Coupling crustacean zooplankton production and primary production rates to estimate energy transfer in the NE Pacific

Theresa A. Venello¹, John F. Dower¹, Akash R. Sastri²

¹School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada

²Ocean Networks Canada, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada



Copepod Biomass Anomalies



Galbraith et al in: Chandler, King, and Perry 2016, Can. Tech. Fish. Aquat. Sci

Zooplankton Production

- The rate at which biomass is generated
 - Focus on crustacean zooplankton production rates
- Key to understanding energy transfer to higher trophic levels
- Zooplankton production rates and transfer efficiencies are critical measures of ecosystem function that are not well understood



Chitobiase Method



Rate of production of chitobiase in water column = biomass production rate (BPR) of entire crustacean zooplankton community.

Energy Transfer

- Rate of crustacean zooplankton production divided by the rate of primary production = Ecological Efficiency (EE)
- Generally assumed to be 10% in marine ecosystems
 - Large variation observed
- Range of factors influence can influence transfer efficiencies
- A region's productivity is not necessarily indicative of its EE (or trophic transfer efficiency (TTE))

Location	TTE Range	Source
Indian Ocean	<1 - 35	Cushing 1973
Japan	7.4 - 16.2	Parsons and Chen 1994
World Fisheries Catch	2 - 24	Pauly and Christensen 1995
North Sea	3.7 - 12.4	Jennings et al. 2002
Southern Plateau, NZ	1.5 - 25.8	Bradford-Grieve et al. 2003
Saanich Inlet, BC	2 - 32	Suchy et al. 2016

Estimating Energy Transfer

Crustacean BPR

A B Loger

Ecological Efficiency

- Net Community Production (O₂/Ar and N₂O)
- ¹⁴C Primary Production

West Coast Vancouver Island (WCVI)



Line P to Ocean Station Papa



BPR for West Coast Vancouver Island



BPR along Line P to Ocean Station Papa



2015 and 2016 Average BPR for WCVI

2015: **May-** 32.2 ± 28.5 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹ **September-** 108.8 ± 72.8 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹

2016: **May-** 64.6 ± 40.0 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹ **September-** 69.5 ± 32.1 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹



BPR (mg C m-2 d-1)

50

Average BPR along Line P

2016: February-40.7 ± 39.4 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹

June- 53.5 \pm 12.8 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹

August-78.1 ± 131.4 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹

2017: February-10.0 ± 10.6 mg C m⁻² d⁻¹



Ecological Efficiencies for WCVI

On Shelf Average: 9 %

Off Shelf Average: 5 %

Southern WCVI Average: 6 %

Northern WCVI Average: 11 %





Ecological Efficiencies along Line P

P20

P16

P12

P8

P4

P2

P26

2016

Inshore Average: February- 6 % June- 14 % August- 9 %

Offshore Average: June- 9 % August- 11 %





P26

P2

¹⁴C and 5m BPR EE along Line P

2016

June: Inshore- 3 % Offshore- 2 %

August: Inshore- 2 % Offshore- 0.8 %



¹⁴C and 50m int BPR EE along Line P

2016

June: Inshore- 32 % Offshore- 20 %

August: Inshore- 23 % Offshore- 9 %



Summary

- Crustacean zooplankton BPR higher in September than May for 2015 off WCVI, no difference in 2016 and in August over June along Line P in 2016
 - Very low compared to previous years
 - More "normal" years May/June is higher than August/September
- Generally higher at stations on the shelf than at off shelf stations
- EE is also higher at on shelf stations off WCVI
- EE at southern WCVI stations lower than northern WCVI
- EE at inshore stations along Line P highest in June, but highest in August at offshore stations



