop on “Lower trophic level modeling: International workshop on prototype lower trophic level ecosystem model for comparison of different marine ecosystems in the North Pacific” to be held January 31-February 3, 2000, in Nemuro, Japan. Co-Convenors are Makoto Kashiwai and Michio J. Kishi (Japan) and Daniel M. Ware (Canada). The goal of the workshop is to develop a common framework for modeling lower trophic level interactions and to do interregional comparisons. Discussion of the workshop format evolved around the objectives and goals. Participants decided the overall goal was to have a common modeling framework for lower trophic level models in order to facilitate comparative work in the North Pacific. Dr. Kishi reported that the PICES lower trophic level model should be ready in time for the workshop. It was agreed that in order to have a realistic and achievable result for the workshop, the focus should be on agreeing about the model structure and applying it to two open ocean areas in the eastern and western North Pacific. This means mean that MODEL would invite experts in lower trophic level models from those regions and biologists who could bring the appropriate data to parameterize the models. Then some discussion about the need to involve scientists from all PICES nations was brought out – is there a need to involve people from Korea and Russia and work on a coastal area model? Some people thought that there is a bigger difference between eastern and western North Pacific than between western North Pacific and Japan/East Sea area. A list of suggested participants should be sent to MODEL Co-Chairmen by the end of October. Funding for the workshop is mainly provided by the Japan Science and Technology Agency and Nemuro City. However, the Task Team recommends that PICES support two scientists to attend the workshop.

#### 2000 planned activities and recommendations

The Task Team recommends that a Proceedings of the 2000 MODEL workshop on “Lower trophic level modeling” be published in the PICES Scientific Report Series and selected papers from the workshop be published in Fisheries Oceanography in 2001.

MODEL reviewed the current situation on higher and lower trophic level models and the integration of models and recommends convening a 2-day workshop on “Strategies for coupling higher and lower trophic level marine ecosystem models”, prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate. The 2000 MODEL workshop in Nemuro will focus on the development and parameterization of a lower trophic level model to PICES regional ecosystems. At the end of this meeting, discussions will begin about ways to link higher level trophic models to lower level trophic models. The follow-up workshop in Hakodate will extend this initial discussion to consider viable strategies for this important linkage. MODEL requests that PICES supports the travel of two scientists to attend the workshop.

Discussion was initiated on model structure. In Russia, ECOPATH is being used for a higher and lower trophic level modeling. A simple NPZD model was adapted to the Okhotsk Sea a long time ago but it did not show good results. Recently a more precise empirical model has been applied to the Japan/East Sea. The REX Task Team is interested in small pelagic fishes and an appropriate model must be developed. As to higher trophic level models, Individual Based Models (IBM) are widely used in NOAA Fishery Research Oceanography Coordinated Investigations (FOCI) and U.S. GLOBEC. Also ECOPATH has been adapted to various regions in northern

Pacific, i.e., Vancouver Island and the Bering Sea areas.

The goal of next few years for the MODEL Task Team is to construct a prototype lower trophic level model which can be applied to basin scale northern Pacific. After that it should be modified to regional models.

Consequently, the Task Team should coordinate its activities with BASS and REX.

MODEL recommends that the Science Board and CCCC/IP should seek ways to improve connections and communication between BASS, REX and MONITOR Task Teams and POC to MODEL, since activity of MODEL supports all these groups.

## CCCC Endnote 5

### MONITOR Task Team Report

The MONITOR Task Team met on October 10, 1999, to summarize results from a PICES-GOOS workshop and review 1999 activities and plans for 2000. The members in attendance were: Drs. Bruce A. Taft and Yasunori Sakurai (Co-Chairmen), Vyacheslav B. Lobanov, Thomas C. Royer, David W. Welch, Warren S. Wooster. Dr. Sonia D. Batten was invited as a guest expert on zooplankton sampling.

#### 1999 activities and accomplishments

The report of the 1998 MONITOR workshop on "Designing of a monitoring system for PICES" was published in PICES Scientific Report No. 11.

A PICES-GOOS workshop was convened prior to the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting. The purpose of the workshop was to provide a forum for PICES scientists to learn about GOOS and to develop recommendations for PICES future involvement in the GOOS effort. The workshop attracted attendance of about 30 scientists and was very successful (see *Annex 1*).

The Science Board Symposium on "The nature and impacts of North Pacific climate regime shifts" (a MONITOR Task Team initiative) was convened at the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting.

Selection of papers from the symposium will be published in a special issue of *Progress in Oceanography*.

A program on "Continuous Plankton Recorder Monitoring for the eastern North Pacific and Southern Bering Sea" was developed and awarded funding for a two-year pilot project through the North Pacific Marine Research Initiative (see *Annex 2*).

#### 2000 planned activities and recommendations

The Task Team reviewed the outcome from the PICES-GOOS workshop and recommends (see *Annex 1* for details):

- to publish report of the workshop in the PICES Scientific Report Series;
- to modify the terms of reference for the MONITOR Task Team to include the requirement to develop a PICES GOOS action plan;
- to assist in the future development of the LMR-GOOS by identifying pilot projects and components in the PICES region for incorporation in the Initial Observing System of GOOS;
- to assist, by all possible means, in implementation of ARGO.

MONITOR discussed plans for biophysical moorings in the North Pacific: to what extent

do they satisfy our needs? Dr. Taft provided information on PMEL moorings on stations P and M, Dr. Yukihiko Nojiri – on moorings in the western North Pacific, and Mr. Robin Brown – on Canadian moorings in the eastern North Pacific and progress of the Data Buoy Cooperation panel. The Task Team felt that moorings with a full suite of meteorological and oceanographic sensors is a very attractive way to look at the linkages between atmospheric forcing, and ocean physical, chemical and biological response. Unless the spectrum of variability is resolved, the linkages will not clearly be delineated. If the pilot results are promising, the Task Team strongly recommends that the measurements be continued on climate time scales.

Dr. Welch summarized present ocean monitoring in the subarctic North Pacific. Additional information on various ship-of-opportunity programs and monitoring efforts in the western North Pacific was provided by Dr. Nojiri. The Task Team is encouraged that funding was obtained for a 2-year pilot project to measure near-surface zooplankton abundance in the North Pacific with the Continuous Plankton Recorder (see *Annex 2* for details). MONITOR has attached a high priority to these measurements which will assist in designing a monitoring scheme for the eastern North Pacific. In discussion, it was noted that it would be useful to consider the conceptual optimal sampling scheme. Once such a scheme is outlined it would be easier to identify the significant departures between what we have and what we need. This process would result in an improved monitoring plan.

The Task Team recommends that an Advisory Panel be established under MONITOR to review and advise on the most appropriate locations, timing and frequency of routes for the PICES CPR pilot project; and provide technical advice on parameters

to be measured for additional monitoring initiatives.

Zooplankton time series are flawed by systematic errors as many types of gear have been used for collecting samples, and adequate intercalibration data for these sampling systems do not exist. One set of intercalibration data on two types of sampling gear was presented to the Task Team by Dr. Stewart M. McKinnell. A full list of the historical data that must be calibrated will be assembled in the inter-session period and be discussed at the next year's meeting. Dr. Jeffrey M. Napp will be asked to prepare this list and to make suggestions on how to assemble the necessary manpower and ship time.

MONITOR was unable to arrange a discussion of secondary production at this meeting. Dr. William T. Peterson will be asked to prepare an inter-session paper on the subject. The arguments for making it a part of the monitoring array will be reviewed at the next year's meeting.

The Task Team recommends to convene a 2-day workshop on "Progress in monitoring North Pacific", prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate. Co-Convenors are Drs. Yasunori Sakurai (Japan) and Bruce A. Taft (U.S.A.). The major topics to be discussed at the workshop are the new results of the North Pacific CPR zooplankton sampling program, a long-term direction for the zoo-plankton monitoring program, results and future plans of mooring programs in the subarctic Pacific, and development of a strategy for addressing the calibration of various types of zooplankton sampling gear. The Task Team requests that PICES support one outside expert (with expertise in mooring observations or design of observational arrays) to attend the workshop.

Dr. McKinnell has joined the PICES Secretariat and is no longer a member of



the Task Team. A Canadian replacement

for Dr. McKinnell will be sought.

## **MONITOR Annex 1**

### **Report on PICES/GOOS Workshop**

The Global Ocean Observing System (GOOS), sponsored by IOC, WMO, UNEP, and ICSU, is a scientifically-based, long-term international program that seeks to provide practical benefits to society through the collection and timely distribution of oceanic data and products, including assessments, assimilation of data into numerical prediction models, the development and transfer of technology, and capacity building.

At the first international agreement meeting of GOOS, there was strong international support for at least some of the GOOS modules, which presently include Climate, Health of the Oceans, Living Marine Resources, Coastal, and Services modules. In the North Atlantic, ICES has recently formed a steering group on GOOS that has developed a set of recommendations with regard to their involvement in GOOS activities. PICES nations in the western Pacific are involved in one of the most advanced regional components of GOOS, North-East Asian Regional GOOS. Presently, PICES Scientific Committees and CCCC Program are involved in activities that are related to GOOS. It is time to initiate discussion of how the Organization should move towards advancing a North Pacific GOOS program that meets the needs of PICES member countries.

The purpose of the workshop was to provide a forum for PICES scientists to learn about GOOS and to develop recommendations for PICES future involvement in the GOOS effort. The first day of the workshop was devoted to presentations on international, regional, and national components of GOOS and the second day to discussions about the

monitoring effort in the North Pacific and future prospects.

There is ample opportunity for closer cooperation between PICES and GOOS. One outcome from the workshop was the recognition that existing PICES activities, particularly those of the MONITOR Task Team, are extremely relevant to GOOS and that key CCCC activities in this regard will eventually be transferred into GOOS. Therefore, it was proposed that the terms of reference for the MONITOR Task Team be modified to include the requirement for the Task Team to develop an action plan for how PICES should take an active and leading role in further development and implementation of GOOS at a North Pacific level. The action plan would: 1) identify existing ocean observations in the coastal and open North Pacific that are relevant to GOOS, 2) develop a PICES-GOOS implementation plan based on existing routine observations and augmented by new observations as appropriate, 3) provide a structured plan on how to transfer relevant CCCC program activities to a PICES-GOOS program.

In the development of the Living Marine Resources Panel of GOOS (LMR-GOOS), some existing programs have been nominated as components of the Initial Observing System (IOS) and several in the Pacific Ocean and Southern Ocean are under consideration. A few pilot projects were recommended for incorporation in the IOS, and the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) program, now funded in the northeast Pacific, could be identified as such a pilot project (see Annex 2). PICES can help to identify other components of the IOS and other pilot projects in its region,

can furnish suggestions for the list of desired measurements and preferred methods of making them, and can otherwise assist in the future development of the LMR module.

The participants were impressed by the scope and efficiency of the NEAR GOOS data center. In order to fully serve its scientific and industrial constituency, it should develop the means to acquire biological data.

ARGO (Array for Real-time Geostrophic Oceanography) envisages a deployment of

3,000 floats globally in preparation for GODAE (Global Ocean Data assimilation Experiment). The time of the GODAE is slated to be 2003-2005. Deployment in the Pacific will commence next year in the southeast region and subsequently move into the lower latitudes of the northern hemisphere; deployments in the PICES area probably will start in 2-3 years. These temperature/salinity data will have a large scientific impact on our understanding of ocean dynamics in the subarctic North Pacific. Every effort should be made by PICES to assist in the implementation of this program.

## **MONITOR Annex 2**

### **Pilot Continuous Plankton Recorder Monitoring Program**

At the 1998 MONITOR Task Team meeting at PICES VII, members recognised that there was insufficient zooplankton monitoring in the open eastern North Pacific and concluded with a recommendation that the Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) approach should be adopted, since it is a deployable technology. To this end a proposal was submitted in April 1999 to the North Pacific Marine Research Initiative program and subsequently awarded funding for a two-year pilot CPR project (PIs are Drs. David W. Welch (Canada) and Sonia D. Batten (UK)). The MONITOR TT had identified two routes as priorities, one running north-south from the Gulf of Alaska (Prince William Sound) to California and one east-west from the vicinity of Vancouver Island on a great circle line. As well as this spatial coverage, identifying the seasonality of the plankton is also an important component and originally the intention was to run each route six times a year. A discussion on the appropriate balance of sampling was necessary to evaluate the best sampling scenario possible with the awarded funding. The conclusion was that five north-south CPR tows could be run per year (to establish seasonal variation) and

one east-west, CPR tow per year (to establish spatial scale). The latter run will extend as far as the southern Bering Sea. All coastal/shelf samples would be analysed on these routes, providing 18 km spatial resolution. Only every fourth oceanic sample collected on 4 of the north-south tows and the east-west tow will be enumerated (the other samples will be archived), providing 72 km spacing. The remaining N-S tow would have alternate samples analysed (36 km resolution) to try to identify the spatial scales of patchiness. The first N-S tow will take place in March 2000, followed by April, May, late June and early August. It is intended that the late June tow coincide with the east-west tow and the Line P cruise run from Victoria to Station P. This N-S tow will be the one with more detailed sample analysis. In this way the spatial information on zooplankton available for this one time period will be maximized. The sampling regime will be repeated in 2001.

It was also suggested, and agreed, that the separate copepodite stages of *Neocalanus plumchrus* be enumerated to enable extrapolation of the precise timing of the

main peak of its abundance, following the work of Mackas et al. (1998). This study showed that the abundance peak had shifted in time by almost two months and since this copepod makes up much of the

mesozooplankton biomass in the region, therefore identification of its development, timing and appearance in surface waters appear to be crucial.

## CCCC Endnote 6

### REX Task Team Report

The REX Task Team met in the morning of October 10, 1999. Dr. William T. Peterson called the meeting to order. After welcoming remarks by Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko, all present members and observers introduced themselves. Discussion at the meeting was focussed on accomplishments in 1999 and activities planned for 2000. The meeting ended with a presentation by A. J. Paul on "Over-winter energy changes in herring from Prince William Sound, Alaska". Due to visa and airline reservation problems Dr. Paul was unable to attend the 1999 REX workshop, therefore he gave his talk to the REX Task Team.

#### 1999 activities and accomplishments

The report of the 1998 REX workshop on "Small pelagics and climate change in the North Pacific Ocean" was published in PICES Scientific Report No. 11.

A 2-day REX workshop on "Herring and euphausiids population dynamics" was convened prior to the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting in Vladivostok (October 8-9, 1999). The workshop was highly successful thanks to 16 excellent presentations and the spirited discussions from the 20-30 participants.

A scientific session highlighting recent findings of GLOBEC and GLOBEC-like programs in the North Pacific was co-convened (with BIO) at the PICES Eighth Annual Meeting.

Dr. Tokio Wada reported that compiling a summary of the sampling strategies and methods used to assess the stocks of small pelagic species would be completed during the inter-sessional period. REX scientists from each member country will be requested to contribute to the report. Dr. Wada will collate all responses by next summer and the report will be discussed at the next year's REX meeting in Hakodate.

The Task Team reviewed progress on "compiling a catalogue of historical samples and data sets which are not yet analysed" and deferred this item because the MONITOR Task Team is working on a similar issue. This will be considered after reviewing the MONITOR report.

#### 2000 planned activities and recommendations

The Task Team recommends that a Proceedings of the 1999 REX workshop on "Herring and euphausiids population dynamics" be published in the PICES Scientific Report Series.

After the successful Herring-Euphausiid workshop, the Task Team felt strongly that the next step should be to convene a 2-day workshop on "Trends in herring populations and trophodynamics", prior to the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate. This workshop would examine trends in herring populations and in particular, consider the reasons for the observation that most herring stocks around the Pacific Rim are at or near their historical high levels. Day 1

would focus on comparisons of key biological data related to changes in abundance and distribution of stocks, especially with respect to issues of current interest to PICES including regime shifts. Day 2 would focus on trophodynamic interactions in ecosystems that support herring populations. REX recommends that the second day of the workshop be held in collaboration with MODEL and BASS, and that activities for this day be co-ordinated by Co-Chairmen of each of the three Task Teams. REX requests that PICES support two invited speakers to attend this workshop.

REX discussed the possibility of convening a workshop on smelts (*Osmeridae*) and other nearshore small planktivorous pelagic species. Dr. Peterson, will request that Drs. Douglas E. Hay and A.J. Paul poll the community of fish ecologists to determine the level of interest in such a workshop. A report on this issue will be completed by next spring and results of the study will be presented at the next year's REX meeting.

REX reviewed a proposal by BASS to co-convene a workshop or symposium at the next year's Annual Meeting that would examine linkages between production in subarctic gyres and resulting impacts in coastal and transition zones. REX is very interested in the biological responses in the coastal regions to physical changes within the gyres, and resolved to support this idea.

The Task Team discussed the value of paleoceanographic studies as an aid to producing data on past fluctuations in fish stocks on time scale 100-1000 years (from analysis of fish scales and other debris in sediments of anoxic basins) and recommends that paleoceanographic research be incorporated under the umbrella of REX, and participation by paleoceanographers in PICES activities be encouraged.

Dr. Koji Iida reported that a workshop on fisheries acoustics is being planned by Hokkaido University, to be held on the weekend following the PICES Ninth Annual Meeting. Since many REX scientists are interested in this topic, the Task Team agreed that the workshop be very relevant to goals of the Organization and recommends that PICES endorse the workshop.

Dr. Wada requested that the Task Team accept his resignation as Co-Chairman of REX. The Task Team recognized that Dr. Wada has served PICES for many years and was instrumental in founding REX, and expressed its gratitude for Dr. Wada's leadership and efforts. REX recommends that Dr. Tokimasa Kobayashi (Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute, Kushiro, Japan) be appointed the new Co-Chairman.

# REPORT OF THE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE ON DATA EXCHANGE

٢٣

٢٤

The Chairman, Mr. Robin Brown, opened the meeting on October 9, 1999, and welcomed the participants (*TCODE Endnote 1*). The meeting continued on October 13, 1999. The meeting agenda was reviewed and a new business item (Global International Waters Assessment - a UNEP program) was added (*TCODE Endnote 2*).

## **Review progress on items in the 1999 Workplan (Agenda Item 3)**

### Additions and improvements to TCODE web pages

Improvements to PICES TCODE web pages were discussed. The Committee proposed to expand the representation of western Pacific data sources (including NEAR-GOOS).

### Restructure Inventory of Long Time Series for consistency with metadata standards (FDGC)

The PICES Inventory of Long-Term Time Series has been incorporated into the Bering Sea Biophysical Metadatabase and conform to the FDGC metadata standards as far as descriptor fields. All 90-95 records were transferred to the metadatabase without any problems. Many records have fields with no data, so TCODE members may be contacted in the near future to assist with this work.

### "Promotion" of the PICES TCODE web pages

Some work has been done on promoting the PICES TCODE web pages through the use of metatags. However, search results are uneven. More should be done with

reciprocal links in association with which PICES is affiliated.

The possibility of creating a "mirror" of the PICES web site was discussed. Different working hours of PICES countries sometimes can be seen in a busy web server. Having a mirror site could be of use to PICES members on the west side of the Pacific. In cooperation with TINRO, TCODE will attempt to establish a mirror site on a test basis.

Members suggested that the PICES TCODE page should link directly to the important NEAR-GOOS home pages in Japan, Russia, China and Korea.

To increase the useful content on the PICES web page, TCODE suggested that the Secretariat explore ways to allow members to add materials to the web site in a dynamic way. The Secretariat is small and this strategy distributes the work and permits a large user-base to contribute useful content.

### Assist MONITOR Task Team to assemble detailed list of ongoing monitoring activities

The MONITOR Task Teams asked for support to make the results of a survey of existing monitoring programs in the North Pacific available on the PICES web site. The MONITOR report is approaching completion. TCODE will be asked to assist in making this information available on the web. The monitoring station/transect list will be examined to see if all entries are listed in the Inventory of Long Time Series and the Inventory will be updated as required.

### Assist BASS Task Team to identify proposed research cruises

TCODE did not have any contact with the BASS Task Team on this issue. TCODE reviewed and updated information and links on research vessel schedules, but Dr. Toshio Nagai showed that research vessel cruise plans, derived from National Oceanographic Program documents submitted to IOC, had not been updated for several years. Japan was a notable exception to these observations.

Assist MODEL Task Team in identifying sources of nutrient data

No contact was made from the MODEL Task Team to TCODE regarding this activity.

**Updates on data management activities in PICES nations (Agenda Item 4)**

Canada

Mr. Brown presented an outline of the National Biogeochemical database that is under development in Canada. Further details are available at <http://www.meds-sdmm.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/snap/Default.htm>.

China

Mr. Ling Tong reported on the China GLOBEC-I project in the Yellow Sea and GLOBEC-II project in the Yellow Sea and East China Sea. A database is now being constructed for the China GLOBEC-II project. The China NEAR-GOOS database (real-time and delayed-mode) is now operational.

Japan

Dr. Nagai presented a summary of data management activities at JODC, including:

- Japan NEAR-GOOS delayed mode database (<http://near-goos.jodc.jhd.go.jp>)
- Tidal prediction and observations ([http://www.jhd.go.jp/cue/ENGAN/real\\_time\\_tide/htm/select/english/kck\\_mainEG.htm](http://www.jhd.go.jp/cue/ENGAN/real_time_tide/htm/select/english/kck_mainEG.htm))

- NOPACCS (Northwest Pacific Carbon Cycle Study) CD-ROM dataset compilation. This CD covers nine cruises from 1992-1995 and contains data on T, S, DO, phosphate, nitrate, pH, chlorophyll a and particulate organic carbon.

Korea

Dr. Kee-Soo Nam reported on data management activities for NEAR-GOOS, including software developed to gather and serve oceanographic data automatically in real-time from several countries in the NEAR-GOOS area, all in a homepage format at <http://near-goos.kordi.re.kr/>.

Russia

Dr. Igor D. Rostov provided a summary of data management activities at POI (Pacific Oceanological Institute) and discussed three presentations that will be made at the Data Visualization Workshop on Oct. 12 and 14. In addition, Dr. Rostov reported on the new POI homepage and the data sources available there.

NEAR-GOOS activities involved IOC/WESTPAC training on data management, and development of POI NEAR-GOOS delayed mode database. There is also a web site at <http://www.pacific.marine.su/cdsdb/ngpdb/>.

POI data sets are regularly passed to NODC and WDC-B for international exchange. Software to access this information has been made available.

Dr. Nikolay A. Rykov reported that FERHRI (Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological Institute) has a website <http://www.hydromet.com> where information can be found on various datasets managed by the institute.

FERHRI is involved in several international projects using PALACE floats. A Regional Oceanographic Data Center (RODC) was created to collect, store, access and distribute data on the Russian Far East oceans. RODC is involved in the GODAR project. Data (~90,000 ocean stations) will be available on the open ocean (no marginal seas) through the World Data Center.

A new Russian Federal Program (1999-2007) "An Integrated System of Information about the World Ocean" was introduced.

Dr. Igor I. Shevchenko said that the Fisheries Committee of the Russian Federation will establish the infrastructure necessary to make biological information available. A monitoring system will be put into place that will track fishing vessels using satellite systems.

#### U.S.A

No report.

#### **TCODE Workshop on Data Visualization (Agenda Item 5)**

The agenda and opening session of the Workshop on the application of scientific visualization to marine ecosystem analysis were reviewed. The session was well attended and talks were very interesting. The Committee felt that this was a valuable topic area and this workshop should be repeated periodically, perhaps every two years, at PICES Annual Meetings.

#### **Other activities relevant to TCODE (Agenda Item 6)**

##### WG 13 CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific - proposed CO<sub>2</sub> Data Workshop

A joint meeting of WG 13 with TCODE and JGOFS North Pacific Task Team is planned prior to the next PICES Annual Meeting to

deal with exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> data and metadata, and to design and organize a CO<sub>2</sub> Data Synthesis Workshop in 2001. TCODE strongly supported this activity and has included this as a high priority item in the TCODE work plan for 1999/2000.

#### PICES and GOOS

The importance of various GOOS components (NEAR-GOOS, GOOS-LMR, GOOS-HOTO, GOOS Coastal Module, GOOS Climate module) was discussed. TCODE feels that these are very important activities for PICES and recommends that PICES play an active role in planning and implementation of GOOS. The Committee noted that the NEAR-GOOS project has provided an excellent example of data management and data exchange, amongst four nations with different languages and very different communication and computing infrastructure.

#### JGOFS - Data inventory

The International JGOFS project has decided to use the Global Change Master Directory (<http://gcmd.nasa.gov/>) as a repository of JGOFS metadata records.

#### GLOBEC Project Office - Data management activities

Very little progress is apparent at the GLOBEC International Project Office (IPO) on this issue. They are still in the process of staffing the IPO who will coordinate this activity. TCODE will continue to correspond with the IPO on this issue.

#### Proposal for new structure for PICES Annual Meeting

The Committee approved the suggestion to move the Science Board Symposium to the first day.

If there is a decision to emphasize the communication of science more through posters, then the status of posters within PICES has to be raised. Posters should be placed in an enclosed area that is used for collective coffee breaks, luncheons or socials. At the same time, PICES should explore the opportunity for "electronic posters", software demonstrations, etc. PICES should institute an award for best poster, software demo, home page demo etc. to encourage scientists to participate.

The consensus among members seems to be that international travel opportunities for students are limited, if not non-existent, and that a young scientist travel fund is a good idea.

### **New Business (Agenda Item 7)**

#### Organizations and programs relevant to PICES

The Committee reviewed the Standing List of International Organizations and Programs and made some suggestions for additions and rankings. This issue will be discussed at the Science Board meeting.

#### Proposed changes to the PICES Handbook

The Committee agreed with the Science Board proposal regarding changes that should be made to the Handbook for Chairmen and Convenors (definition of Advisory Panel, improving Best Presentation Award advice, number of approved keynote speakers, etc.).

#### Topic list of future Science Board Sessions

The Committee reviewed the list of possible topics for future Science Board Symposia. No additional topics were received from TCODE members.

#### PICES X - Anniversary Science Symposium - nominee for organizing committee

Dr. Thomas C. Royer volunteered to act as TCODE representative for this Committee.

#### Proposed Symposium - Utilization of fishing vessel monitoring, tracking, and data collection systems to advance marine ecosystem research

TCODE strongly supported this proposal by Drs. Bernard A. Megrey and Vidar G. Wespestad. Canada is pursuing various "ships of opportunity" programs with Japan and China. Russia has strong interests in this topic as well.

#### Global International Waters Assessment Project

Dr. Megrey reported on his meeting with officials from the Global International Waters Assessment (GIWA) Project. They are looking for "super-regional" partners in this activity and one of the "super-regions" is a very good match for PICES. The Committee recommends that Science Board explore this in more detail and ask the Secretariat to assemble additional information and look for possibilities and implications of establishing links with GIWA.

### **TCODE Workplan for 2000 (Agenda Item 8)**

#### Joint WG 13 / JGOFS NPTT / TCODE Workshop on CO<sub>2</sub> data

TCODE strongly supports this workshop (see Agenda Item 6) to be held immediately prior to PICES IX in Tsukuba, Japan). There will be a requirement to assemble inventories of CO<sub>2</sub> data holdings prior to this meeting. The inventory project will be led by Dr. Alex Kozyr of CDIAC, but TCODE members may be asked to assist in this phase.



Maintenance and improvements to TCODE web pages

The Committee will revise the content of the TCODE web pages, with a goal to improving the links to information sources on the western side of the Pacific.

MONITOR Task Team - web pages

The Committee will assist the MONITOR Task Team/CCCC-IP to assemble web pages describing the existing monitoring programs in the North Pacific.

"Electronic Poster Session" at PICES IX

The Committee would like to sponsor an "electronic poster session" to demonstrate software, databases and web pages at the next PICES Annual Meeting. Two options were discussed:

- Shorten the TCODE business meeting (prior to opening ceremony) to one-half day and allocate the remaining time to these demonstrations; or
- Include an "electronic poster session" component to an improved PICES poster session at PICES IX. This is the preferred option.

The Committee recognized that the scale and success of such a session is strongly dependent on the facilities that would be available at Hakodate, but preliminary information suggests that the venue will have highly advanced network and multimedia facilities.

The "electronic poster session" could also include demonstrations by software vendors, if there was sufficient interest.

**TCODE Endnote 1**

**Participation List**

Canada

Robin M. Brown (Chairman)\*

China

Ling Tong\*

Japan

Tatsu Kishida\*

Toshio Nagai\*

Yutaka Nagata

Korea

Hae-Seok Kang

Kee-Soo Nam\*

Russia

Igor D. Rostov\*

Nikolay A. Rykov

Igor I. Shevchenko\*

U.S.A.

Bernard A. Megrey\*

Thomas C. Royer\*

\* TCODE Committee member

**TCODE Endnote 2**

**Agenda**

1. Introduction of members.
2. Adoption of agenda.
3. Review progress on items in the 1999 Workplan:

- Additions and improvements to TCODE web pages;
  - Restructure Inventory of Long Time Series to make this inventory consistent with metadata standards (FDGC);
  - Promotion" of the PICES TCODE web pages;
  - Assist MONITOR Task Team to assemble detailed list of ongoing monitoring activities;
  - Assist BASS Task Team to identify proposed research cruises;
  - Assist MODEL Task Team in identifying sources of nutrient data that are not yet in international databases.
4. Updates on data management activities in PICES nations (to provide an opportunity for TCODE members to exchange information on any new data management activities in their countries that might be relevant for PICES or other activities).
  5. TCODE Workshop on Data Visualization (short report from co-convenors).
  6. Other activities relevant to TCODE:
    - WG 13 CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific - proposed Data Workshop
    - PICES and GOOS
    - JGOFS - Data Inventory
    - GLOBEC Project Office - Data management activities
    - Proposal for new structure for PICES Annual Meetings (for discussion and recommendations to Science Board)
  7. New business (new agenda items added at the time of the meeting)
  8. TCODE Workplan for 2000
  9. Summary of TCODE recommendations for Science Board

## REPORT OF THE PUBLICATION COMMITTEE

83

80

The Publication Committee met in Vladivostok on October 11 and 14, 1999. Participants in both meetings were Drs. Warren S. Wooster (Interim Chairman), Howard J. Freeland, Vyacheslav B. Lobanov, and Stewart (Skip) M. McKinnell. Dr. Takashige Sugimoto attended the first meeting; Mr. Simon Richert (Elsevier) attended the second. The following matters were discussed.

### **Status of present publications: Annual Reports; Scientific Reports; PICES Press**

*Annual Reports* are published each year within a few months of the Annual Meetings. They continue to grow in size as work of the organization increases. *Scientific Reports* are occasional technical reports that are usually proposed by one of the Scientific Committees or Program and approved by Science Board. Costs of these and other publications are not trivial, so the number of such reports must be limited. The newsletter, *PICES Press*, appears twice a year.

The quality of these publications is high. They constitute a vital component of the PICES program of information dissemination. The question of electronic publication is considered under item 4.

### **Treatment of papers submitted to Annual Meetings, extended abstracts, proceedings**

In order to increase the availability of extended abstracts of papers to be presented at Annual Meetings, it is proposed that they initially be submitted in electronic form and posted on the PICES Home Page. Local Organizing Committees should then arrange to have a suitable number of copies printed for distribution at

the meetings. It was generally agreed that proceedings of the scientific sessions would be too expensive to produce and unnecessary when extended abstracts are available through the web. Utility of the compilations of short abstracts produced by the Secretariat and available at the meetings would be greatly improved if they were indexed.

### **Peer-reviewed scientific journal, alternatives (e.g., *Progress in Oceanography*)**

While such a journal would have value in advertising the work of PICES, it is probably not necessary for publication of significant papers and would be expensive to produce. For the time being, use of special issues of established journals, such as *Progress in Oceanography* or *Fisheries Oceanography*, seems a good way for publication of papers from selected symposia. Mr. Richert expressed the satisfaction of Elsevier with the recent publication of the PICES Science Board Symposium on "Ecosystem dynamics in the eastern and western gyres of the subarctic Pacific" and suggested procedures that will facilitate production of future issues – e.g., designation of a central point of contact for information exchange, establishment at an early date of the number of papers and a time table for receipt and review of manuscripts, etc. Detailed instructions are being revised and will soon be available.

### **Electronic publication: merits, costs, and application**

The PICES Home Page is already used for dissemination of many PICES abstracts, reports, and other publications, and this use will increase. As proposed above (item 2), the web would be an appropriate place to

post extended abstracts for the Annual Meetings. Consideration should be given to producing a CD-ROM version of the collected extended abstracts for those who would find this format convenient.

### **Translation of recommended scientific papers**

When there is a clear demand from a Scientific Committee for translation into English of a work of exceptional merit, and this recommendation is endorsed by the Science Board, the Secretariat should determine the most effective way for this to be accomplished. Timely and accurate translation is expensive, so the requirement may be difficult to meet within current budgetary constraints. Assistance from member states with a translation capability would be particularly helpful.

### **Other matters: Editorial Board; special publication for 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary**

The current Publication Committee could serve as an Editorial Board. The Committee should continue to have a member from each country from whom a chairman would be elected. The Committee would report to the Science Board and would keep under review the publication policy of PICES. It was agreed that Dr. Howard J. Freeland should be asked to serve as Chairman from the end of PICES VIII.

Proposals are under consideration for a special publication in celebration of the 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the Organization. The Committee was generally in favour of the idea, but felt that additional information on the nature and contents of such a publication are needed before the Committee can formulate a recommendation. It was also suggested that the Science Board consider the utility of publishing lists of selected papers, nominated by member states, that reflect scientific accomplishments of the past decade that could be attributed to the existence of PICES.

# REPORT OF THE FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

٢٣

٢٤

The Finance and Administration Committee (F&A) met on Oct. 12 and 14 under the chairmanship of Dr. Richard J. Marasco, and Drs. Alexander S. Bychkov and Stewart (Skip) M. McKinnell acted as rapporteurs (see *F&A Endnote 1* for list of participants).

## **Agenda Item 1. Opening by the Chairman**

The Chairman called the meeting to order and welcomed the participants, emphasizing that the job of the Committee is to review financial and administrative matters in keeping with the Rules of the Organization and to provide a report to the Governing Council for consideration and adoption.

## **Agenda Item 2. Adoption of agenda**

The Committee reviewed and adopted the agenda without change.

## **Agenda item 3. Appointment of Executive Secretary and Assistant Executive Secretary**

The F&A Chairman briefed the Committee on the recent changes in the PICES Secretariat. Dr. Alexander S. Bychkov was selected as the Executive Secretary, effective June 1, 1999, and Dr. Stewart M. McKinnell was appointed as the Assistant Executive Secretary, effective September 7, 1999. Dr. Marasco mentioned that they were chosen from among highly competent and qualified candidates and expressed his confidence that Drs. Bychkov and McKinnell have the best assets to bring PICES to new heights and dimensions, and advance the work of the Secretariat as a team.

## **Agenda Item 4. Audited accounts for fiscal year 1998**

The Committee reviewed the Auditor's Report (*F&A Endnote 2*) and recommends that Council approve it on the endorsement of the Executive Secretary.

The Committee recommends that Council retain *Flader and Greene* as auditor for another year.

## **Agenda Item 5. Budget**

### **a. Estimated accounts for fiscal year 1999**

The Committee reviewed and discussed the estimated accounts for 1999.

The Executive Secretary noted that the surplus in the budget is from the *Personnel Services* category, as the Executive Secretary position was vacant from Jan. 1 to May 31, and the Assistant Executive Secretary position was vacant from June 1 to Sept. 7.

The Committee recommends that Council accept the estimated accounts for 1999.

### **b. Budget for fiscal year 2000**

The Committee reviewed the proposed 2000 budget, about a 3% increase from 1999 (*F&A Endnote 3*). The Committee recommends that Council approve the budget of CDN \$590,000, using CDN \$58,400 from the Working Capital Fund surplus, thereby limiting the fees for each Party to CDN \$88,600.

The Japanese Government considers that, as many member countries are under severe financial condition, in order to continue financial contribution to international organizations, the budget of international organizations should be the

minimum necessary. Therefore, the Japanese Government is requesting to many international organizations to keep basically *nominal zero increase* of budget, and PICES cannot be an exception.

**c. Forecast budget for fiscal year 2001**

The forecast 2001 budget of CDN \$607,000 was examined by the Committee and is recommended to Council for information. The 2001 budget will be considered further at PICES IX.

The following guideline was generally accepted to assist member countries to prepare their funding requests to cover annual contributions, and the Executive Secretary to develop future budgets:

*For planning purposes it would be reasonable for the Contracting Parties to expect that the projected budget and annual contributions will increase at the rate of inflation in Canada, currently about 3% per year. Justification and finalization of the budget will occur at the Annual Meeting prior to the beginning of the new PICES fiscal year.*

The Committee further discussed a general approach to developing PICES budgets. At the PICES Seventh Annual Meeting, Council approved a 10% increase in the budget (CDN \$574,000 for 1999 compared to CDN \$521,000 for 1998). At the same time the 1999 annual fees increased only by 1.4% (CDN \$86,000 for 1999 compared to CDN \$84,800 for 1998) as Council approved a transfer of CDN \$58,000 from the Working Capital Fund surpluses to the General Fund to reduce 1999 contributions for each Party. For the year 2000 an equal 3% increase in the budget and annual fees is proposed. To cover the difference between the budget (CDN \$590,000) and the total contribution (CDN \$531,600) a transfer of CDN \$58,400 from the Working Capital Fund to the General Fund is recommended.

The Executive Secretary reminded the Committee that the Working Capital Fund is set at CDN \$100,000. The amount of funds in this account may be increased, mainly by miscellaneous income (GST rebates, income tax levies, etc.) or by voluntary contributions. According to 1995-1999 statistics, the Working Capital Fund could increase annually by about CDN \$40,000 from the miscellaneous income, if non-Canadians fill one of the positions (Executive Secretary or Assistant Executive Secretary) in the Secretariat, and if there is no demand to transfer funds to build up the Home Leave and Relocation Fund to its maximum. With this level of income flow, the practice of transferring surpluses from the Working Capital Fund to the General Fund is a viable approach to balancing accounts, only if the transfer does not exceed about CDN \$35,000.

Two possible approaches to improve the current situation and balance the total budget and the annual fees (for example) are:

- To keep the increase in the annual fees to about 2% higher than the increase in the total budget during the next three years (2001-2003);
- To request the Contracting Parties to pay a one-time contribution in addition to their annual assessment in the year 2001, and then keep the equal increase in the total budget and annual fees in future years;

Considering that the Contracting Parties are generally cautious about increasing their annual contributions, the current imbalance between the annual contributions and the annual budget, and recognizing that PICES must improve its financial situation, the Committee recommends that PICES seek outside funding, consistent with the goals of the Organization, by establishing a Fund-Raising Committee.

**d. Working Capital Fund**

The Executive Secretary forecast is that the Working Capital Fund will have a surplus of CDN \$75,594 at the end of 1999. It is recommended that CDN \$58,400 of the estimated surplus be transferred to the General Fund and that the residual surplus of CDN \$17,194 be transferred to the Trust Fund.

#### **e. Home Leave Relocation Fund**

The Executive Secretary reported that funds expended this year for the relocation of the new Assistant Executive Secretary and his family to the seat of the Secretariat will be recovered from the income tax levies from foreign staff to adjust the Fund to a maximum of CDN \$110,000 at the end of the fiscal year.

#### **f. Trust Fund**

The Executive Secretary reported that this year, approximately CDN \$30,000 from the Trust Fund was used to bring Canadian, Chinese, Korean, Russian, Mexican and young scientists to this year's Annual Meeting. The Trust Fund is forecast to be CDN \$71,336 at the end of 1999. After the recommended transfer of CDN \$17,194 from the Working Capital Fund, the Trust Fund will increase to approximately CDN \$88,530 in the beginning of 2000. Working Capital Fund surpluses have allowed this fund to be replenished for the last several years. It is unlikely that surpluses of this magnitude will exist in the future, so activities supported by the Trust Fund will need to be reduced accordingly.

#### **Agenda Item 6. Annual contributions**

The Executive Secretary presented historical statistics on the payment schedule of annual fees to the Organization. As stated by Regulation 5(ii) of Financial Regulations, all national contributions to PICES "shall be considered due as of the first day of the financial year (January 1) to which they relate". The record of annual payments illustrates that most contributions were not received until the third or fourth quarter of the PICES fiscal year. This creates problems for the general operations of the Secretariat, and results in much lower interest income in PICES accounts. The Committee recommends that Council request cooperation from member countries

to provide annual contributions by January 1<sup>st</sup>. The Committee suggests that Council instruct the Chairman to send letters, indicating the importance of prompt payment, to appropriate authorities in each country, as identified by national delegates.

#### **Agenda item 7. Tenth anniversary of PICES**

The Executive Secretary indicated that PICES will hold its Tenth Annual Meeting in 2001, and in 2002 PICES will celebrate its tenth anniversary (the Convention for a North Pacific Marine Science Organization entered into force on March 24, 1992). The Secretariat and Science Board proposed that PICES X be hosted by the Secretariat at the location of the First Annual Meeting, in Victoria, Canada. The Committee suggests that various activities be planned to commemorate the anniversary (e.g. to hold an Anniversary Symposium in conjunction with PICES X, to publish a new PICES brochure and a special scientific publication, etc). The Executive Secretary noted that the proposed activities will require additional funding, and this was accepted in principle. The Committee recommends that Council send a request to member countries and agencies for voluntary contributions to support these special events. It was suggested that a PICES Anniversary Steering Committee be formed to develop a plan by January 1, 2000.

#### **Agenda Item 8. Time, place and structure of future Annual Meetings of the Organization and subsidiary bodies**

The Committee reviewed possible dates for the Ninth Annual Meeting in Hakodate, Japan, in 2000, and recommends to Council that the meeting be held October 23-28. Meetings of Working Groups, Task Teams and others groups held in conjunction with the Annual Meeting would occur before October 23<sup>rd</sup>. These dates were established



in consultation with NPAFC (North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission) to facilitate participation by those who attend both Annual Meetings organized consecutively in nearby locations, and to allow scientists to attend the joint NPAFC-PICES Workshop on Factors Affecting Production of Juvenile Salmon. This Workshop will be held immediately after PICES IX (October 29) in Tokyo.

The Committee recommends that Council approve the proposal that the PICES Secretariat host the Tenth Annual Meeting in Victoria, Canada. Dates of the meeting will be confirmed at next year's Annual Meeting.

#### **Agenda Item 9. Space, facilities and services**

Space, general services and communications are provided to the Secretariat by the Government of Canada through the Department of Fisheries and Oceans. This agreement commenced April 1, 1992, and continues indefinitely with a review every three years. The Executive Secretary reported that in 1998, the Canadian Government introduced a new comprehensive fixed cost of CDN \$4,000 per year for office space/services at the Institute of Ocean Sciences. He also indicated that the more profile and larger space provided in 1998 should be sufficient for the Secretariat's needs over the next few years.

#### **Agenda Item 10. PICES Intern Program**

The Committee reviewed and revised the proposal to establish a PICES Intern

Program and recommends its approval-in-principle to Council. If Council wishes to implement the Program in the next fiscal year, it will be necessary to use the Trust Fund, as there is no special allocation for the Intern Program in the 2000 fiscal year budget. Permanent support for the Intern Program will require replenishing the Trust Fund or developing some other mechanism to support it, as funds used for the Intern Program will reduce Trust Fund support available for other activities.

#### **Agenda Item 11. Other business**

PICES has developed an organizational structure that allows it to carry out its business on an annual basis. Recently, Science Board encouraged Scientific Committees and Programs to develop strategic plans for their work in order to promote and facilitate longer-term planning and direction. The Committee discussed the proposal to form a PICES Bureau (consisting of the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of Governing Council, the PICES Executive Secretary and the Chairmen of Science Board and F&A Committee) that can perform the same function for the organization as a whole. It is the opinion of the Committee that a new administrative body is not necessary at this time. As the PICES Chairman provides leadership in long-term planning for the Organization and monitoring progress towards PICES objectives, he/she may call a mid-term meeting of PICES officers for that purpose. The meeting could be held at a convenient and cost-effective location to be determined by the Chairman in consultation with the Executive Secretary.

#### **F&A Endnote 1**

#### **Participants and Observers**

Canada

Richard J. Beamish

China

Qian-Fei Liu  
Hai-Qing Li

Igor I. Shevchenko  
Alexander Kurmazov

U.S.A.

Dorothy Bergamaschi

Japan

Makoto Kashiwai  
Koji Harunari

Other

Hyung-Tack Huh (Chairman, PICES)  
Vera Alexander (Vice-Chairman,  
PICES)

Republic of Korea

Jin Yeong Kim  
Young Shil Kang

Richard J. Marasco (Chairman, F&A)  
Alexander S. Bychkov (Executive  
Secretary) (Rapporteur)  
Stewart (Skip) M. McKinnell (Assistant  
Executive Secretary) (Rapporteur)

Russia

**F&A Endnote 2**

**Auditor's Report (1998) to the Organization**

Flader and Greene  
Chartered Accountants  
9768 Third Street,  
Sidney, B.C.  
V8L 3A4

To the Council of the North Pacific Marine Science Organization

We have audited the statement of financial position of the North Pacific Marine Science Organization as at December 31, 1998, and the statement of operations and changes in fund balances for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the organization's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

In our opinion, these financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the organization as at December 31, 1998, and the results of its operations and the changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Sidney, B.C. Canada  
March 3, 1999

Flader and Greene  
Chartered Accountants

**NORTH PACIFIC MARINE SCIENCE ORGANIZATION  
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION  
AS AT DECEMBER 31, 1998**

	<u>1998</u>	<u>1997</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current Assets</b>		
Cash and term deposits	\$ 365,201	\$ 366,807
Accounts receivable	\$ 12,000	
Due from Contracting Parties	\$ 7,376	
	<b>\$ 384,577</b>	<b>\$ 366,807</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCES</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 12,801	\$ 9,486
<b>Fund Balances</b>		
Working Capital Fund	\$ 162,620	\$ 146,249
Trust Fund	\$ 99,156	\$ 101,064
Home Leave Relocation Fund	\$110,000	\$ 110,000
	<b>\$ 371,776</b>	<b>\$ 357,313</b>
	<b>\$ 384,577</b>	<b>\$ 366,807</b>

**NORTH PACIFIC MARINE SCIENCE ORGANIZATION  
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES  
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1998**

	General Fund	Working Capital Fund	Trust Fund	Home Leave Relocation Fund	1998 Total	1997 Total
<b>Fund Balances</b> , beginning of year	\$ -	\$ 146,247	\$ 101,064	\$ 110,000	\$357,311	\$ 318,104
<b>Sources of Funds</b>						
Contributions from Contracting Parties	508,800	-	5,609	-	514,409	508,800
Inter-Fund transfers	(7,829)	-	5,234	2,595	-	
Interest and other income – (Note 4)	26,456	4,526	2,589	3,780	37,351	32,784
<b>Fund Balances</b> , before expenditures	527,427		150,773	114,496	116,375	909,071
	859,688					
<b>Expenditures</b>						
Personnel services	280,215	-	-	-	280,215	286,163
Travel	72,300	2,874	38,430	-	113,604	108,156
Communication	16,832	-	-	-	16,832	26,124
Contractual services	17,353	-	-	-	17,353	12,628
Printing	45,998	-	-	-	45,998	35,185
Supplies	6,311	-	-	-	6,311	4,376
Equipment	10,996	-	-	-	10,996	10,898
Annual General Meeting expenditures	37,440	-	-	-	37,440	5,296
Workshops	-	-	-	-	-	11,708
Relocation	-	-	-	6,375	6,375	114
Miscellaneous	2,171	-	-	-	2,171	1,727
	489,616		2,874	38,430	6,375	537,295
	502,375					
<b>Net Funds Available</b>	37,811	147,899	76,066	110,000	371,776	357,313
<b>Transfers to Working Capital Fund</b>	(37,811)	37,811	-	-	-	-
<b>Transfer of Working Capital Surplus</b>		(23,090)	23,090			
<b>Fund Balances</b> , end of year (Note 5)	\$ -	\$ 162,620	\$ 99,156	\$ 110,000	371,776	\$ 357,313

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**DECEMBER 31, 1998**

**1. Purpose of the Organization**

The North Pacific Marine Science Organization (PICES) is an intergovernmental non-profit scientific organization whose present members include Canada, Japan, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea, the Russian Federation and the United States of America. The purpose of the organization is to promote and coordinate marine scientific research in order to advance scientific knowledge of the North Pacific and adjacent seas.

**2. Accounting policies**

The financial statements are prepared in accordance with the North Pacific Marine Science Organization's Financial Regulations and are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies used in the preparation of these financial statements:

a. Fund accounting

The Working Capital Fund represents the accumulated excess of contributions provided from Contracting Parties over expenditures in the General Fund. The purposes of the General Fund and Working Capital Fund are established by Regulation 6 of the Organization Financial Regulation.

The Trust Fund was established in 1994 for the purpose of facilitating participation of a broad spectrum of scientists in activities of the Organization.

The Home Leave Relocation Fund was established in 1996 to pay relocation and home leave expenses of new employees and their dependents to the seat of the Secretariat and removal after period of employment has ended, and provide home leave for international staff. This Fund is set at a maximum of \$110,000.

b. Capital assets

Capital assets acquired by the Organization are expensed in the year of acquisition.

c. Income tax

The Organization is a non-taxable organization under the Privileges and Immunities (International Organizations) Act (Canada).

d. Foreign exchange

Transactions originating in foreign currencies are translated at the exchange rate prevailing at the transaction dates. Assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currency are translated to equivalent Canadian amounts at the current rate of exchange at the statement of finance position date.

**3. Commitments**

General administrative and communication services are provided to the Secretariat of the Organization by the Government of Canada through the Department of Fisheries and Oceans.

This agreement commenced on April 1, 1992, and continues indefinitely with a review every three years. The fixed cost for office space is \$4,000 per year. Services provided are invoiced quarterly.

#### 4. Interest and other income

	General Fund	Working Capital Fund	Trust Fund	Home Leave Relocation Fund
Interest income	\$ -	\$ 4,526	\$ 2,589	\$ 3,780
Income tax levies	21,882	-	-	-
GST rebates	4,574	-	-	-
	<u>\$ 26,456</u>	<u>\$ 4,526</u>	<u>\$ 2,589</u>	<u>\$ 3,780</u>

#### 5. Working Capital Fund surplus

Pursuant to the decision of the Governing Council, \$ 58,000 of the funds held in the Working Capital Fund will be transferred to reduce 1999 fees.

#### 6. Uncertainty due to the year 2000 issue

The Year 2000 Issue arises because many computerized system use two digits rather than four to identify a year. Date-sensitive systems may recognize the year 2000 as 1900 or some other date, resulting in errors when information using year 2000 dates is processed. In addition, similar problems may arise in some systems which use certain dates in 1999 to represent something other than a date.

The effect of the Year 2000 Issue may be experienced before, on, or after January 1, 2000, and, if not addressed, the impact on operations and financial reporting may range from minor errors to significant system failure, which could affect an entity's ability to conduct normal business operations. It is not possible to be certain that all aspects of the Year 2000 Issue affecting the entity, including those related to the efforts of contracting parties, suppliers, or other third parties, will be fully resolved.

**F&A Endnote 3****Budget for fiscal year 2000**

<b>Category</b>	<b>Allotment</b>
Personnel Services	288,000
Annual Meeting	42,000
Special Meetings	51,000
Travel	85,000
Printing	57,000
Communication	31,000
Equipment	10,000
Supplies	7,000
Contractual Services	16,000
Miscellaneous	3,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>590,000</b>

  

<b>Source</b>	<b>Contribution</b>
Contributions from six Contracting Parties	531,600
Transfer of Working Capital Fund surplus	58,400
<b>Total</b>	<b>590,000</b>

  

<b>2000 Annual Fee for each Party</b>	<b>88,600</b>
---------------------------------------	---------------



## COMPOSITION OF THE ORGANIZATION



### ***Governing Council***

**Dr. Hyung-Tack Huh, Chairman**  
**Dr. Vera Alexander, Vice-Chairman**

Canada Dr. William G. Doubleday  
Dr. Laura Richards

China Mr. Zheng-Ping Tang  
Mr. Jing-Guang Li

Japan Dr. Makoto Kashiwai  
Mr. Yukiya Amano

Korea Mr. Sung-Ho Joo  
Mr. Lae-Hyung Hong

Russia Dr. Lev N. Bocharov  
Dr. Sergey E. Dyagilev

U.S.A. Dr. James W. Balsiger  
Dr. Vera Alexander

### ***Finance and Administration Committee***

**Dr. Richard J. Marasco, Chairman**

Canada Dr. Laura Richards  
Dr. William G. Doubleday

China Mr. Zhong-Wei Liu  
Mr. Yong Li

Japan Dr. Makoto Kashiwai  
Mr. Hiroshi Oka

Korea Mr. Sung-Ho Joo

Russia Dr. Alexander A. Kurmazov  
Dr. Igor I. Shevchenko

U.S.A. Ms. Dorothy Bergamaschi

### ***Science Board***

**Ms. Patricia Livingston, Chairman**

Dr. Tsutomu Ikeda  
(Biological Oceanography Committee)

Dr. Douglas E. Hay  
(Fishery Science Committee)

Dr. Alexander V. Tkalin  
(Marine Environmental Quality Committee)

Dr. Vyacheslav B. Lobanov  
(Physical Oceanography & Climate  
Committee)

### ***Secretariat***

**Executive Secretary**  
Dr. Alexander S. Bychkov

**Assistant Executive Secretary**  
Dr. Stewart (Skip) M. McKinnell

**Administrative Assistant**  
Ms. Christina Chiu

**Secretary**  
Ms. Christie McAlister

### ***Biological Oceanography Committee***

Canada	Dr. Kenneth L. Denman Dr. Paul J. Harrison Dr. David L. Mackas	China	Prof. Ya-Qu Chen Dr. Song Sun Dr. Ming-Yuan Zhu
Japan	* <b>Dr. Tsutomu Ikeda</b> Prof. Takashige Sugimoto Dr. Atsushi Tsuda	Korea	Dr. Woong-Seo Kim Dr. Jae-Hyung Shim Dr. Sinjae Yoo
Russia	Dr. Boris N. Kotenev Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko Prof. Victor V. Sapozhnikov	U.S.A.	Dr. Linda Jones Prof. Michael M. Mullin Prof. Patricia A. Wheeler

### ***Fishery Science Committee***

Canada	Dr. Richard J. Beamish * <b>Dr. Douglas E. Hay</b> Dr. Laura Richards	China	Mr. Jia-Hua Cheng Dr. Xian-Shi Jin Prof. Qi-Sheng Tang
Japan	Dr. Akihiko Hara Dr. Tokimasa Kobayashi Dr. Takashi Minami	Korea	Dr. Suam Kim Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang
Russia	Dr. Leonid V. Borets Dr. Victor V. Lapko Dr. Mikhail A. Stepanenko	U.S.A.	Dr. George W. Boehlert Dr. Gordon H. Kruse Dr. Loh-Lee Low

### ***Marine Environmental Quality Committee***

Canada	Dr. Richard F. Addison Mr. Steve Samis	China	Prof. Shi-Zuo Feng Prof. Xiao-Ping Jia Prof. Jia-Yi Zhou
Japan	Mr. Susumu Ota Prof. Makoto Shimizu Dr. Masataka Watanabe	Korea	Dr. Kwang-Woo Lee Dr. Dong-Beom Yang
Russia	Dr. Tatiana A. Belan Dr. Lev M. Gramm-Osipov * <b>Dr. Alexander V. Tkalin</b>	U.S.A.	Dr. Paul K. Park Dr. John E. Stein Dr. C. Michael Watson

\* Chairman

(membership as of January 2000)

### ***Physical Oceanography and Climate Committee***

Canada	Dr. Susan E. Allen Dr. Howard J. Freeland Dr. C.S. Wong	China	Prof. Ji-Ping Chao Prof. Dun-Xin Hu Prof. Ming-Yu Zhou
Japan	Prof. Yasuhiro Sugimori Dr. Nobuo Suginozawa Dr. Takeshi Uji	Korea	Dr. Sang-Kyung Byun Dr. Kuh Kim Dr. Jae-Yul Yun
Russia	Dr. Sergey V. Gladyshev Dr. Gennady V. Khen * <b>Dr. Vyacheslav B. Lobanov</b>	U.S.A.	Prof. David L. Musgrave Dr. James E. Overland Prof. Stephen C. Riser

### ***Technical Committee on Data Exchange***

Canada	Ms. Susan Bates * <b>Mr. Robin M. Brown</b>	China	Mr. Song-Nian Jiang Mr. Ling Tong
Japan	Dr. Tatsu Kishida Dr. Toshio Nagai	Korea	Mr. Hae Seok Kang
Russia	Dr. Igor D. Rostov Dr. Igor I. Shevchenko	U.S.A.	Dr. Bernard A. Megrey Dr. Thomas C. Royer

### ***Publication Committee***

Canada	* <b>Dr. Howard J. Freeland</b>	China	Prof. Qi-Sheng Tang
Japan	Prof. Takashige Sugimoto	Korea	Dr. Jang-Uk Lee
Russia	Dr. Vyacheslav B. Lobanov	U.S.A.	Dr. Warren S. Wooster

### ***Fund-Raising Committee***

Canada	Dr. Richard J. Beamish	China	Mr. Zhi-Xin Chen
Japan	to be confirmed	Korea	Mr. Sung-Ho Joo
Russia	Dr. Lev N. Bocharov	U.S.A.	Ms. Heather D. McCarty

\* Chairman

(membership as of January 2000)

### **Working Group 8: Practical Assessment Methodology**

Canada	Dr. Richard F. Addison Dr. Colin D. Levings	China	Prof. You-Xian Yuan * <b>Prof. Ming-Jiang Zhou</b>
Japan	Mr. Susumu Ota Prof. Makoto Shimizu Dr. Masataka Watanabe Dr. Hisashi Yamada	Korea	
Russia	Dr. Tatiana A. Belan Dr. Lev M. Gramm-Osipov Dr. Alexander V. Tkalin	U.S.A.	Dr. Andrew Robertson Dr. Brenda M. Sanders * <b>Dr. John E. Stein</b>

### **Working Group 12: Crabs and Shrimps**

Canada	Mr. Jim Boutillier Dr. Glen Jamieson	China	Mr. Zhi-Meng Zhuang
Japan	Dr. Yasuki Ogawa Dr. Hideo Sekiguchi Ms. Ikuko Yosho	Korea	Dr. Sung-Yun Hong Dr. In-Ja Yeon
Russia	Dr. Boris G. Ivanov * <b>Dr. Vitaly E. Rodin</b> Ms. Yuliya B. Zaitseva	U.S.A.	Dr. David A. Armstrong Dr. Louis W. Botsford * <b>Dr. Robert S. Otto</b>

### **Working Group 13: CO<sub>2</sub> in the North Pacific**

Canada	Dr. C.S. Wong	China	Dr. Zhang-Gui Wang
Japan	Dr. Akihiko Murata * <b>Dr. Yukihiro Nojiri</b>	Korea	Dr. Myung-Woo Han
Russia	Ms. Galina Yu Pavlova Dr. Igor P. Semiletov Dr. Pavel Ya. Tishchenko	U.S.A.	Dr. Andrew Dickson * <b>Dr. Richard A. Feely</b> Dr. Paul Quay

\* Chairman

(membership as of January 2000)

**Working Group 14: Effective Sampling of Micronekton to Estimate Ecosystem Carrying Capacity**

Canada	Dr. John F. Dower Dr. David L. Mackas	China	Prof. Ming-Yuan Zhu
Japan	Mr. Naoki Iguchi Dr. Orio Yamamura	Korea	Dr. Chul Park
Russia	Dr. Andry A. Balanov * <b>Dr. Nikolay V. Parin</b> Dr. Vadim F. Savinykh	U.S.A.	* <b>Dr. Richard D. Brodeur</b> Dr. Kenneth Coyle Dr. Bruce H. Robison

**Working Group 15: Ecology of Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) in the North Pacific**

Canada	Dr. Paul J. Harrison	China	Dr. Tian Yan Dr. Youxian Yaun Prof. Ming-Yuan Zhu
Japan	Dr. Yasuwo Fukuyo Dr. Ichiro Imai Dr. Masaaki Kodama Dr. Kyoichi Tamai	Korea	Mr. Man Chang Mr. Chang-Hoon Kim Dr. Hak Gyoon Kim
Russia	Dr. Dmitry L. Aminin Dr. Tatiana Orlova Dr. Vladimir M. Shulkin Dr. Inna Stonik	U.S.A.	Dr. Donald M. Anderson Dr. William Cochlan Dr. David Garrison Dr. Vera L. Trainer Dr. Mark L. Wells

**Working Group 16: Implications of Climate Change to Fisheries Management**

Canada	Dr. Richard J. Beamish Dr. James Irvine Dr. Jacquelynne R. King	China	Mr. Jia-Hua Cheng Dr. Xian-Shi Jin Dr. Shengfa Li
Japan	Dr. Muneharu Tokimura Dr. Tokio Wada Dr. Akihiko Yatsu	Korea	Dr. Jin-Yeong Kim Dr. Suam Kim Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang
Russia	Dr. Gennady Khen Dr. Leonid B. Klyashtorin Dr. Victor V. Lapko	U.S.A.	Dr. George W. Boehlert Dr. Steven R. Hare Dr. Alec D. MacCall

\* Chairman



(membership as of January 2000)

**PICES-GLOBEC Implementation Panel on Climate Change and Carrying Capacity Program**

Chairmen:

Dr. Suam Kim (Republic of Korea)  
Dr. David W. Welch (Canada)

Executive Committee:

Dr. Suam Kim (IP Co-chairman)  
Dr. David W. Welch (IP Co-chairman)  
Dr. Andrei S. Krovnin (BASS Task Team Co-chairman)  
Mr. Gordon A. McFarlane (BASS Task Team Co-chairman)  
Dr. Michio J. Kishi (MODEL Task Team Co-chairman)  
Dr. Bernard A. Megrey (MODEL Task Team Co-chairman)  
Dr. Bruce A. Taft (MONITOR Task Team Co-chairman)  
Dr. Yasunori Sakurai (MONITOR Task Team Co-chairman)  
Dr. William T. Peterson (REX Task Team Co-chairman, U.S.A. GLOBEC)  
Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko (REX Task Team Co-chairman)  
Dr. Tokio Wada (REX Task Team Co-chairman)  
Dr. R. Ian Perry (Canada GLOBEC)  
Prof. Qi-Sheng Tang (China GLOBEC)  
Dr. Yukimasa Ishida (NPAFC representative)

National Members:

Canada	Dr. Richard J. Beamish Dr. David L. Mackas Dr. R. Ian Perry Dr. Daniel M. Ware	China	Prof. Ji-Lan Su Prof. Qi-Sheng Tang Prof. Rong Wang
Japan	Prof. Masahide Kaeriyama Dr. Kazuya Nagasawa Prof. Yutaka Nagata Dr. Yasunori Sakurai Dr. Tokio Wada	Korea	Dr. Suam Kim Dr. Sinjae Yoo Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang
Russia	Dr. Andrei S. Krovnin Dr. Vyacheslav B. Lobanov Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko Dr. Yury Zuenko	U.S.A.	Dr. Bruce W. Frost Prof. Brenda L. Norcross Dr. William T. Peterson Dr. Bruce A. Taft Dr. Warren S. Wooster

Representatives:

Ms. Patricia Livingston (Science Board Chairman)  
Dr. Alexander V. Tkalin (MEQ Chairman)  
Dr. Vyacheslav B. Lobanov (POC Chairman)  
Dr. Tsutomu Ikeda (BIO Chairman)  
Dr. Douglas E. Hay (FIS Chairman)  
Mr. Robin M. Brown (TCODE Chairman)

(membership as of January 2000)

BASS Task Team:

Canada	Dr. David L. Mackas * <b>Mr. Gordon A. McFarlane</b>	China	
Japan	Dr. Makoto Kashiwai Prof. Makoto Terazaki Dr. Akihiko Yatsu	Korea	Dr. Suam Kim Dr. Jang-Uk Lee
Russia	Dr. Alexander I. Boltnev * <b>Dr. Andrei S. Krovnin</b>	U.S.A.	Dr. Michael L. Dahlberg Dr. Bruce W. Frost Dr. Bruce A. Taft Prof. Patricia A. Wheeler

MODEL Task Team:

Canada	Dr. R. Ian Perry Dr. Daniel M. Ware	China	Dr. Da-Ji Huang
Japan	Dr. Masahiro Endoh * <b>Dr. Michio J. Kishi</b>	Korea	Dr. Jae-Hak Lee Dr. Sinjae Yoo
Russia	Dr. Vadim V. Navrotsky Dr. Yury Zuenko	U.S.A.	Dr. Linda Jones Ms. Patricia Livingston * <b>Dr. Bernard A. Megrey</b>

MONITOR Task Team:

Canada	Dr. David W. Welch	China	Dr. Xian-Yong Zhao
Japan	Dr. Kaouru Nakata * <b>Dr. Yasunori Sakurai</b>	Korea	Ms. Young-Jean Choi Prof. Chul Park
Russia	Dr. Vyacheslav B. Lobanov Dr. Nikolay A. Rykov Dr. Mikhail A. Stepanenko	U.S.A.	Dr. Jeffrey M. Napp Dr. Thomas C. Royer * <b>Dr. Bruce A. Taft</b> Dr. Warren S. Wooster

REX Task Team:

Canada	Dr. Richard F. Addison Dr. N. Brent Hargreaves	China	Prof. Qi-Sheng Tang Prof. Rong Wang
Japan	Dr. Yasunori Sakurai Prof. Yutaka Nagata * <b>Dr. Tokio Wada</b>	Korea	Dr. Jin-Yeong Kim Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang
Russia	* <b>Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko</b>	U.S.A.	Dr. George L. Hunt, Jr. Prof. Brenda L. Norcross * <b>Dr. William T. Peterson</b>

\* Chairman

(membership as of January 2000)

***Advisory Panel on Continuous Plankton Recorder (CPR) Survey in the North Pacific***

Canada	Dr. David L. Mackas Dr. David W. Welch	China	Dr. Song Sun Mr. Zhi-Meng Zhuang
Japan	Prof. Takashige Sugimoto	Korea	Dr. Young Shil Kang Dr. Sinjae Yoo
Russia	Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko Dr. Anatoly F. Volkov	U.S.A.	Dr. Richard D. Brodeur Dr. Michael M. Mullin Dr. Jeffrey M. Napp Dr. Warren S. Wooster
United Kingdom	Dr. Sonia D. Batten		

***Advisory Panel on Marine Birds & Mammals***

Canada	Dr. Doug Bertram Dr. Peter S. Ross	China	
Japan	Dr. Hidehiro Kato Dr. Yutaka Watanuki	Korea	Dr. Zang Guen Kim
Russia	Dr. Nataliya Litvinenko Dr. Vjatcheslav P. Shuntov	U.S.A.	Dr. Thomas R. Loughlin Dr. William Sydeman

***Advisory Panel on Iron Fertilization Experiment***

Canada	Dr. Paul J. Harrison Dr. Neil M. Price * <b>Dr. C.S. Wong</b>	China	Prof. Ming-Yu Zhou
Japan	Dr. Isao Kudo Prof. Masayuki Mac Takahashi * <b>Dr. Shigenobu Takeda</b> Dr. Atsushi Tsuda	Korea	Dr. Gi-Hoon Hong
Russia	Dr. Lev Gramm-Osipov Dr. Victor V. Sapozhnikov	U.S.A.	Dr. Robert R. Bidigare Dr. Kenneth Coale

Dr. Vladimir M. Shulkin

Dr. William Cochlan  
Dr. Mark L. Wells

\* Chairman

(membership as of January 2000)

## LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

3

3

### CANADA

Dr. Richard F. Addison  
Contaminant Science  
Institute of Ocean Sciences  
P.O. Box 6000,  
Sidney, B.C.,  
Canada. V8L 4B2

200 Kent Street,  
Ottawa, ON,  
Canada. K1A 0E6

Dr. Susan E. Allen  
Department of Earth & Ocean Sciences  
University of British Columbia  
6270 University Boulevard,  
Vancouver, B.C.,  
Canada. V6T 1Z4

Dr. Richard J. Beamish  
Pacific Biological Station  
3190 Hammond Bay Road,  
Nanaimo, B.C.,  
Canada. V9R 5K6

Mr. Robin M. Brown  
Ocean Science & Productivity Division  
Institute of Ocean Sciences  
P.O. Box 6000,  
Sidney, B.C.  
Canada. V8L 4B2

Dr. Josef Y. Cherniawsky  
Canadian Hydrographic Service  
Institute of Ocean Sciences  
P.O. Box 6000,  
Sidney, B.C.,  
Canada. V8L 4B2

Dr. Denis D'Amours  
Department of Fisheries & Oceans  
Government of Canada  
200 Kent Street,  
Ottawa, ON,  
Canada. K1A 0E6

Dr. William G. Doubleday  
Department of Fisheries & Oceans  
Government of Canada

Dr. Howard J. Freeland  
Ocean Science & Productivity Division  
Institute of Ocean Sciences  
P.O. Box 6000,  
Sidney, B.C.,  
Canada. V8L 4B2

Dr. F.J.R. Taylor  
Department of Earth & Ocean Sciences  
University of British Columbia  
6270 University Boulevard,  
Vancouver, B.C.,  
Canada. V6T 1Z4

Dr. Paul J. Harrison  
Department of Earth & Ocean Sciences  
University of British Columbia  
#1461, 6270 University Blvd.,  
Vancouver, B.C.,  
Canada. V6T 1Z4

Dr. David W. Welch  
Pacific Biological Station  
3190 Hammond Bay Road,  
Nanaimo, B.C.,  
Canada. V9R 5K6

Dr. Douglas E. Hay  
Pacific Biological Station  
3190 Hammond Bay Road,  
Nanaimo, B.C.,  
Canada. V9R 5K6

Dr. Jacquelynn R. King  
Pacific Biological Station  
3190 Hammond Bay Road,  
Nanaimo, B.C.,  
Canada. V9R 5K6

Mr. Gordon A. McFarlane  
Pacific Biological Station  
3190 Hammond Bay Road,  
Nanaimo, B.C.,  
Canada. V9R 5K6

Ms. Jacqueline M. O'Connell  
Department of Earth & Ocean Sciences  
University of British Columbia  
6270 University Boulevard,  
Vancouver, B.C.,  
Canada. V6T 1Z4

Mr. Steve C. Samis  
Habitat & Enhancement Branch  
Department of Fisheries & Oceans  
555 West Hastings Street,  
Vancouver, B.C.,  
Canada. V6B 5G3

**CHINA**

Ms. Bi Juan Chen  
Aquacultural Environment Quality Optimization

& Pollution Control Key Laboratory  
Chinese Academy of Fisheries Sciences

106 Nanjing Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266071

Dr. Shang Chen  
First Institute of Oceanography  
State Oceanic Administration  
3A Hongdaozi Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266003

Mr. Yi Cui  
Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute  
Chinese Academy of Fisheries Sciences  
106 Nanjing Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266071

Mr. Yanan Hu  
Department of International Cooperation  
Ministry of Agriculture  
11 Nongzhanguan Nanli,  
Beijing,  
People's Republic of China. 100026

Ms. Jian Kang  
Science & Technology  
State Oceanic Administration  
1 Fuxingmenwai Avenue,  
Beijing,  
People's Republic of China. 100860

Mr. Hai-Qing Li  
Department of International Cooperation  
State Oceanic Administration  
1 Fuxingmenwai Avenue,  
Beijing,  
People's Republic of China. 100860

Mr. Qian-Fei Liu  
Bureau of Fisheries  
Ministry of Agriculture  
11 Nongzhanguan Nanli,  
Beijing,  
People's Republic of China. 100026

Dr. Yao Sun  
Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute  
Chinese Academy of Fisheries Sciences  
106 Nanjing Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266071

Dr. Qi-Sheng Tang  
Director,  
Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute  
Chinese Academy of Fisheries Sciences  
106 Nanjing Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266071

Mr. Ling Tong  
Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute  
Chinese Academy of Fisheries Sciences  
106 Nanjing Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266071

Prof. Jing-Yi Wang  
National Research Center for Marine  
Environmental Forecasts  
8 Dahuisi Road,  
Haidian District,  
Beijing,  
People's Republic of China. 100081

Dr. Jun Wang  
Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute  
Chinese Academy of Fisheries Sciences  
106 Nanjing Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266071

Prof. Jihui Yan  
National Marine Environment Forecasting  
Center  
State Oceanic Administration  
No. 8 Dahuisi Road,  
Haidian District,  
Beijing,  
People's Republic of China. 100081

Dr. Bo Zhang  
Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute  
Chinese Academy of Fisheries Sciences  
106 Nanjing Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266071

Dr. Ming-Jiang Zhou  
Institute of Oceanology  
Chinese Academy of Sciences

Dr. Kenji Asano  
Seikai National Fisheries Research Institute  
49 Kokubumachi,  
Nagasaki, Nagasaki,  
Japan. 850-0951

Dr. Yasuwo Fukuyo  
University of Tokyo  
1-1-1 Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 113-8657

Dr. Akihiko Hara  
Department of Marine Biological Science  
Faculty of Fisheries  
Hokkaido University  
3-1-1 Minato-cho,  
Hakodate, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 041-8611

Mr. Koji Harunari  
International Science Cooperation Division  
Ministry of Foreign Affairs  
2-2-1 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 100-8919

Dr. Kazuichi Hayakawa  
Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences  
Kanazawa University  
13-1 Takaramachi,  
Kanazawa,  
Japan. 920-0934

Mr. Kohachi Hayashi  
Senior Technical Adviser

7 Nanhai Road,  
Qingdao, Shandong,  
People's Republic of China. 266071

## JAPAN

Overseas Fishery Cooperation Foundation  
Sankaido Bldg. 9-13, Akasakai, Minato-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan.

Dr. Kohji Iida  
Faculty of Fisheries  
Hokkaido University  
3-1-1 Minato-cho,  
Hakodate, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 041-8611

Dr. Tsutomu Ikeda  
Biological Oceanography Laboratory  
Faculty of Fisheries  
Hokkaido University  
1-1 Minato-cho, 3 chome,  
Hakodate, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 041-5541

Mr. Yoichiro Ishibashi  
Japan NUS Co., Ltd.  
Sakae 8<sup>th</sup> Royal Bldg., 8F, 4-7-10 Sakae, Naka-  
ku,  
Nagoya,  
Japan. 460-0008

Dr. Hiroshi Ishizaki  
Oceanographical Research Department  
Meteorological Research Institute  
1-1 Nagamine,  
Tsukuba, Ibaraki,  
Japan. 305-0052

Dr. Masahide Kaeriyama  
Department of Marine & Science Technology  
Hokkaido Tokai University  
5-1-1-1 Minamisawa, Minami-ku,



Sapporo, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 005-8601

Dr. Taiyo Kobayashi  
Center for Climate System Research  
University of Tokyo  
Komaba 4-6-1, Meguro-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 153-8904

Dr. Makoto Kashiwai  
Subarctic Fisheries Oceanography Division  
Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute  
116 Katsurakoi,  
Kushiro, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 085-0802

Dr. Tokimasa Kobayashi  
Subarctic Fisheries Resources Division  
Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute  
116 Katsurakoi,  
Kushiro, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 085-0802

Dr. Hidehiro Kato  
National Research Institute of Far Seas  
Fisheries  
5-7-1 Orido,  
Shimizu, Shizuoka,  
Japan. 424-8633

Dr. Kosei Komatsu  
National Research Institute of Fisheries Science  
Fukuura 2-12-4, Kanazawa-ku,  
Yokohama, Kanagawa  
Japan. 236-8648

Dr. Yasuhiro Kawasaki  
Subarctic Fisheries Oceanography Division  
Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute  
116 Katsurakoi,  
Kushiro, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 085-0802

Dr. Yasuo Matsukawa  
National Research Institute of Fisheries Science  
2-12-4 Fukuura, Kanazawa-ku,  
Yokohama, Kanagawa  
Japan. 236-8648

Dr. Michio J.Kishi  
Faculty of Fisheries  
Hokkaido University  
3-1-1 Minato-cho,  
Hakodate, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 041-8611

Dr. Shoshiro Minobe  
Division of Earth & Planetary Sciences  
Graduate School of Science  
Hokkaido University  
N-10, W-8,  
Sapporo, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 060-0810

Dr. Tatsu Kishida  
Resource Management Division  
National Research Institute of Fisheries Science  
Fukuura 2-12-4, Kanazawa-ku,  
Yokohama, Kanagawa,  
Japan. 236-8648

Mr. Kengo Miyamoto  
Department of Geophysics  
Graduate School of Science  
Tohoku University  
Aoba Ward,  
Sendai, Miyagi,  
Japan. 980-5878

Dr. Toru Kobari  
Faculty of Fisheries  
Hokkaido University  
3-1-1 Minato-machi,  
Hakodate, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 041-0821

Dr. Akihiko Murata  
Ocean Research Department  
Japan Marine Science & Technology Center  
2-15 Natsushima,  
Yokosuka, Kanagawa,  
Japan. 237-0061

Mr. Toshio Nagai  
Director,  
Oceanographic Data & Information Division  
Japan Oceanographic Data Center  
5-3-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 104-0045

Dr. Toshio Suga  
Department of Geophysics  
Graduate School of Science  
Tohoku University  
Aza-Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku,  
Sendai,  
Japan. 980-8578

Dr. Yutaka Nagata  
Marine Information Research Center (MIRC)  
Japan Hydrographic Association  
Mishima Bldg., 5F, 7-15-4 Ginza, Chuo-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 104-0061

Dr. Takashige Sugimoto  
Ocean Research Institute  
University of Tokyo  
1-15-1 Minamidai, Nakano-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 164-8639

Dr. Yukihiro Nojiri  
National Institute for Environmental Studies  
16-2 Onogawa,  
Tsukuba, Ibaraki,  
Japan. 305-0053

Dr. Nobuo Suginozawa  
Center for Climate System Research  
University of Tokyo  
4-6-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 153-8904

Dr. Yoshioki Oozeki  
National Research Institute of Fisheries Science  
Fukuura 2-12-4, Kanazawa-ku,  
Yokohama, Kanagawa  
Japan. 236-8648

Dr. Toru Suzuki  
Marine Information Research Center (MIRC)  
Japan Hydrographic Association  
Mishima Bldg., 5F, 7-15-4 Ginza, Chuo-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 104-0061

Dr. Yasunori Sakurai  
Department of Marine Science  
Faculty of Fisheries  
Hokkaido University  
3-1-1 Minato-cho,  
Hakodate, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 041-8611

Dr. Kazuaki Tadokoro  
Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute  
116 Katsurakoi,  
Kushiro, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 085-0802

Dr. Katsuyuki Sasaki  
Marine Productivity Division  
National Research Institute of Fisheries Science  
Fukuura 2-12-4, Kanazawa-ku,  
Yokohama, Kanagawa  
Japan. 236-8648

Dr. Shigenobu Takeda  
Biology Department  
Central Research Institute of Electric Power  
Industry (CRIEPI)  
1646 Abiko,  
Abiko,  
Japan. 270-1194

Dr. Makoto Shimizu  
Professor Emeritus  
University of Tokyo  
9-401 Minami-Ohi, 6-20 Shinagawa-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 140-0013

Dr. Kensuke Takeuchi  
Institute of Low Temperature Science  
Hokkaido University  
Kita-19, Nishi-8, Kitaku,  
Sapporo, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 060-0819

Dr. Muneharu Tokimura  
Oceanography & Resources Division  
Seikai National Fisheries Research Institute  
49 Kokubu-machi,  
Nagasaki, Nagasaki,  
Japan. 850-0951

Dr. Atsushi Tsuda  
Subarctic Fisheries Oceanography Division  
Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute  
116 Katsurakoi,  
Kushiro, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 085-0802

Dr. Takeshi Uji  
Oceanographical Research Department  
Meteorological Research Institute  
1-1 Nagamine,  
Tsukuba, Ibaraki,  
Japan. 305-0052

Dr. Tokio Wada  
Resource Development Department  
Fisheries Agency  
2-1 Kasumigaseki 1-chome, Chiyoda-ku,

Dr. S.K. Cho  
Institute of Fisheries Sciences  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea.

Dr. Joong Ki Choi  
Department of Oceanography  
Inha University  
Yong Hyun dong, Nam gu,  
Inchon,  
Republic of Korea. 402-751

Dr. Jae-Suk Choi  
Department of Biotechnology  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3 dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Yeong Gong  
Department Marine Production Management

Tokyo,  
Japan. 100

Dr. Orio Yamamura  
Subarctic Fisheries Oceanography Division  
Hokkaido National Fisheries Research Institute  
116 Katsurakoi,  
Kushiro, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 085-0802

Dr. Ichiro Yasuda  
Department of Earth & Planetary Physics  
Graduate School of Science  
University of Tokyo  
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku,  
Tokyo,  
Japan. 113-0033

Dr. Akihiko Yatsu  
National Research Institute of Fisheries Science  
Fukuura 2-12-4, Kanazawa-ku,  
Yokohama, Kanagawa,  
Japan. 236-8648

### **KOREA**

Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3 dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Sung Yun Hong  
Pusan National Fisheries University  
Daeyeon 3 dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Hyung-Tack Huh  
Korea Ocean Research & Development Institute  
Ansan P.O. Box 29,  
Seoul,  
Republic of Korea. 425-600

Mr. Bo Kyu Hwang  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3 dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea.

Dr. Hyun Do Jeong  
Department of Aquatic Life Medicine  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3 dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. H.J. Jin  
Department of Biotechnology  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3 dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Mr. Sun Bum Jung  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
599-1 Deayon dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Mr. Hae-Seok Kang  
Department of Oceanic Data Management  
Korea Ocean Research & Development Institute  
Ansan P.O. Box 29,  
Seoul,  
Republic of Korea. 425-600

Ms. Sukyung Kang  
Polar Research Center  
Korea Ocean Research & Development Institute  
Ansan P.O. Box 29,  
Seoul,  
Republic of Korea. 425-600

Dr. Young Shil Kang  
Oceanography Department  
National Fisheries Research & Development  
Institute  
408-1 Shirang-ri, Kijang-gun,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 619-900

Mr. Hyun Young Kim  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
599-1 Deayon dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. In Jin Kim  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
599-1 Deayon dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Jin-Yeong Kim  
Oceanography Division  
National Fisheries Research & Development  
Institute  
408-1 Shirang-ri, Kijang-gun,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 619-900

Dr. Myoung Sug Kim  
Department of Aquatic Life Medicine  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3 dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Suam Kim  
Polar Research Center  
Korea Ocean Research & Development Institute  
Ansan P.O. Box 29,  
Seoul,  
Republic of Korea. 425-600

Mr. Young Ki Kim  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
599-1 Deayon dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Woong-Seo Kim  
Department of Biological Oceanography  
Korea Ocean Research & Development Institute  
Ansan P.O. Box 29,  
Seoul,

Republic of Korea. 425-600

Dr. Kee-Hyun Kim  
Oceanography Department  
Chungnam National University  
Yusong-ku,  
Taejon,  
Republic of Korea. 305-764

Dr. Eun-Hee Kwon  
Department of Biotechnology  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3 dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Chang Kyu Lee  
Harmful Algal Blooms Research Division  
National Fisheries Research & Development  
Institute  
408-1 Shirang-ri, Kijang-up, Kijang-gun,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 619-900

Mr. Jae Bong Lee  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3-dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Mr. Man Woo Lee  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3-dong Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Mr. Myoung Woo Lee  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
599-1 Daeyon dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Sam Geon Lee  
National Fisheries Research & Development  
Institute  
408-1 Shirang-ri, Kijang-up, Kijang-gun,  
Pusan,

Republic of Korea. 619-900

Mr. Sung Il Lee  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
599-1 Daeyon dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Mr. Sun-Kil Lee  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3-dong Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Mr. Yong Hee Lee  
Meteorological Research Institute  
460-18 Shindaebang-dong, Donjack-gu,  
Seoul,  
Republic of Korea. 156-720

Dr. Kee-Soo Nam  
Changmok Marine Station  
Korea Ocean Research & Development Institute  
Ansan P.O. Box 29,  
Seoul,  
Republic of Korea. 425-600

Dr. Im Sang Oh  
Research Institute of Oceanography  
Seoul National University  
San 56-1, Silim-dong, Kwanak-gu,  
Seoul,  
Republic of Korea. 151-742

Dr. Chul Park  
Department of Oceanography  
Chungnam National University  
220 Kungdon, Yusung-gu,  
Taejon,  
Republic of Korea. 305-764

Mr. Kwang Ki Park  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
599-1 Daeyoun dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Young Jae Ro  
Department of Oceanography  
Chungnam National University  
Kung-dong 220, Yusung-gu,  
Taejon,  
Republic of Korea. 305-764

Mr. Young Il Seo  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
599-1 Daeyoun dong, Nam-gu  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Ms. Jeong Hee Shim  
Polar Research Center  
Korea Ocean Research & Development Institute  
Sa-dong 1270,  
Ansan Kyunggi,  
Republic of Korea. 425-600

Dr. Hyeon Ok Shin  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University

Dr. Albert G. Ablaev  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Valery A. Abramov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Ms. Valentina Abramova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Ms. Irina G. Agafonova  
Pacific Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry  
159 Prospect 100 let Vladivostoky,

Daeyeon 3-dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Young-Sang Suh  
Oceanography Department  
National Fisheries Research & Development  
Institute  
408-1 Shirang-ri, Kijang-up, Kijang-gun  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 619-900d

Dr. Yong-Rhim Yang  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3-dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

Dr. Chang-Ik Zhang  
Department of Marine Production Management  
Pukyong National University  
Daeyeon 3-dong, Nam-gu,  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea. 608-737

### **RUSSIA**

Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690022

Dr. Arkady V. Alekseev  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. A.I. Alexanin  
Institution of Automation & Control Processes  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Dmitry L. Aminin  
Pacific Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry  
159 Prospect 100 let Vladivostoky,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690022

Ms. Valentina Anolzeeva  
Health Safety & Environmental Department

Sakhalin Energy Ltd.  
32 Communistichesky Ave., Office 550,  
Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk,  
Russia.

24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Antonina Artyomova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Ms. Irina Bragina  
Sakhalin Research Institute of Fisheries &  
Oceanography (SakNIRO)  
196 Komsomolskaya Street,  
Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk,  
Russia. 693016

Dr. Nadezhda L. Asseyeva  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Valentina D. Budaeva  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Oxana Belous  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. N.V. Bulatov  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Vladimir Belyaev  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Victor M. Bunin  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. E.M. Beyko  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Mr. Igor Y. Chernizhov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Lev N. Bocharov  
Director,  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Mr. Ruslan Chichcin  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Alexander A. Bogdanovsky  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)

Dr. Mikhail A. Danchenkov  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological

Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. V.B. Darnitskiy  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Svetlana V. Davidova  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Elena V. Dmitrieva  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Natalya T. Dolganova  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Vitaly A. Dudarev  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Vladimir I. Dulepov  
Institute of Marine Technology Problems  
5-a Sukhanov Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Mr. Vladimir Efremov  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,

Russia. 690041

Dr. Alexander A. Gavrilovsky  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Larissa A. Gayko  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Sergey V. Gladyshev  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
*currently at*  
Institute of Low Temperature  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 060-0819

Dr. Svetlana Yu. Glebova  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Sergei A. Gorbarenko  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Lev M. Gramm-Osipov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Valentina N. Gramm-Osipova  
Faculty of Applied Ecology  
Far East State University  
27 Otyabrskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690000



Dr. Isabella S. Gusarova  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Irina Gvozdeva  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Elza R. Ivshina  
Sakhalin Research Institute of Fisheries &  
Oceanography (SakNIRO)  
196 Komsomolskaya Street,  
Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk,  
Russia. 693016

Dr. Denis V. Izmyatinsky  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Gennady A. Kantakov  
Sakhalin Research Institute of Fisheries &  
Oceanography (SakNIRO)  
196 Komsomolskaya Street,  
Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk,  
Russia. 693016

Mr. Dmitriy Kaplynenko  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Gennady V. Khen  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,

Russia. 690600

Dr. Fedor F. Khrapchenkov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Talgat R. Kilmатов  
Far Eastern State Academy of Economics &  
Management  
19 Okeansky Prospect,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690090

Dr. Leonid B. Klashtorin  
Russian Federal Research Institute for Fisheries  
& Oceanography (VNIRO)  
17 Krasnoselskaya,  
Moscow,  
Russia. 107140

Dr. Igor E. Kochergin  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Yuri I. Konovalov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Galina Konovalova  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Tatyana Konovalova  
Sakhalin Energy Ltd.  
32 Communistichesky Avenue, Office 550,  
Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk,

Russia. 693000

Dr. Natali V. Kruk  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Victor I. Kuzin  
Institute of Computational Mathematics &  
Mathematical Geophysics  
Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Science  
6 Lavrentieva Ave.,  
Novosibirsk-90,  
Russia. 630090

Dr. Victor V. Lapko  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Alexandr Larentcev  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Mr. Valentin Leskov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041  
Dr. Vyacheslav B. Lobanov  
Deputy Director,  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Vladimir A. Luchin  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Ludmila N. Luchsheva

Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Mr. Alexander Lyashkov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Alexander N. Man'ko  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Andrey Martynov  
Institute of Computational Mathematics and  
Numerical Geophysics  
Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Science,  
6 Lavrentieva Ave.,  
Novosibirsk,  
Russia. 630090

Dr. Vasilij F. Mishukov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Galina I. Mishukova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. G.S. Moiseenko  
Russian Federal Research Institute of Fisheries  
& Oceanography(VNIRO)  
17 Verkhnyaya Krasnoselskaya,  
Moscow,  
Russia. 107140

Mr. Victor Molev

Far East State Technical University  
10 Pyshkinskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia.

Dr. Valentina V. Moroz  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Anatoly V. Mozherovsky  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Ms. Larisa Muktepavel  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Leonid F. Muratov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Victoria V. Nadtochy  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. V.V. Napazakov  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. N.I. Naumenko  
Kamchatka Scientific Research Institute of  
Fisheries & Oceanography  
18 Naberezhnaya Street  
Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky,

Russia. 683602

Dr. Vadim V. Navrotsky  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Alexander D. Nelezin  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. A.A. Nikitin  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. A. Nikolaev  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Yury V. Novikov  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Anatoly Obzhirov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Tatiana Orlova  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Ms. Galina Pavlova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute

43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Ms. Elena Pavlova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Alexander G. Petrov  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Vera Petrova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Irina I. Pipko  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. V.M. Pishchalnik  
Sakhalin Research Institute of Fisheries &  
Oceanography (SakNIRO)  
196 Komsomolskaya Street,  
Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk,  
Russia. 693016

Dr. Vladimir V. Plotnikov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Artur G. Pogodin  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Sergey A. Pokrashenko  
Far Eastern State University  
27 Oktyabrskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Antonina Polyarova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Vladimir I. Ponomarev  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Ms. Ludmila Popova  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Vladimir I. Radchenko  
Pacific Fisheries Research Center (TINRO-  
Center)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Konstantin A. Rogachev  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Igor D. Rostov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Sergei I. Rybalko  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Nikolay A. Rykov  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Anna S. Sakun  
Far Eastern State Academy of Economics &  
Mgmt.  
19 Okeansky Prospect,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690090

Dr. Alexander Salomatin  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Anatoly Salyuk  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Eugene V. Samko  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Mr. Alexey Savchenko  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Alexander V. Saveliev  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Nina I. Savelieva  
Pacific Oceanological Institute

43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Mr. Renat Shakirov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Tatyana V. Shaposhnikova  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. George V. Shevchenko  
Institute of Marine Geology & Geophysics  
Nauki Street,  
Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk,  
Russia. 693002

Dr. Igor I. Shevchenko  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Elena Shtraiher  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Vladimir M. Shulkin  
Pacific Geographical Institute  
7 Radio Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Vyacheslav P. Shuntov  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Valery Shustin  
Special research Bureau of Automatization of  
Marine Research  
Far Eastern Branch of Russian Academy of  
Sciences  
Vladivostok,  
Russia.

Dr. Alla V. Silina  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Mr. Anton K. Skorupsky  
Oceanography Department  
Far East State University  
27 Oktyabrskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. A.G. Slizkin  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Tatyana V. Smolyankina  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Tatiana .G. Sokolovskaya  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Prof. Larisa Soldatova  
Vladivostok State University of Economy and  
Service

41 Gogolya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Mikhael Stepanenko  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Inna Stonik  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. V.V. Sukhanov  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogog Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. G.V. Svinukhov  
Far Eastern Technical Fisheries University  
52-B Lugovaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Tanya Tarkhova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Pavel Tishchenko  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Alexander V. Tkalin  
Far Eastern Regional Hydrometeorological  
Research Institute (FERHRI)  
24 Fontannaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Olga Trusenkova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Mr. Alexander Tsygantsov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Elena I. Ustinova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. A.N. Vdovin  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Galina V. Vlasova  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Anatoly F. Volkov  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Vladimir N. Vologdin  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Dr. Yuri Yakovlev  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. I.M. Yakovleva  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Lev P. Yakunin  
Far East State University  
8 Sukhanova Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690000

Dr. Sergey P. Zakharkov  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Igor Zhabin  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Ms. Margarita V. Zhayvoronok  
Pacific Oceanological Institute  
43 Baltiyskaya Street,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Natalia V. Zhukova  
Institute of Marine Biology  
17 Palchevskogo,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690041

Dr. Yury I. Zuenko  
Pacific Fisheries Research Centre (TINRO-  
Centre)  
4 Shevchenko Alley,  
Vladivostok,  
Russia. 690600

Ms. Larisa Zverkova  
Sakhalin Research Institute of Fisheries &  
Oceanography (SakNIRO)  
196 Komsomolskaya Street,  
Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk,

Russia. 693016

**U.S.A.**

Dr. Vera Alexander  
Dean, School of Fisheries & Ocean Sciences  
University of Alaska Fairbanks  
P.O. Box 757220,  
Fairbanks, AK 99775-7220  
U.S.A.

Dr. George W. Boehlert  
Southwest Fisheries Science Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
1352 Lighthouse Avenue,  
Pacific Grove, CA 93950-2097  
U.S.A.

Dr. Gerrald Ault  
Rosenstiel School of Marine & Atmospheric  
Science  
University of Miami  
4600 Rickenbacker Causeway,  
Miami, FL 33149  
U.S.A.

Dr. David M. Checkley, Jr.  
Marine Life Research Group  
Scripps Institution of Oceanography  
9602 La Jolla Shores Drive,  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0218  
U.S.A.

Dr. Kerim Y. Aydin  
Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere &  
Oceans  
University of Washington  
Box 357980,  
Seattle, WA 98195  
U.S.A.

Dr. William P. Cochlan  
Romberg Tiburon Center for Environmental  
Studies  
San Francisco State University  
3152 Paradise Drive,  
Tiburon, CA 94920-0855  
U.S.A.

Ms. Dorothy E. Bergamaschi  
Office of Ocean Affairs  
U.S. Department of State  
Senior Advisor for Marine Science Policy  
OES/OA - Room 5806  
Washington, DC 20520  
U.S.A.

Dr. Andrew G. Dickson  
Marine Physical Laboratory  
University of California, San Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive,  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0244  
U.S.A.

Dr. Robert R. Bidigare  
Ocean University of Hawaii  
1000 Pope Road,  
Honolulu, HI 96822  
U.S.A.

Dr. Richard A. Feely  
Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory  
NOAA



7600 Sand Point Way NE,  
Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Dr. Michael J. Fogarty  
Center for Environmental Science  
University of Maryland  
1 Williams Street,  
Solomons, MD 20688  
U.S.A.

Dr. David L. Garrison  
Division of Ocean Sciences  
National Science Foundation  
4201 Wilson Blvd., Room 725  
Arlington, VA 22230  
U.S.A.

Dr. Albert J. Hermann  
Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere &  
Oceans /PMEL  
University of Washington/NOAA  
7600 Sand Point Way NE,  
Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Dr. James N. Ianelli  
Alaska Fisheries Science Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
7600 Sand Point Way NE,  
Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Dr. Larry D. Jacobson  
Northeast Fisheries Science Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
166 Water Street,  
Woods Hole, MA 02543-1097  
U.S.A.

Dr. Alexander Kozyr  
Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory  
University of Tennessee  
10521 Research Drive, Suite 100,

Knoxville, Tennessee 37932  
U.S.A.

Dr. Cathy M. Lascara  
Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography  
Old Dominion University  
Crittenton Hall  
768 West 52 Street,  
Norfolk, VA 23529  
U.S.A.

Ms. Patricia A. Livingston  
Alaska Fisheries Science Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
7600 Sand Point Way NE,  
Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Mr. Stewart A. Macklin  
Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory  
NOAA  
7600 Sand Point Way NE,  
Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Dr. Nathan J. Mantua  
Joint Inst. for the Study of the Atmosphere &  
Oceans  
University of Washington  
Box 354235,  
Seattle, WA 98195-4235  
U.S.A.

Dr. Richard J. Marasco  
Alaska Fisheries Science Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
7600 Sand Point Way NE,  
Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Ms. Judy M. McDonald  
University of Alaska  
Institute of Marine Science  
P.O. Box 730,  
Seward, AK 99664  
U.S.A.

Dr. Bernard A. Megrey  
Alaska Fisheries research Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
7600 Sand Point Way NE,

Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Dr. Arthur J. Miller  
Climate Research Division  
Scripps Institution of Oceanography  
University of California San-Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0224  
U.S.A.

Dr. Christopher N.K. Mooers  
Rosenstiel School of Marine & Atmospheric  
Science  
University of Miami  
4600 Rickenbacker Causeway,  
Miami, FL 33149-1098  
U.S.A.

Dr. David L. Musgrave  
School of Fisheries & Ocean Sciences  
University of Alaska Fairbanks  
P.O. Box 757220,  
Fairbanks, AK 99775-7220  
U.S.A.

Dr. Mark D. Ohman  
Scripps Institution of Oceanography  
University of California San Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0227  
U.S.A.

Ms. Sonia Olivella Hamilton  
Fisheries Oceanography Coordinated  
Investigations  
NOAA  
7600 Sand Point Way NE,  
Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Dr. Robert S. Otto  
Department of Commerce  
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
P.O. Box 1638,  
Kodiak, AK 99615-1638  
U.S.A.

Dr. James E. Overland

Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory  
NOAA  
7600 Sand Point Way NE,  
Seattle, WA 98115-0070  
U.S.A.

Dr. Augustus J. Paul  
Institute of Marine Science  
University of Alaska  
P.O. Box 730,  
Seward, AK 99664  
U.S.A.

Dr. William T. Peterson  
Hatfield Marine Science Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service  
2030 S. Marine Science Drive,  
Newport, OR 97365  
U.S.A.

Dr. Robert J. Presley  
Department of Oceanography  
Texas A & M University  
100 Bizzel  
College Station, TX 77843-3146  
U.S.A.

Dr. Thomas C. Royer  
Center for Coastal Physical Oceanography  
Old Dominion University  
768 W. 52 Street,  
Norfolk, VA 23529  
U.S.A.

Dr. Scott M. Rumsey  
Scripps Institution of Oceanography  
University of California San Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive,  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0218  
U.S.A.

Dr. Jeffrey W. Short  
Auke Bay Laboratory  
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
11305 Glacier Highway,  
Juneau, AK 99801-8626  
U.S.A.

Dr. William W. Smoker  
School of Fisheries & Ocean Sciences  
University of Alaska Fairbanks

11120 Glacier Highway,  
Juneau, AK 99801  
U.S.A.

National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
2725 Montlake Blvd. East,  
Seattle, WA 98112  
U.S.A.

Ms. Carla M. Stehr  
Northwest Fisheries Science Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
2725 Montlake Blvd. E.  
Seattle, WA 98112  
U.S.A.

Dr. Mark L. Wells  
School of Marine Sciences  
University of Maine  
5741 Libby Hall  
Orono, Maine 04469-5741  
U.S.A.

Dr. John E. Stein  
Northwest Fisheries Science Center  
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA  
2725 Montlake Blvd. E.  
Seattle, WA 98112  
U.S.A.

Dr. Patricia A. Wheeler  
College of Oceanic & Atmospheric Sciences  
Oregon State University  
Ocean Admin. Bldg. 104  
Corvallis, OR 97331  
U.S.A.

Dr. Yoshihiro Tachibana  
Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere &  
Oceans  
University of Washington  
Box 354235, 4909 25<sup>th</sup> Avenue NE,  
Seattle, WA 98195-4235  
U.S.A.

Dr. Warren S. Wooster  
School of Marine Affairs  
University of Washington  
3707 Brooklyn Avenue,  
Seattle, WA 98105-6715  
U.S.A.

Dr. Bruce A. Taft  
10580 NE South Beach Drive,  
Bainbridge Island, WA 98110  
U.S.A.

Dr. Bruce A. Wright  
Office of Oil Spill Restoration  
NOAA  
11305 Glacier Highway  
Juneau, AK 99807  
U.S.A.

Dr. Lynne D. Talley  
Scripps Institution of Oceanography  
University of California San-Diego  
9500 Gilman Drive,  
La Jolla, CA 92093-0230  
U.S.A.

Dr. Vera L. Trainer  
Northwest Fisheries Science Center

### **OBSERVERS**

Dr. Sonia D. Batten  
Sir Alister Hardy Foundation for Ocean Science  
1 Walker Terrace,  
The Hoe Plymouth,  
United Kingdom. PL1 3BN

Chief Staff Member Fisheries  
Hakodate City Hall  
4-13 Shinonome-cho,  
Hakodate, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 040-8666

Mr. Satoru Bizen

Dr. Ned Cyr  
Ocean Science & Living Resource

Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission  
UNESCO  
1, rue Miollis,  
75732 Paris Cedex,  
France

Dr. Steven R. Hare  
International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC)  
P.O. Box 95009,  
Seattle, WA 98145-2009  
U.S.A.

Dr. David U. Hernandez-Becerril  
Laboratorio de Ecologia de Pesquerias  
Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnologia,  
UNAM  
Circuito Exterior, C.U. Apdo. Postal 70-305  
Mexico. 04510

Mr. Eiji Ikeda  
Planning Department  
Hakodate City Hall  
4-13 Shinonome-cho,  
Hakodate, Hokkaido,  
Japan. 040-8666

Dr. Yukimasa Ishida  
North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission  
Suite 502, 889 West Pender Street,  
Vancouver, B.C.,  
Canada. V6C 3B2

Dr. Stein Kaartvedt  
University of Oslo, Norway  
*currently at:*  
Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution  
MS#33, Biology Department  
Woods Hole, MA 02543  
U.S.A.

Dr. Evgueni Shumilin  
Interdisciplinary Marine Science Center  
Avenida Instituto Politecnico Nacional  
s/n, Col. Playa Palo de Santa Rita  
Apdo Postal 592,  
La Paz, Baja California Sur,  
Mexico. 23096

Mr. Simon Richert  
Elsevier Science  
The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington,  
Oxford,  
United Kingdom

Mr. Yunkyeong Kang  
Pusan Daily Newspaper  
Pusan,  
Republic of Korea

## LIST OF ACRONYMS

ACIA	Arctic Climate Impact Assessment Program (ACIAP of AMAP)
ALACE	Autonomous Lagrangian Circulation Explorer (float)
AMAP	Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Program
ARGO	Array for Real-time Geostrophic Oceanography
BASS (TT)	Basin Studies (Task Team)
BIO	Biological Oceanography Committee
CCCC	Climate Change and Carrying Capacity Program
CLIVAR	Climate Variability and Predictability Program
CPR	Continuous Plankton Recorder Program
CRIEPI	Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, Japan
CREAMS	Circulation Research of the East Asian Marginal Seas
EC/IP	Executive Committee / Implementation Panel for CCCC
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FIS	Fishery Science Committee
FOCI	Fishery Research Oceanography Coordinated Investigations program
GIWA	Global International Waters Assessment program
GLOBEC	Global Ocean Ecosystem Dynamics Programme
GODAE	Global Ocean Data Assimilation Experiment
GOOS	Global Ocean Observing System
GOOS-LMR	Living Marine Resources Panel of GOOS
HAB	Harmful Algal Blooms
IATTC	Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission
ICES	International Council for the Exploration of the Sea
ICSU	International Council of Scientific Unions
IFEP	PICES Advisory Panel on Iron Fertilization Experiment
IGPB	International Geosphere Biosphere Programme
IGOSS	Integrated Global Ocean Services System
IOC	Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission
IODE	International Oceanographic Data Information Exchange (IODC)
IPHC	International Pacific Halibut Commission
ISC	Interim Scientific Committee for Tuna and Tuna-like Species in the North Pacific Ocean
JFA	Japan Fisheries Agency
JGOFS	Joint Global Ocean Flux Study (IGPB)
JODC	Japanese Oceanographic Data Center
JST/CREST	Japan Science and Technology Agency/Core Research for Evolution Science and Technology
LOICZ	Land Ocean Interaction in the Coastal Zone
MBARI	Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute
MEDS	Marine Environmental Data Center
MEQ	Marine Environmental Committee
MIRC	Marine Information Research Center
MODEL (TT)	Conceptual / Theoretical and Modeling Studies (Task Team)
MONITOR (TT)	Monitor (Task Team)
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding

NEAR-GOOS	North East Asian Regional GOOS
NIES	National Institute for Environmental Studies, Japan
NOAA	National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (U.S.A.)
NODC	National Oceanographic Data Center
NOWPAP	Northwest Pacific Action Plan
NPAFC	North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission
ODC	Oceanographic Data Center
PICES	North Pacific Marine Science Organization
POC	Physical Oceanography and Climate Committee
REX (TT)	Regional Experiments (Task Team)
SCOR	Scientific Committee on Oceanic Research
STA	Science and Technology Agency Japan
TCODE	Technical Committee on Data Exchange
TT	Task Team
UNEP	United Nations Environment Program
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization
WCRP	World Climate Research Program
WDC-A	World Data Center - A
WESTPAC	Sub-Committee for the Western Pacific Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission
WG	Working Group
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WOCE	World Ocean Circulation Experiment
WWWP	World Wide Web