A Scenario Approach to Forecast Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Red King Crabs in the Eastern Bering Sea

Gordon H. Kruse

University of Alaska Fairbanks
Juneau, Alaska, U.S.A.

Jie Zheng

Alaska Department of Fish and Game
Juneau, Alaska, U.S.A.

James E. Overland

Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory
Seattle, Washington, U.S.A.



Acknowledgments

- Environmental Protection Agency
- North Pacific Research Board
- Alaska Sea Grant

Project Goal

- Provide rapid assessment based on reasoned expert judgment on impacts of climate change on red king crab biomass and harvest in the eastern Bering Sea through 2030 and 2050

Approach

- Identify bio-physical mechanisms likely to affect king crab productivity via workshop of experts
- Use central case climate scenario for key atmospheric/oceanographic driving variables, based on 4th Assessment Review by IPCC (primarily A1B Scenario – "medium" emissions)
- Develop central, low, and high crab biomass estimates based on expected relative response of each mechanism, from "---" to "0" to "+++"
- Scale cumulative effects from all mechanisms to levels of historical variability of biomass
- Apply harvest control rules to biomass estimates to estimate commercial catches

Red King Crab

- Large size – up to 11 kg
- Long-lived – ~25 years
- Complex mating behaviors
- Females ≤ 500,000 embryos ~11 months
- Distributed from intertidal zone to >200 m from British Columbia to Hokkaido, Japan




Distribution of Red King Crabs in Eastern Bering Sea
Russia
Kamchatka Peninsula
Cape Navarin
Bering Strait
Norton Sound
St. Lawrence Island
Alaska
St. Matthew Island
Nunivak Island
Navarin Basin
Bering Sea
Bristol Bay
Alaska Peninsula
Pribilof Islands
Shirshov Ridge
North Aleutian Basin
St. George Basin
Unimak Pass
Commander Islands
Bowers Ridge
Aleutian Islands
Abundant
Distribution
Modified from http://rcrawford79.files.wordpress.com

Red King Crab Fishery

Overview of Fishery Management

- 3-S (Size-Sex-Season) Management
  - Sex – Only males are legal for harvest
  - Size – Minimum legal size
  - Season – No fishing during spring molting & mating periods
- Target harvest rate:
  - Bristol Bay: 10-15% of mature males
  - Pribilof Islands: harvest depends on blue king crab abundance
  - Norton Sound: 5-10% of legal males

Abundance & Catch: Bristol Bay



Abundance & Catch: Pribilof Islands



Abundance & Catch: Norton Sound



Workshop on RKC Recruitment

8 Life history stages critical to recruitment:

1. Development of egg clutch
2. Mating and fertilization
3. Hatch timing
4. Survival during hatching
5. Survival during zoeal stages
6. Survival during glaucothoe stage
7. Juvenile survival (ages 1-6)
8. Adult survival (ages 7-25)

7 Mechanisms for RKC Recruitment

1. Fishery management (fishing effects)
2. Larval prey type*
3. Larval prey timing
4. Larval advection
5. Juvenile predation
6. Benthic energy flow
7. Ocean acidification*

*Non-consequential or non-informative;
See paper for details.

Expected Management Effects



Bristol Bay RKC Abundance/Biomass



Expected Management Effects

- **Bristol Bay** – benefits of rebuilding plan are expected to continue to accrue, as biomass has returned to moderate levels, increasing the probability of periodic good recruitments
- **Pribilof Islands** – no systematic change expected, as stock has been lightly fished
- **Norton Sound** – stock has been conservatively managed for 25 years, but some increase could occur, if the stock is still recovering from high harvests in the late 1970s

Expected Management Effects

	2030			2050		
Area	L	C	H	L	C	H
Bristol Bay	0	+	++	0	+	++
Pribilof Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
Norton Sound	0	0	+	0	0	+

Expected Effects on Larval Prey Timing

- Red king crab larvae hatch in mid April to mid June
- Diatoms (e.g., *Thalassiosira* sp.) are preferred larval food


Source: www.afsc.noaa.gov

- Red king crab larvae must feed within 2-6 days of hatching in order to survive (Paul and Paul 1980)
- Larval growth is directly related to concentrations of *Thalassiosira* diatoms (Paul et al. 1989, 1990)

Expected Effects on Larval Prey Timing



Stays in Water Column

Benefits larval survival

(Hunt et al. 2002)

Benthos → Filter feeders Detritivores → Crab growth & reproduction

Expected Effects on Larval Prey Timing

Key Climate Parameter	Description of Baseline	2030	2050
Winter sea ice extent	1980-1999 mean winter sea ice extent 0.44×10^6 km^2	-0.16×10^6 km^2 -36.4%	-0.20×10^6 km^2 -45.5%

Expected Effects on Larval Prey Timing

	2030			2050		
Area	L	C	H	L	C	H
Bristol Bay	0	+	++	+	+	++
Pribilof Islands	0	+	++	+	+	++
Norton Sound	0	+	++	+	+	++

Expected Effects on Larval Advection

Two Temperature Effects:

- Duration of four pelagic, zoeal stages is 325 degree-days (B. Stevens, NMFS, pers. comm.)
- Distribution of adults also depends on temperature (Hsu 1987, Loher & Armstrong (2005, Zheng & Kruse, in review)

Photo: B. Stevens, NMFS

Expected Effects on Larval Advection



Centers of Distribution of mature female red king crabs in Bristol Bay (Zheng & Kruse 2006)

Expected Effects on Larval Advection



Expected Effects on Larval Advection



Expected Effects on Larval Advection

Key Climate Parameter	Description of Baseline	2030	2050
Sea surface temperature (SST)	1980-1999 mean SST	+1.0 C (Nov-Mar)	+1.5 C (Nov-Mar)

Expected Effects on Larval Advection

- **Advection** – Warmer temperatures make it difficult for red king crabs to supply the southern nursery areas with larvae in Bristol Bay, mainly due to northeastward shifts in adults
- **Retention** – Effects are likely quite different for Pribilof Islands (tidal fronts) and Norton Sound (gyre)

Expected Effects on Larval Advection

	2030			2050		
Area	L	C	H	L	C	H
Bristol Bay	--	-	0	--	-	0
Pribilof Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
Norton Sound	0	0	0	0	0	0

Expected Effects on Juvenile Predation



Years lagged to crab age 1

Zheng and Kruse (2006)





Expected Effects on Juvenile Predation

	2030			2050		
Area	L	C	H	L	C	H
Bristol Bay	--	-	0	---	--	-
Pribilof Islands	--	--	-	---	---	-
Norton Sound	--	-	-	---	---	---

Expected Effects on Benthic Energy Flow



- Sediment oxygen uptake is an indicator of carbon supply to the benthos
- March – September measurements show significant decline in northern EBS
- Coincident decline in benthic biomass
- Decline in benthic biomass may adversely affect crab growth, reproduction and survival

Grebmeier et al. (2006)

Expected Effects on Benthic Energy Flow

Area	2030			2050		
	L	C	H	L	C	H
Bristol Bay	--	-	0	--	--	-
Pribilof Islands	--	--	-	---	--	--
Norton Sound	--	-	-	---	--	--

Bristol Bay: Biomass & Catch Projections



Pribilof Is.: Biomass & Catch Projections



Norton Sd.: Biomass & Catch Projections



Summary

- Changes in three red king crab stocks owing to global warming were postulated for 2030 and 2050.
- Relative changes were scaled to absolute historical ranges of biomass. Current harvest strategies were applied to estimate future catches.
- Benefits of conservative fishery management and improved match of larval hatch with prey are overwhelmed by negative effects of larval advection, increased juvenile predation and loss of benthic energy flow.
- Declines are projected for all three stocks, but large uncertainty exists.

Next Steps

- ***Field/laboratory studies and retrospective analyses*** **– to confirm bases for proposed mechanisms linking climate to red king crabs**
- ***Simulation modeling*** **– to provide quantitative estimates of cumulative effects of various mechanisms on crab stocks**
- ***Management strategy evaluation*** **– to evaluate effects of climate change on future harvests using current and alternative management strategies**


Questions?